

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58838

Title: Psychological Phenomenon of Time Perception

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The topic is interesting and intellectually engaging
- The essay connects science, philosophy and psychology effectively
- Historical development of timekeeping is presented clearly
- Contributions of Plato, Aristotle and Einstein are discussed
- Subjective time perception is explained through relatable examples
- The discussion of memory, mortality and consciousness adds depth
- The writing encourages further philosophical reflection on the nature of time

Weakness of the study:

- The manuscript is more philosophical than scientific in its approach
- A clear literature review or research methodology is absent
- Psychological evidence is limited and not critically examined
- Several scientific claims require appropriate supporting references
- The discussion of flow relies on a single major psychological reference
- Some ideas are repeated throughout the essay
- The manuscript does not clearly distinguish established evidence from philosophical speculation

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript presents an engaging philosophical discussion of time perception and brings together historical, scientific and psychological perspectives. The references to Plato, Aristotle and Einstein provide an interesting framework, while the discussion of memory, imagination, mortality and subjective time makes the essay accessible to general readers. However, the manuscript is primarily an opinion based philosophical essay rather than a conventional research paper. No clear literature search strategy, research methodology or systematic use of psychological evidence is presented. Some statements about relativity, near death experiences and psychological time perception require stronger scientific references and more careful wording. The discussion of Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi and flow would benefit from additional contemporary psychological literature. Ethical clearance is not applicable because the manuscript does not involve human participants, clinical data or experimental procedures. Grammar and writing quality are generally good, although some sentences are lengthy and could be simplified. Overall, the essay is thoughtful and well written but needs stronger scientific support and clearer academic framing.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

No clear evidence of previous publication is apparent from the submitted manuscript. However, plagiarism or substantial text overlap cannot be confirmed through manuscript review alone. A standard similarity check should be performed before publication. The authors should also verify quotations and interpretations attributed to Plato, Aristotle, Einstein and Csikszentmihalyi and ensure that appropriate original sources are cited.