

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58777

Title: A concept of Hyperlipidaemia w.s.r. to Rasa Raktagata Snehavridhi: A Scientific Review.

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The Ayurvedic concept is discussed using classical references
- The topic is relevant to a common metabolic disorder
- Modern and Ayurvedic perspectives are presented together
- The paper explains possible etiological and pathological similarities
- The review includes diagnosis, complications and management
- Multiple standard Ayurvedic texts are cited
- The conclusion appropriately suggests further research

Weakness of the study:

- The review methodology is not clearly described
- The correlation between the two concepts remains largely theoretical
- Strong clinical evidence supporting the proposed correlation is lacking
- Some treatment claims require better supporting evidence
- Several medical and Ayurvedic statements need more careful interpretation
- Grammar, spelling and sentence construction require considerable correction
- Some references and terminology need better consistency

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript addresses an interesting and relevant topic by attempting to correlate hyperlipidemia with the Ayurvedic concept of Rasa Raktagata Snehavridhi. The paper contains substantial discussion of modern hyperlipidemia, Ayurvedic classical references, etiological factors, pathogenesis and management. The use of references from Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita and other classical texts is a positive aspect. However, the proposed correlation is mainly conceptual and needs to be presented more cautiously because direct clinical or experimental evidence is not provided. The methodology of the scientific review is also insufficiently explained, particularly regarding literature selection and critical assessment of evidence. Several statements regarding Ayurvedic therapies and their effectiveness require stronger scientific support. The manuscript has frequent grammar, spelling, spacing and sentence construction problems, which affect readability and writing quality. Since this is a literature-based review without human or animal participants, ethical clearance is not applicable, but this should be stated. Overall, substantial revision is recommended.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

No obvious evidence of duplicate publication is apparent from the submitted manuscript. However, a definitive plagiarism or previous publication assessment cannot be made from the manuscript alone. A formal similarity check should be performed by the journal before publication. The authors should also verify the accuracy, completeness and consistency of all references and cited classical sources.