

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-59399

Title: Digital Transformation of Supplier Collaboration: A Case Study on Migrating Legacy SNC system to SAP BTP.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision....YES.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality	✓			
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper examines the modernization of a legacy Supplier Network Collaboration (SNC) system within a major oil and gas enterprise through its migration to a unified DigitalSupplier platform. In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., properly. Also, the keywords are properly given. In the introduction part, the researcher has tried to make link of introduction with the title effectively but the citations are not properly given. The review of related literature is given in this manuscript. The Methodology is given, but the research questions or objectives are **not** mentioned in this manuscript properly. Results are given and also the discussion is given properly but the comparison of results with the findings of previous researches was not made properly in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, this paper is accepted only after correcting these minor changes.

Reason for Minor changes

In this manuscript, In the introduction section the citations are not given. In result and discussion section the comparisons of results with previous findings was not made. In addition to this, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, this paper is accepted only after correcting these minor changes.

Abstract

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

In this manuscript, Abstract is given in a detailed way. The Abstract is always written in a detailed format in all research papers, in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. are mentioned properly. It must be written in one paragraph. Also, the keywords are given in this manuscript properly.

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has tried to make link of introduction with the title effectively. But the citations are not given properly.

Review of related literature

In this manuscript the researcher has mentioned the review of literature. The review section is must for every research paper.

Methodology

Methodology section is given in this manuscript properly but the research objectives or questions are not given. They should be added.

Results

The result section is given properly in this manuscript. All important points have been highlighted by the researcher broadly.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is given. But, the researcher has not made comparisons of results with the findings of previous studies. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions have not been provided by the researcher in this manuscript by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and some important things have been mentioned properly but some are missing. Thus, this manuscript is accepted only after making these minor changes mentioned above.