

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58616

Title: Penile Fracture with Isolated Corpus Cavernosum Injury: A Case Report and Review of Indian Literature

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The case describes a rare urological emergency with good clinical relevance
- Clinical presentation and diagnosis are clearly documented
- Surgical procedure is explained in a simple and systematic manner
- Good quality intraoperative images support the case findings
- The discussion is supported by relevant published literature
- The postoperative outcome is clearly reported
- The report has educational value for surgeons and emergency physicians

Weakness of the study:

- The report is based on a single patient, limiting generalizability
- Ethical approval and patient consent should be stated explicitly
- Follow up duration is relatively short
- More details on postoperative functional assessment would strengthen the report
- Minor grammatical and formatting corrections are needed
- The discussion could include more recent international literature
- Differential diagnosis could be discussed in greater detail

Reviewers Comments:

This manuscript presents a well documented case of penile fracture with isolated corpus cavernosum injury and highlights the importance of early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention. The clinical presentation, imaging findings, operative management, and postoperative recovery are described in a logical and easy to understand manner. The inclusion of intraoperative photographs improves the educational value of the report. The manuscript is generally well written, although minor grammatical errors and formatting inconsistencies should be corrected before publication. Ethical aspects require improvement by clearly mentioning institutional ethical approval or stating that it was not required for this type of case report, along with written informed consent from the patient for publication of clinical details and images. The main limitation is that the report describes a single case with a relatively short follow up period. Despite these limitations, the manuscript provides useful clinical information and contributes to the existing literature on this uncommon urological emergency. Overall, it is suitable for publication after minor revision.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original case report with a review of relevant literature, and no obvious evidence of previous publication or duplicate content was identified during review. No clear signs of plagiarism were observed. A routine plagiarism similarity check by the journal is recommended before publication to confirm originality and compliance with publication ethics.