

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-59007

Title: Role of LM Potency in the Homoeopathic Management of Migraine: A Systematic Review Unveiling Current Clinical Evidence, Therapeutic Applications, and Unaddressed Research Gaps

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- Topic is specific and clinically relevant
- Review question is clearly defined
- PRISMA 2020 guidelines are mentioned
- Search and selection methods are described
- Inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided
- JBI appraisal was used for the case report
- Limitations of the available evidence are honestly discussed
- Research gaps are clearly identified

Weakness of the study:

- Only one eligible completed study was found
- The available evidence is only a case report
- No quantitative synthesis was possible
- Search coverage appears relatively restricted
- Some methodological descriptions are unclear
- Several grammatical and wording errors are present
- Some statements are repetitive
- The manuscript needs careful language and reference editing

Reviewers Comments:

This systematic review addresses a specific and interesting question regarding LM potency in the homoeopathic management of migraine. The authors have followed a structured search and selection process and have appropriately avoided performing a meta analysis when only one non comparative case report was available. The manuscript also presents the limitations of the available evidence quite clearly. However, the review has very limited clinical evidence, with only one eligible completed report, so the conclusions should remain strictly cautious. The search strategy and database coverage should be described more clearly, including whether all major relevant databases were searched. As this is a systematic review based on published literature, ethical clearance is generally not required, but the authors should clearly state this in the manuscript. Grammar, sentence construction, spelling and formatting need considerable improvement. Some sections are repetitive and can be shortened. Overall, the manuscript is useful as an evidence gap review, but substantial revision is required before publication.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

A search of the exact manuscript title did not identify an obvious previously published paper with the same title. The manuscript cites several related reviews and clinical studies, but presents a specific review focused on LM potency in migraine. This does not replace a formal similarity check, and the journal should perform plagiarism software screening before publication.