

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58408

Title: *Ayurvedic Management of Madhumeha (Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus): A Case Report*

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The manuscript addresses a clinically relevant topic with practical significance
- Clinical history and patient assessment are described in a systematic manner
- Laboratory findings support the reported clinical improvement
- Follow up observations are clearly documented
- The discussion is supported by relevant literature
- Patient consent has been included
- The case provides useful preliminary evidence for future clinical research

Weakness of the study:

- The study is based on a single patient and lacks generalizability
- The follow up period is relatively short
- HbA1c estimation was not included
- A control or comparison is not available
- Some formatting and typographical issues require correction
- One medicine manufacturer detail is incomplete
- The conclusions should be presented with greater caution

Reviewer's Comments:

The manuscript presents a well-organized case report on the Ayurvedic management of Madhumeha with supportive clinical and laboratory findings. The patient's history, treatment protocol, follow up, and outcome are described in a logical sequence, making the report easy to follow. The inclusion of laboratory reports strengthens the clinical observations. Patient consent has been appropriately documented; however, ethical clearance or a statement regarding exemption for this case report should also be clearly mentioned according to the journal policy. The language is generally understandable, although minor grammatical, formatting, and typographical corrections are needed. The missing manufacturer information for one formulation should be completed before publication. As this is a single case with a short follow up period and without HbA1c assessment or a comparison group, the findings should be interpreted carefully. Overall, the manuscript is informative and contributes useful preliminary evidence, but it requires minor revision before publication.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original case report, and no obvious evidence of prior publication was identified during this review. A standard plagiarism similarity check should be performed by the journal before publication to confirm originality and ensure compliance with ethical publishing requirements.