

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-59281

Title: Epidemiological, Clinical, and Etiological Profile of Hypereosinophilia in a Dermatology

Department: A Series of 115 Cases.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is ☐☐☐☐

Accept after minor revision ☐☐☐

Accept after major revision ☒☐☐☐☐

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) ☐☐☐

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		√		
Techn. Quality		√		
Clarity			√	
Significance		√		

Reviewer ID: JP085

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

This retrospective study describes the clinical and etiological profile of hypereosinophilia among 115 dermatology patients. Most cases were dermatoses associated with eosinophilia (91%), particularly adverse drug reactions, eczema, and prurigo, while eosinophilic dermatoses accounted for 9%.

Strength:

1. Relevant topic in dermatology.
2. Reasonable sample size of 115 cases.
3. Five-year retrospective study period.
4. Includes demographic and clinical characteristics.
5. Different causes of eosinophilia are clearly presented.
6. Includes severity-wise distribution of eosinophilia.
7. The study highlights important conditions such as DRESS, bullous pemphigoid, and cutaneous lymphoma

Weakness:

1. The exact inclusion and exclusion criteria are not clearly described.
2. The definition of "hypereosinophilia" is not clearly stated.
3. The study includes only hospitalized patients, so the findings may not represent all dermatology patients.
4. The age-group-specific findings in the Discussion are not fully supported by detailed results.
5. No statistical analysis or statistical significance values are provided.
6. The diagnostic workup for secondary causes of eosinophilia is not described in detail.

Overall assessment:

The study addresses a relevant dermatological problem and provides useful descriptive information on the causes of eosinophilia. However, clearer methodology, statistical analysis, data verification, and

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

better alignment between the results and discussion are needed. Major revision is recommended before publication.

Recommendation: Manuscript accepted for publication after major revision.