

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58576

Title: Family Life in the Context of Schizophrenia: A Unique Dynamic

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The topic is relevant and addresses an important mental health issue
- The manuscript presents a comprehensive review of family dynamics in schizophrenia
- The discussion is supported by a wide range of published literature
- The Moroccan context adds regional value to the review
- The conclusion highlights the importance of family support
- References are adequate and generally appropriate
- The article has educational value for mental health professionals

Weakness of the study:

- The review methodology is not described in sufficient detail
- Inclusion and exclusion criteria for literature are missing
- Ethical considerations for the review are not mentioned
- Some sections are lengthy and repetitive
- Minor grammatical and language issues reduce readability
- More recent international evidence could strengthen the discussion
- Practical recommendations for clinicians could be expanded

Reviewers Comments:

This manuscript discusses the role of family dynamics in the management of schizophrenia with particular emphasis on the Moroccan context. The topic is important and socially relevant, and the article provides a broad overview of existing literature related to family support, relapse prevention, stigma, and mental health care. The manuscript is generally well organized and supported by appropriate references. As this is a narrative review, ethical clearance is not mandatory, although the authors may mention that no human participants or patient data were involved. The writing is understandable, but the English abstract requires grammatical improvement and careful language editing for better clarity and consistency. The methodology for selecting the reviewed literature should be described more clearly to improve transparency. Some sections are repetitive and could be shortened. Overall, the manuscript offers useful insights and has educational value. With minor revisions in language, structure, and methodology, it would be suitable for publication.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original review article based on published literature, and no obvious evidence of duplicate publication was identified during assessment. There are no clear indications of plagiarism in the submitted version. However, a standard plagiarism similarity check by the journal is recommended before acceptance to confirm originality and proper citation practices.