From Tell-Show-Do To Behaviour Bots: Gauging the Clinical Adoption and Hurdles of Artifical Intelligencein Pediatric Dentistry Across Kerala.

Abstract:

Background

Managing children's behaviour during dental treatment is an important part of pediatric dental practice. Dental anxiety and fear can make treatment difficult and may affect cooperation between the child and the dental team. Conventional behaviour guidance techniques remain important, but advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies have introduced additional approaches for distraction, communication, education, and behaviour guidance. However, information regarding the awareness and actual use of these technologies among pediatric dentists in Kerala is limited.

Aim

To assess the awareness, familiarity, perceived effectiveness, utilization, educational needs, and barriers related to AI and digital technologies used for behaviour guidance among pediatric dentists in Kerala, India.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional descriptive survey was conducted among 40 pediatric dentists in Kerala, including private practitioners, academicians, and postgraduate residents. Data were collected using an online questionnaire consisting of five sections: demographic characteristics, awareness and familiarity with AI, identification and use of digital technologies, perceived effectiveness and educational needs, and barriers to adoption. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the responses.

Results

This survey evaluated the integration of AI and digital technologies for behavior guidance in pediatric dentistry, revealing a predominantly young demographic (51.7% under 30) with moderate AI familiarity (51.7% somewhat familiar). VR/AR distraction tools emerged as the most common technology currently used (88.6%), with 71.8% of respondents noting that digital tools could potentially benefit emergency or uncooperative patients. While 89.2% anticipated major benefits in reducing child fear, anxiety, and improving cooperation, widespread clinical adoption remains restricted by a limited awareness of commercial tech

(82.8%) and a lack of clinical training (72.4%). To address these barriers, 75.8% of respondents support incorporating AI and digital behavior-guidance training directly into postgraduate dental education.

Conclusion

Pediatric dentists in Kerala showed considerable awareness and a positive perception of AI and digital technologies for behaviour guidance, but actual clinical utilization was limited. Improved awareness, practical training, affordable technologies, adequate infrastructure, and evidence-based guidelines may help increase appropriate adoption of these technologies in pediatric dental practice.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence(AI); Pediatric dentistry; Behaviour guidance; Virtual reality(VR); Augmented reality(AR); Dental anxiety; Digital technology; Pediatric dentists

Introduction

Securing a child's cooperation in the dental chair is a complex process that requires clinical skill, patience, communication, and an understanding of child psychology. Dental anxiety and fear may lead to uncooperative behaviour, difficulty in completing treatment, and avoidance of future dental visits. Behaviour guidance is therefore an important component of pediatric dental practice. Conventional approaches include tell-show-do, positive reinforcement, distraction, communication techniques, voice control, and, when indicated, pharmacological approaches such as nitrous oxide sedation and general anesthesia[1]

The development of digital technologies has introduced new non-pharmacological approaches for managing dental anxiety and improving cooperation. Virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), digital games, mobile applications, and other interactive technologies can distract children from the clinical environment and provide an engaging experience during dental treatment[2,3,4,5]. Studies evaluating VR in pediatric dentistry have reported reductions in anxiety and pain during different dental procedures[5,6]

Artificial intelligence (AI) is another rapidly developing technology in healthcare and dentistry. AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that normally require aspects of human intelligence, such as pattern recognition, prediction, classification, and decision-making.[7] Machine learning (ML) is a branch of AI that uses algorithms to identify patterns from data, while deep learning (DL) uses multilayered neural networks to analyse complex datasets. AI has been investigated in dentistry for image analysis, diagnosis, treatment planning, prediction, and patient management.[7,8]

In pediatric dentistry, AI applications have been studied in areas such as early childhood caries detection, tooth identification, prediction of dental disease, radiographic analysis, and treatment planning.[9,10]The systematic review of AI applications in pediatric dentistry

reported promising results but also highlighted limitations in study quality, external validation, and clinical implementation.[9]AI has also been proposed for behaviour management, personalized communication, natural language processing, and oral-health education in children.[11]

Several interactive technologies may be useful for pediatric behaviour guidance. VR uses immersive visual and auditory experiences to divert a child's attention from the dental environment. AR combines digital content with the real-world environment and can provide interactive experiences during dental procedures. Gamification and oral-health applications can make dental education more engaging. Chatbots and natural language processing systems may provide information and communication before dental visits. AI-based systems may also have the potential to assist in assessing anxiety and developing personalized behaviour-guidance strategies.[11]

Although these technologies are developing rapidly, their routine use depends on clinician' s awareness, confidence, training, cost, infrastructure, and perception of their clinical usefulness. Previous surveys among dental professionals have demonstrated increasing awareness of AI but also identified important barriers related to knowledge, training, cost, ethics, and access to technology.[12,13,14] Recent studies specifically involving pediatric dental professionals in India have similarly reported positive perceptions of AI along with significant knowledge gaps and barriers to implementation.[14,15]

However, there is limited information regarding how pediatric dentists in Kerala perceive and use AI and digital technologies specifically for behaviour guidance. Understanding their current level of awareness, actual utilization, perceived benefits, and barriers is important for planning appropriate educational and clinical strategies.

Therefore, the present study was conducted to assess the awareness, familiarity, perceived effectiveness, utilization, educational needs, and barriers related to AI and digital technologies for behaviour guidance among pediatric dentists in Kerala, India.

Materials and Methods

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional descriptive survey was conducted among 40 pediatric dental professionals practicing in Kerala, India. The study included pediatric dentists working in private practice, academicians, and postgraduate of pediatric and preventive dentistry.

Study participants

The target population consisted of pediatric dentists and postgraduate residents involved in pediatric dental practice. Participants were invited to complete an online questionnaire. Participation was voluntary, and the responses were collected anonymously.

Questionnaire

A structured questionnaire was developed to assess five major areas:

Demographic characteristics: age and professional background.

Awareness and familiarity: participants' familiarity with AI and related technologies.

Technology identification and utilization: awareness and use of VR, AR, gamification, anxiety-monitoring tools, chatbotsandNatural language processing (NLP) applications.

Perceived effectiveness and educational needs: perceived usefulness of AI and digital technologies for behaviour guidance and opinions regarding inclusion of AI training in postgraduate education.

Barriers to adoption: awareness, training, cost, infrastructure, technical concerns, privacy, parental resistance, and other practical limitations.

Data collection

The questionnaire was distributed electronically over a defined data-collection period. Responses were screened for completeness before analysis.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using descriptive statistics. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The results were presented using tables and descriptive summaries.

Results

Demographic characteristics

The largest proportion of participants were below 30 years of age, accounting for 51.7% of respondents. Participants aged 30–40 years represented 27.6%, while 20.7% were above 40 years.



Awareness and familiarity with AI

More than half of the respondents (51.7%) reported being somewhat familiar with AI. A further 27.6% reported being very familiar with AI, while 17.2% had heard of AI but were unclear about its applications. Only a small proportion reported no familiarity with AI.These findings indicate that most participants had at least some awareness of AI, although a smaller proportion reported a high level of familiarity.



Technologies used for behaviour guidance

VR or AR distraction tools were the most commonly reported technologies, with 31 participants (88.6%) reporting their use. Gamified learning or oral-health applications were reported by 12 participants (34.3%). Emotion or anxiety-detection tools and AI-powered chatbots were each reported by 5 participants (14.3%). NLP-generated calming narratives were reported by 1 participant (2.9%).



These findings indicate that immersive and interactive technologies were more commonly used than newer AI-based communication and anxiety-monitoring tools.

Use in emergency or uncooperative patients

When participants were asked whether AI could be useful during emergency treatment or with an uncooperative child, 71.8% selected “Maybe,” while 20.5% selected “Yes.” Only a small proportion selected “No.” This suggests that although most participants were open to the possible use of AI in difficult clinical situations, many remained uncertain about its practical effectiveness.



Educational needs

A majority of participants supported the inclusion of AI and digital behaviour-guidance training in postgraduate pediatric dental education. This finding suggests that pediatric

dentists are interested in receiving structured education to understand and use emerging technologies in clinical practice.



Expected benefits

The most frequently identified benefits were reduction of fear and anxiety and improvement of child cooperation, both reported by 89.2% of participants. Enhancement of communication was reported by 51.4%. Personalized strategies for difficult cases and improved patient education were each reported by 40.5%, while 35.1% identified improved appointment efficiency as a potential benefit.



Barriers to adoption

The most frequently reported barrier was limited awareness of available commercial technologies (82.8%). Lack of access to practical clinical training was reported by 72.4%. High equipment and procurement costs were reported by 55.2%, followed by infrastructure limitations (44.8%) and concerns regarding software or technical errors (41.4%). Privacy and

198 ethical concerns were reported by 17.2%, while patient or parental resistance was reported by
199 6.9%. Only 3.4% identified slow or delayed conversational technology as a barrier.



200

201

Domain	**Variable / Response**	**Percentage (%)**
Demographic characteristics	Below 30 years	51.7
	30–40 years	27.6
	Above 40 years	20.7
Awareness and familiarity with AI	Very familiar	27.6
	Somewhat familiar	51.7
	Heard of AI but unclear about its applications	17.2
	Not familiar	3.5
Technologies used for behaviour guidance	VR/AR distraction tools	88.6
	Gamified learning/oral-health applications	34.3

	Emotion/anxiety-detection tools	14.3
	AI-powered chatbots	14.3
	NLP-generated calming narratives	2.9
Usefulness in emergency/uncooperative patients	Yes	20.5
	Maybe	71.8
	No	7.7
Expected benefits	Reduction of fear and anxiety	89.2
	Improvement in child cooperation	89.2
	Enhanced communication	51.4
	Personalized strategies for difficult cases	40.5
	Improved patient education	40.5
	Improved appointment efficiency	35.1
	Support for children with special needs	2.7
	Increased dental-health awareness	2.7
Barriers to adoption	Limited awareness of available commercial technologies	82.8
	Lack of access to practical clinical training	72.4
	High equipment/procurement cost	55.2
	Infrastructure limitations	44.8

	Concerns regarding software/technical errors	41.4
	Privacy and ethical concerns	17.2
	Patient/parental resistance	6.9
	Slow or delayed conversational technology	3.4
Educational needs	Support inclusion of AI/digital behaviour-guidance training in postgraduate education	75.8
	Other/not supportive	24.2

Discussion

Artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies are becoming increasingly relevant in healthcare and dentistry, with potential applications extending from diagnosis and treatment planning to patient education, communication, and behaviour guidance.[8,9,10] In pediatric dentistry, the use of these technologies is particularly relevant because children may experience fear, anxiety, and difficulty cooperating during dental treatment. Digital technologies such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), gamification, chatbots, and other interactive applications may provide additional methods of distraction and communication, thereby complementing conventional behaviour guidance techniques.[2,3,4]AI may further support these approaches by allowing the development of personalized and interactive systems based on patient characteristics, communication patterns, and behavioural responses.[9,10,11]

The present study specifically evaluated the awareness and use of AI and digital technologies for behaviour guidance among pediatric dentists in Kerala. The findings provide an overview of the current level of familiarity with AI, the types of technologies being used in clinical practice, their perceived usefulness, expected benefits, educational requirements, and barriers to implementation. Understanding these factors is important because awareness of emerging technologies does not necessarily translate into their routine clinical use. It helps to identify the existing gap between interest in AI and its practical application in pediatric dental practice. This information may be useful in planning appropriate training programs and developing strategies for the safe, effective, and evidence-based integration of AI and digital technologies into pediatric dentistry.

Most participants had at least some familiarity with AI. More than half were somewhat familiar with AI, while 27.6% were very familiar. This suggests that AI has gained substantial recognition among pediatric dental professionals. Similar findings have been reported in recent Indian studies. Naik et al. surveyed 400 pediatric dental professionals and found that 65% were aware of AI applications in pediatric dentistry, although knowledge gaps and barriers related to cost, ethics, and training were identified.[15]Santhosh et al. also reported favourable perceptions of AI among Indian pediatric dentists and emphasized the importance of awareness and training for successful implementation.[14]

The present findings also indicate that awareness does not necessarily result in clinical use. More than half of the participants had never used digital technology with a patient, while only a small proportion reported regular use. This difference between awareness and implementation is important. AI and digital technologies may be attractive to clinicians, but practical adoption requires appropriate training, access to suitable equipment, technical support, and evidence demonstrating clinical benefit.[7,12,15]

The most commonly reported expected benefits in the present study were reduction of fear and anxiety and improvement in cooperation. This is consistent with the findings of clinical studies evaluating VR and other digital distraction methods.[18,19,20] However, these technologies should be considered adjunctive methods rather than replacements for established behaviour guidance. The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry recommends individualized behaviour guidance based on the child's developmental level, treatment needs, previous experiences, and clinical circumstances.[1]

The present study found that the major barriers to adoption were limited awareness of available technologies and lack of practical training. This finding is consistent with recent Indian studies. Naik et al. identified knowledge gaps and lack of training as important challenges to AI integration among pediatric dental professionals.[15] Santhosh et al. similarly highlighted the need for improved awareness and training among Indian pediatric dentists.[14] These findings indicate that continuing dental education and postgraduate training may have an important role in improving responsible adoption of AI and digital technologies. Cost was another important barrier identified in the present study. Advanced VR equipment, software subscriptions, computers, cameras, and other digital infrastructure may increase the initial cost of implementation. For private dental practices, particularly smaller practices, the cost of equipment may discourage adoption even when clinicians perceive the technology as useful. Infrastructure limitations were also reported by a considerable proportion of participants. Digital behaviour-guidance systems may require suitable computers, stable internet connectivity, compatible software, maintenance, and trained staff. Without adequate infrastructure, even clinicians who are willing to use the technology may find routine implementation difficult. Concerns regarding software and technical errors were reported by 41.4% of participants. Such concerns are important because AI-based systems may produce incorrect or inconsistent outputs. AI should therefore not be considered an independent decision-maker in pediatric dentistry. Clinical decisions should remain under the responsibility of the pediatric dentist, who should evaluate the child's individual needs and

critically interpret any technology-assisted information.[7,8,11] Privacy and ethical concerns were reported less frequently than awareness, training, cost, and infrastructure. However, these issues remain important. AI systems may collect patient information, images, behavioural data, or audio recordings. Appropriate safeguards for data protection, informed consent, transparency, and responsible use are therefore necessary before these systems are incorporated into routine clinical care.[7,8]

An important finding was the high level of interest in educational training. Most participants supported the inclusion of AI and digital behaviour-guidance training in postgraduate education. This is consistent with the increasing recognition that future dental professionals will require basic AI literacy. Training should not be limited to operating a particular device. It should also include understanding the capabilities and limitations of AI, interpretation of AI-generated outputs, data privacy, ethical considerations, and appropriate clinical decision-making.[8,11,15] The present findings are also relevant when compared with the existing evidence on AI in pediatric dentistry. Current research has primarily focused on diagnosis, image analysis, caries detection, prediction, and treatment planning rather than direct AI-based behaviour management.[9,10,11] Therefore, the positive perception of AI for behaviour guidance in the present study should be interpreted as an indication of clinician interest and perceived potential rather than proof of clinical effectiveness.

The relatively high proportion of participants who selected “Maybe” when asked about AI use in emergencies or uncooperative patients also reflects this uncertainty. Pediatric dentists appear to recognize the possible value of technology but may require more evidence and hands-on experience before adopting it routinely. This is appropriate because behaviour guidance must be individualized and may vary according to the child's age, developmental status, anxiety level, previous dental experience, treatment complexity, and parental preferences[1]

Overall, the findings suggest that the major challenge is not rejection of technology but the gap between interest and implementation. Structured training, affordable technology, technical support, and evidence-based clinical guidelines may help bridge this gap. Future research should evaluate these technologies using larger samples and standardized clinical outcomes such as anxiety scores, behaviour ratings, pain perception, treatment completion, patient satisfaction, and cost-effectiveness.

Limitations

The study has several limitations. It was conducted only among pediatric dental professionals in Kerala, and therefore the findings may not be generalizable to all pediatric dentists in India. The sample size was relatively small. The study used a self-administered questionnaire, and the responses therefore represented participants reported perceptions and experiences rather than direct observation of clinical behaviour. The study evaluated awareness and reported use but did not independently verify the type or performance of the technologies used by participants. The rapid development of AI and digital technologies means that awareness and utilization may change over time.

Conclusion

The present study indicates that pediatric dental professionals in Kerala have considerable awareness and a generally positive perception of AI and digital technologies for behaviour guidance. VR and AR distraction tools were the most commonly reported technologies, while AI-powered chatbots, anxiety-detection systems, and NLP-based tools were less commonly used. Despite favourable perceptions, actual clinical utilization remained limited.The major barriers identified were limited awareness of available technologies, inadequate practical training, high cost, infrastructure limitations, and concerns regarding technical errors. These findings indicate a need for structured training in AI and digital behaviour guidance during postgraduate pediatric dental education. Affordable and clinically validated technologies, together with appropriate technical support and ethical guidelines, may improve adoption. AI and digital technologies have the potential to complement conventional behaviour guidance and make dental care more comfortable for children. However, their use should remain evidence-based and supervised by pediatric dental professionals. Further multicentric studies with larger samples and clinical evaluation are required to establish their effectiveness, safety, acceptability, and cost-effectiveness in routine pediatric dental practice.

References

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Behavior guidance for the pediatric dental patient. In: The Reference Manual of Pediatric Dentistry. Chicago, IL: American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry; 2025. p.379-399.

2. Cunningham A, McPolin O, Fallis R, Coyle C, Best P, McKenna G. A systematic review of the use of virtual reality or dental smartphone applications as interventions for management of paediatric dental anxiety. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21:244. doi:10.1186/s12903-021-01602-3.

3. Aminabadi NA, Erfanparast L, Sohrabi A, Ghertasi Oskouei S, Naghili A. The impact of virtual reality distraction on pain and anxiety during dental treatment in 4-6 year-old children: a randomized controlled clinical trial. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2012;6(4):117-124. doi:10.5681/joddd.2012.025.

4. Leonardi R, et al. Behaviour and anxiety management of paediatric dental patients through virtual reality: a randomised clinical trial. Children (Basel). 2021;8(7):583. doi:10.3390/children8070583.

5. Du Q, et al. A digital intervention using virtual reality helmets to reduce dental anxiety of children under local anesthesia and primary teeth extraction: a randomized clinical trial. Brain Behav. 2022;12(6):e2600. doi:10.1002/brb3.2600.

6. Bagher SM, Felemban OM, Alandijani AA, Tashkandi M, Bhadila GY, Bagher AM. The effect of virtual reality distraction on anxiety level during dental treatment among anxious pediatric patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2023;47(4):63-71. doi:10.22514/jocpd.2023.036.

7. Chen YW, Stanley K, Att W. Artificial intelligence in dentistry: current applications and future perspectives. Quintessence Int. 2020;51(3):248-257. doi:10.3290/j.qi.a43952.

8. Khanagar SB, Al-Ehaideb A, Maganur PC, Vishwanathaiah S, Patil S, Baeshen HA, et al. Developments, application, and performance of artificial intelligence in dentistry: a systematic review. J Dent Sci. 2021;16(1):508-522. doi:10.1016/j.jds.2020.06.019.

9. Rokhshad R, Zhang P, Mohammad-Rahimi H, Shobeiri P, Schwendicke F. Current applications of artificial intelligence for pediatric dentistry: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Dent. 2024;46(1):27-35. PMID:38449036.

10. Vishwanathaiah S, Fageeh HN, Khanagar SB, Maganur PC. Artificial intelligence its uses and application in pediatric dentistry: a review. Biomedicines. 2023;11(3):788. doi:10.3390/biomedicines11030788.

11. Acharya S, Godhi BS, Saxena V, Assiry AA, Alessa NA, Dawasaz AA, et al. Role of artificial intelligence in behavior management of pediatric dental patients—a mini review. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2024;48(3):24-30. doi:10.22514/jocpd.2024.055.

12. Singh N, et al. Attitude, perception and barriers of dental professionals towards artificial intelligence. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2023. doi:10.1016/j.jobcr.2023.06.006.

13. Sur J, Bose S, Khan F, Dewangan D, Sawriya E, Roul A. Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding the future of artificial intelligence in oral radiology in India: a survey. Imaging Sci Dent. 2020;50(3):193-198. doi:10.5624/isd.2020.50.3.193.

14. Santhosh S, Shenoy S, Hegde SK, Ashmil M. Awareness and knowledge of artificial intelligence in pediatric dentistry: a cross-sectional survey among Indian pediatric dentists. Int J Res Innov Appl Sci. 2025;10(8):1917-1921. doi:10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.100800168.

15. Naik SS, Winner J, Ratnaparkhi I, Chavan S, Gorule M, Chaudhary R. Survey on awareness of artificial intelligence in pediatric dentistry. Bioinformation. 2025;21(6):1647-1650. doi:10.6026/973206300211647.

16. Leonardi R, et al. Behaviour and anxiety management of paediatric dental patients through virtual reality: a randomised clinical trial. Children (Basel). 2021;8(7):583.

17. Du Q, et al. A digital intervention using virtual reality helmets to reduce dental anxiety of children under local anesthesia and primary teeth extraction: a randomized clinical trial. Brain Behav. 2022;12(6):e2600. doi:10.1002/brb3.2600.

18. Bagher SM, Felemban OM, Alandijani AA, Tashkandi M, Bhadila GY, Bagher AM. The effect of virtual reality distraction on anxiety level during dental treatment among anxious pediatric patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2023;47(4):63-71. doi:10.22514/jocpd.2023.036.

19. Selvaraj L, Yusof ZYM, Zakaria NN, Azizi NZ, Zainal Aalam N. Effects of preoperative immersive and non-immersive virtual reality exposure on dental anxiety in children: a randomised controlled trial. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2026;36(3):431-439. doi:10.1111/ipd.70066.

20. Albaal M, Bshara N. Evaluating the use of augmented reality in alleviating anxiety and pain in children during intraoral injection administration: a randomized-controlled trial. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2025. doi:10.1007/s40368-025-01113-7.