

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58421

Title: *Ulcerated Infantile Hemangioma in a Newborn: A Case Report*

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The manuscript presents a rare and clinically important neonatal case
- Clinical history and disease progression are described clearly
- Treatment protocol is well explained and easy to follow
- Clinical photographs effectively demonstrate the response to treatment
- The discussion is supported by relevant literature
- Learning points are concise and clinically useful
- The report has educational value for pediatric and dermatology practice

Weakness of the study:

- The report is limited to a single clinical case
- Long term follow up after treatment is not available
- Ethical approval and patient consent are not clearly stated
- Some grammatical and language errors need correction
- Discussion could include more comparison with similar reported cases
- Figure legends require minor improvement
- Conclusions should be more cautious considering the limited evidence

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript presents an interesting case of ulcerated infantile hemangioma in a newborn and highlights the successful use of propranolol combined with appropriate local wound care. The case is well organized, and the clinical history, investigations, management, and follow up are presented in a logical sequence. The inclusion of clinical photographs strengthens the report and clearly demonstrates the therapeutic response. The discussion is relevant and supported by appropriate literature, making the manuscript useful for clinicians managing complicated infantile hemangiomas. However, the manuscript requires careful language editing to improve grammar, sentence construction, and overall readability. The authors should clearly state whether institutional ethical clearance was obtained or exempted and confirm that written informed consent for publication of the patient's clinical details and photographs was obtained from the parents. As this is a single case report, the limitations regarding generalizability and the absence of long term follow up should be discussed more clearly. Overall, the manuscript is informative and suitable for publication after minor revision.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original case report, and no obvious evidence of previous publication or duplicated content was identified during this review. However, the journal should perform a routine plagiarism similarity check before publication to confirm originality and ensure compliance with accepted publication ethics.