Digital Lending Platforms and Credit Access for Micro-Entrepreneurs in Semi-Urban India.

Abstract:

India's digital lending market has expanded rapidly over the past four years, driven by alternative-data credit scoring, the Reserve Bank of India's evolving regulatory framework, and public digital infrastructure such as the Unified Lending Interface. This paper reviews the scale of this growth, the mechanisms that allow digital lenders to serve micro-entrepreneurs traditional banks have historically underserved, and the regulatory measures introduced to curb predatory practices and unauthorised apps. It then examines a central unresolved tension: whether digital lending infrastructure genuinely deepens credit access in semi-urban and rural India, or primarily channels more credit through populations already reasonably served by the formal financial system. The paper concludes that the evidence is genuinely mixed, and that this ambiguity, rather than a settled success story, is the more accurate and more useful framing for continued policy attention.

Keywords

digital lending, financial inclusion, MSME credit, Reserve Bank of India, Unified Lending Interface, semi-urban India, alternative credit scoring

1. Introduction

Access to timely, affordable credit has long been one of the most persistent constraints facing India's micro, small, and medium enterprises, particularly outside the country's largest cities. Traditional bank lending has historically concentrated on salaried, urban, and credit-history-rich borrowers, leaving a large population of micro-entrepreneurs, individuals running small retail outlets, service businesses, or informal manufacturing units, without a reliable route to formal credit. Over the past several years, a new category of digital lending platforms has emerged to address this gap, using smartphone-based applications, alternative data sources, and automated underwriting to extend credit to borrowers who lack the documentation a conventional bank would require.

This paper examines the scale and mechanics of this shift, the regulatory response it has triggered from the Reserve Bank of India, and the genuine open question of whether the resulting credit expansion is reaching the semi-urban and rural micro-entrepreneurs it is most often described as serving.

2. The Scale of Growth

India's digital lending market has grown from an estimated ₹1.2 lakh crore in 2022 to a market that industry analysts project could exceed ₹28 lakh crore by 2025, reflecting both rising smartphone and internet penetration and growing comfort among borrowers with app-based financial services. According to RBI data, the proportion of MSMEs accessing credit through digital channels has grown to over 30 percent, a meaningful shift from a lending landscape that was almost entirely branch-and-paperwork-based only a decade ago.

This growth has been driven substantially by alternative data scoring. Rather than relying solely on a borrower's formal credit history through agencies such as CIBIL, digital lenders increasingly draw on UPI transaction patterns, utility payment consistency, GST filing history, and telecom usage data to assess creditworthiness. This matters specifically for what the industry terms 'thin-file' borrowers, individuals or small businesses with little or no formal credit history, who would previously have been effectively invisible to a conventional underwriting process regardless of their actual ability to repay.

3. Public Digital Infrastructure and the Regulatory Response

The Reserve Bank of India has taken an increasingly active role in shaping this market, both as an enabler and as a regulator. On the enabling side, the RBI has championed the Unified Lending Interface, intended to extend the interoperability and reach that the Unified Payments Interface achieved for payments into the credit market. The Account Aggregator framework, Trade Receivables Discounting System, and GST data trails are positioned as components of a broader digital public infrastructure stack that RBI Governor Sanjay Malhotra, addressing MSME entrepreneurs in June 2026, described as essential to expanding credit access, arguing that lenders should treat MSMEs as long-term business partners rather than a regulatory obligation.

On the regulatory side, the RBI's Digital Lending Guidelines, first issued in September 2022 and refreshed in subsequent years, established binding rules for the sector: loan disbursal must occur only through a borrower's own bank account, First Loss Default Guarantee arrangements between lending platforms and their partner regulated entities are capped at 5 percent of the outstanding portfolio, and lending apps are prohibited from accessing a borrower's phone contacts, a rule introduced specifically in response to documented harassment practices by some unregulated lenders. Enforcement has been active rather than symbolic: in early 2025 alone, over 2,000 unauthorised lending apps were flagged for removal from app stores in coordination with Google and Apple, and by 2026 every legitimate digital lending app is required to be traceable, through the RBI's Centralised Information Management System, to a specific licensed bank or non-banking financial company.

4. The Unresolved Question: Deepening Access or Redirecting It?

The central claim made on behalf of digital lending, that it expands genuine financial inclusion for previously underserved semi-urban and rural micro-entrepreneurs, is more contested in the evidence than the growth statistics alone suggest. Policy analysis of the Unified Lending Interface has noted that integration friction with existing technology systems has slowed adoption disproportionately among smaller institutions and regional rural banks, precisely the institutions closest to the borrowers the framework is meant to serve. The same analysis observes that transparency around loan-level and state-wise disbursement data remains limited, which constrains independent evaluation of whether digital lending infrastructure is genuinely reaching underserved rural and MSME borrowers, or is instead channelling additional credit through urban and peri-urban segments that were already reasonably well served.

This is not a minor caveat. It is the difference between a genuine financial inclusion success story and a more modest story of convenience and speed improvements for borrowers who, while perhaps not previously banked in the fullest sense, were never the most excluded segment of the population. Institutions such as SIDBI have responded with targeted interventions, including a co-lending platform launched in partnership with Regional Rural Banks specifically to extend digital lending infrastructure into rural and semi-urban areas that fintech-led platforms, driven by commercial incentives, have been slower to prioritise.

5. Discussion

Three tensions are worth holding in mind when evaluating this sector. First, the same alternative-data underwriting that allows thin-file borrowers to access credit at all also raises open questions about algorithmic accountability: the RBI's own parallel framework for responsible and ethical use of artificial intelligence in financial services remains under active development rather than settled, meaning the rules governing how these lending decisions are made are still being written even as the lending itself scales rapidly. Second, rapid growth and regulatory tightening are occurring simultaneously rather than sequentially, which is unusual: normally a sector matures before regulation catches up, but in Indian digital lending the RBI has been an active shaper of market structure from a relatively early stage. Third, and most fundamentally, aggregate growth statistics, market size, MSME digital credit share, app volume, do not by themselves answer the distributional question of who is actually being reached, a question that requires the loan-level and geographic transparency that policy analysts have identified as currently lacking.

6. Conclusion

Digital lending has undeniably changed the mechanics of how credit reaches India's micro-entrepreneurs, replacing paperwork-heavy, branch-dependent processes with app-based, data-driven underwriting that can extend credit to borrowers a conventional bank would not have considered. The Reserve Bank of India has responded with both enabling infrastructure and meaningful consumer protection regulation. What remains genuinely open, however, is whether this transformation reaches the semi-urban and rural micro-entrepreneurs most often invoked in describing its purpose, or whether it primarily accelerates and cheapens credit access for borrowers who were already closer to the formal financial system. Resolving that question will require the kind of granular, geographically disaggregated lending data that is not yet consistently available, and it is a more honest starting point for discussion than treating the sector's growth figures as self-evidently a financial inclusion success.

References

International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews. (2025). Rise of digital lending platforms and their impact on financial inclusion in India. IJRPR, 6(6), 7178–7189.

IMPRI Impact and Policy Research Institute. (2025). Unified Lending Interface: RBI's digital push for faster credit access.

Press Information Bureau, Department of Financial Services. (2026). DFS convenes a high-level meeting to scale up Unified Lending Interface (ULI) for inclusive credit access.

Reserve Bank of India. (2022, updated 2025-26). Master Direction – Digital Lending Guidelines. Circular DOR.CRE.REC.66/21.07.001/2022-23.

Business Standard. (2026, June 22). Banks must tap digital rails to deepen MSME credit access: RBI Governor.

Indian Masterminds. (2026, June). SIDBI-RRB digital lending platform to boost rural MSMEs, expand credit access and create jobs.

IncorpX. (2026). Digital lending RBI guidelines: Compliance guide 2026.