

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58512

Title: Octreotide as an Adjunct to Step-Up Nonoperative Management of a High-Output Strasberg Type C Bile Duct Injury After Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Case Report

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The case addresses a rare and clinically important complication
- Clinical presentation and management are described in a logical sequence
- Imaging findings effectively support the diagnosis
- The discussion is supported with relevant and recent literature
- Practical management recommendations are provided
- The manuscript follows a structured case report format
- The conclusions remain balanced and clinically meaningful

Weakness of the study:

- The report is based on a single patient only
- Long term clinical and radiological follow up is unavailable
- Ethical approval or patient consent statement should be stated more clearly
- The effect of octreotide cannot be confirmed with certainty
- Some sections are longer than necessary
- Minor grammatical and formatting corrections are required
- The generalizability of the findings is limited

Reviewers Comments:

This case report presents an uncommon Strasberg type C bile duct injury managed with a step-up nonoperative approach using octreotide as an adjunctive treatment. The manuscript is well organized, clinically relevant, and supported by appropriate imaging and current literature. The discussion explains the rationale for management and appropriately acknowledges that octreotide should not be considered definitive therapy. The writing is generally clear, although a few grammatical and formatting issues should be corrected to improve readability. The authors should also clearly mention ethical approval or patient consent for publication, if applicable, in accordance with journal requirements. The major limitation is the absence of long-term follow-up, making it difficult to determine the definitive outcome and sustained effectiveness of treatment. As this is a single case report, the findings cannot be generalized. Overall, the manuscript contributes useful clinical experience and is suitable for publication after minor revisions.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to describe an original clinical case, and there is no obvious indication of previous publication. No clear evidence of duplicate publication was identified during review. However, the journal should perform a routine plagiarism similarity check before acceptance to confirm originality and compliance with publication ethics.