

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58434

Title: *Bactéries Pathogènes dans les Poulets de Chair Vendus sur les Marchés de Bamako et ses Environs : Quels Risques pour les Consommateurs ?*

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The study addresses an important food safety and public health issue
- Samples were collected from multiple markets, improving geographic coverage
- Standard microbiological methods and ISO guidelines were followed
- Results are presented clearly using tables and figures
- The discussion is supported by relevant recent literature
- Practical recommendations are provided for improving food safety
- The findings have value for policymakers and public health authorities

Weakness of the study:

- The sample size is relatively small for broader conclusions
- Statistical analysis is limited and needs more detail
- Some tables require better formatting and presentation
- Minor grammatical and language errors are present
- The discussion could compare findings with more international studies
- Study limitations are not clearly explained
- The manuscript needs careful proofreading before publication

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript investigates the presence of pathogenic bacteria in broiler chickens sold in markets around Bamako and highlights an important public health concern. The objectives are clear, and the use of standard microbiological methods strengthens the reliability of the findings. The results are presented in an organized manner with useful tables and figures, making the manuscript easy to understand. The discussion relates the findings to previous studies and emphasizes their practical implications. Ethical aspects related to animal handling and permission for sample collection have been mentioned, which is appropriate. However, the manuscript would benefit from improved grammar, clearer formatting of some tables, and a more detailed statistical analysis. The authors should also include a dedicated section describing the study limitations and discuss the limited sample size. Overall, the study is relevant, informative, and provides useful evidence for food safety surveillance, but it requires minor revisions before publication.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original research study and there is no obvious indication that it has been published elsewhere. No clear signs of copied content were identified during this review. However, the journal should perform a standard plagiarism similarity check before publication to confirm originality and compliance with publication ethics.