

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58773

Title: Road Traffic Crashes Among Motorized Two-Wheeler Riders in Low and Middle-Income Countries: Epidemiology and Risk Factors, With a Focus on Morocco

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The topic is highly relevant to public health and road safety
- The review covers evidence from several world regions
- Morocco is given useful and specific attention
- The search databases and inclusion criteria are clearly described
- Risk factors are organized into four practical domains
- National Moroccan data are presented clearly
- The manuscript discusses important limitations of available evidence

Weakness of the study:

- The review does not include a formal quality or risk of bias assessment
- The search strategy is described but the selection process is not fully reported
- Some evidence is difficult to compare because of different study designs
- Several sections are lengthy and repetitive
- Some statements need stronger supporting references
- The writing contains grammatical and formatting errors
- The Morocco specific evidence relies heavily on institutional reports

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript addresses an important public health issue and provides a useful overview of motorized two wheeler crashes in low and middle income countries, with particular attention to Morocco. The methodology is reasonably described, including databases, search terms, inclusion criteria and data extraction. However, the absence of a formal quality assessment or risk of bias evaluation limits the strength of the conclusions. The review also depends considerably on institutional data for Morocco, which may have limitations related to reporting completeness and independent verification. The manuscript would benefit from better separation of established evidence from interpretation and from reducing some repetitive discussion. Grammar, punctuation, spacing and reference formatting require careful correction throughout the paper. As this is a literature review using published and institutional data, ethical clearance is generally not applicable, but the authors should clearly state this. Overall, the manuscript is relevant and informative, but substantial editorial and methodological improvement is needed before publication.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

No clear indication of previous publication or duplicate publication is evident from the submitted manuscript. However, plagiarism or text overlap cannot be reliably confirmed by manuscript review alone. A standard similarity check should be performed before publication. References should also be checked carefully for accuracy, completeness and consistency.