

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR- 58539

Title: Cosmological and Human Framework of Political Astrology within Indian Knowledge System (Bhartiya Gyan Prampra Ke Antargat Rajnitik Jyotish Shastra Ka Brahmandeey Ewam Manveey Praroop)

Recommendation:

Accept after minor revision

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance	✓			

Reviewer's ID: Abdul Haseeb Mir

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This manuscript attempts to establish "Rajnitik Jyotish Shastra" (termed by the author as "Cosmo-Political Realism") as an independent, interdisciplinary sub-field of political science. Utilizing an inductive approach, the author maps planetary transits and astronomical cycles derived from texts such as the *Surya Siddhanta* and *Brihat Samhita* onto political developments and global crises spanning 2016–2026. The paper proposes the Cosmo-State Equilibrium Theory (CSET), defined by a functional equation $SS_E = f(C_V \rightarrow P_M \rightarrow P_O)$, to argue that state stability depends on aligning statecraft with cosmic cycles rather than relying solely on materialist, anthropogenic frameworks. The manuscript synthesizes classical Western political theories (such as Weber, Mearsheimer, Foucault, Gramsci, Jervis, Lijphart, and Organski) with indigenous concepts like *Yat Pinde Tat Brahmande* and *Ram Rajya* to construct a multi-layered causal model.

While the author demonstrates ambitious theoretical synthesis and a novel approach to decolonizing political epistemology through the Indian Knowledge System (IKS), the paper suffers from methodological, empirical, and structural flaws. The core argument hinges on an unproven causal link between astronomical movements and human political outcomes, often confusing retrospective correlation with scientific causation. Extensive structural and conceptual revisions are required before this manuscript can be considered suitable for scholarly publication.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Recommendations for Improvement

- **Methodological Rigor and Epistemological Validation:** The paper claims to utilize an "inductive research method" that yields "scientific validation," "calculable data," and "predictability". However, the decade data analysis (2016–2026) relies on selective, retrospective fitting of global events to arbitrary planetary transits. The author must explicitly address the problem of confirmation bias and clarify how the model can be falsified or empirically tested beyond anecdotal historical alignment.
- **Conceptual Clarification of Transmission Mechanisms:** The intervening variable \$P_M\$ (Psychological Mediation / Collective Psyche) is asserted as the bridge between cosmic vectors (\$C_V\$) and political outcomes (\$P_O\$). The author must provide quantifiable metrics or empirical evidence showing how planetary alignments directly alter elite cognitive psychology or mass behavior, rather than simply asserting this connection theoretically.
- **Structural Streamlining and Redundancy:** The manuscript contains significant textual duplication. The discussions introducing Western political theorists in Section 1.2 and Section 2.4 are repeated almost verbatim in the "Theoretical Synthesis" sub-sections of Section 8.2. The author should consolidate these theoretical reviews to streamline the narrative and avoid repetitive prose.
- **Tone and Authorial Declarations:** The "Declaration of Originality" in Section 7.5 uses overly personal and dogmatic language ("I, Vishal Sharma, hereby solemnly declare..."). Formal academic journal reviews require an objective, third-person presentation; such self-declarations should be removed or moved to an administrative submission block.
- **Formatting and Editorial Cleanup:** The manuscript contains multiple typographical artifacts (e.g., stray draft markers such as ER PEER REVIEW IN HAR on line 56, ER REVIEW IN IJAR on line 90, and UNDER PEER REVIEW on line 964). Additionally, in-text citation spacing and reference list formatting must be thoroughly proofread and standardized.

Recommendation to the Editor

Revision Required.

The manuscript addresses an innovative topic by seeking to integrate traditional Indian Knowledge Systems into modern political theory and risk assessment. However, in its present form, the paper fails to establish a scientific causal framework and suffers from heavy repetition, unverified empirical assertions, and drafting artifacts. If the author strictly grounds the claims within a conceptual/theoretical framework, removes repetitive theoretical blocks, addresses methodological limitations objectively, and cleans up the editorial errors, the paper may be reconsidered for publication.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT