

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: **IJAR-58427**

Title: **CONTEMPORARY FOOD SECURITY POLICIES**

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision✓.....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer's ID: JPR- **098**

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Decision: Accept after Major Revision

The manuscript discusses **contemporary food security policies**, proposing an integrated policy framework to enhance national food system resilience against climate change, trade disruptions, and supply-chain shocks through strategic reserves, adaptive governance, and balanced food security policies.

Strengths:

- Addresses a highly relevant and globally significant topic in **food security and sustainable policy development**.
- Presents a holistic discussion of food security by integrating **availability, accessibility, resilience, and sustainability**.
- Highlights the impacts of climate change, geopolitical instability, and supply-chain disruptions on food systems.
- Supports the discussion with relevant international literature (FAO, World Bank, resilience frameworks).
- Provides practical policy recommendations emphasizing strategic reserves, adaptive governance, and balanced domestic production.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Major Issues:

- The manuscript is **primarily conceptual** and lacks empirical research, case studies, or quantitative analysis to validate the proposed policy framework.
- The methodology is limited to a general policy analysis and does not describe a systematic research design, analytical framework, or evaluation procedure.
- No data analysis, statistical evidence, simulations, or comparative policy assessment is presented to support the conclusions.
- The proposed integrated policy model remains largely theoretical without demonstrating its practical implementation or effectiveness.
- The discussion lacks country-specific case studies or comparative international examples to strengthen policy recommendations.
- The novelty and scientific contribution beyond existing food security frameworks are not clearly established.

Minor Issues:

- The manuscript is **very brief (only four pages)** and lacks sufficient depth for a research article.
- Several grammatical, stylistic, and formatting inconsistencies require careful proofreading.
- The Results section mainly restates objectives rather than presenting actual research findings.
- The reference list is limited and could be expanded with more recent literature (2023–2025).
- Keywords and abstract should better emphasize the manuscript's specific contribution.

Final Comment:

The manuscript addresses an important and timely issue in food security policy and offers useful conceptual insights into improving resilience under climate and trade shocks. However, the paper lacks the methodological rigor, empirical validation, and analytical depth expected of a research article. Strengthening the study with quantitative evidence, case studies, a clearer research

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

methodology, and a more explicit demonstration of novelty would substantially improve its quality.