

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58429

Title: *Ervaru: An Underexplored Medicinal Plant in Ayurveda*

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The review highlights an underexplored medicinal plant with clinical relevance
- Classical Ayurvedic texts are comprehensively reviewed and compared
- Modern botanical and pharmacological information is included
- Tables improve the organization and presentation of data
- The manuscript follows a logical structure from classical to modern evidence
- References are relevant and mostly appropriate
- The review has educational value for Ayurveda researchers and practitioners

Weakness of the study:

- The literature search methodology is not clearly described
- Critical appraisal of available evidence is limited
- Some sections are descriptive and repetitive
- Minor grammatical and formatting errors need correction
- More recent experimental and clinical studies should be included
- Ethical statement for the review is not mentioned
- The limitations of the available evidence require clearer discussion

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript presents a comprehensive review of Ervaru by compiling information from classical Ayurvedic texts and modern scientific literature. The topic is relevant because this medicinal plant has received limited attention despite its therapeutic importance in Ayurveda. The tables summarizing synonyms, classifications, Rasapanchaka, Doshakarma, and therapeutic actions improve readability and make the manuscript useful for students and researchers. The manuscript is generally well organized and easy to follow. However, the review would benefit from a clearer description of the literature search strategy and selection criteria. More critical discussion of the available pharmacological and clinical evidence would further strengthen the scientific value of the review. Since this is a literature review, institutional ethical clearance is generally not required, but the authors should clearly mention this. Minor grammatical, formatting, and citation inconsistencies should be corrected before publication. Overall, the manuscript is informative, well structured, and suitable for publication after minor revision.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original review prepared from classical Ayurvedic texts and published scientific literature. No obvious evidence of previous publication or duplicated content was identified during this review. However, a routine plagiarism similarity check should be performed by the journal before publication to confirm originality and ensure compliance with accepted publication ethics.