

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58509

Title: Effectiveness of Warm Water Footbath on Quality of Sleep Among Cancer Patients

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	✓			
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The study addresses an important supportive care issue in cancer patients
- The research objective is clear and clinically relevant
- A control group was included for comparison
- Ethical approval and informed consent were appropriately obtained
- A validated sleep assessment tool was used
- Statistical analysis is suitable for the study design
- The findings have practical value for nursing practice and patient care

Weakness of the study:

- The sample size is relatively small
- Non-probability sampling limits generalizability of findings
- The study was conducted at a single centre
- Randomization and blinding were not performed
- Long-term follow-up was not included
- Some grammatical and formatting errors need correction
- The discussion could compare findings with more recent literature

Reviewers Comments:

The manuscript evaluates the effectiveness of warm water footbath on sleep quality among cancer patients using a quasi-experimental design. The topic is relevant and the intervention is simple, safe, inexpensive, and applicable in routine nursing care. The methodology is adequately described, and the use of a standardized sleep assessment tool strengthens the study. Ethical clearance and informed consent have been clearly reported, which is appreciated. The results are presented in a logical manner and support the study objective. However, the manuscript would benefit from careful language editing to correct minor grammatical errors and improve sentence flow. The limitations, including the small sample size, purposive sampling, single-centre setting, and lack of randomization, should be discussed in greater detail. A stronger comparison with recent studies would also enhance the discussion. Overall, this is a meaningful and well-conducted study with practical implications for oncology nursing, requiring only minor revisions before publication.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be original, and there is no clear indication that it has been published previously. No obvious signs of duplicate publication were identified during review. However, a standard plagiarism similarity check should be performed by the journal before publication to confirm originality and ensure compliance with publication ethics.