

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58250

Title: Prescription Pattern of Drugs in Management of Acute Coronary Syndrome in Post Angioplasty Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity	✓			
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The study addresses an important area of cardiovascular pharmacotherapy
- A large sample size improves the reliability of the findings
- WHO core prescribing indicators provide a standardized assessment
- Drug utilization patterns are presented clearly with tables and graphs
- Relevant clinical variables and comorbidities are included
- The discussion is supported by current guidelines and literature
- Practical recommendations enhance the clinical value of the study

Weakness of the study:

- Ethical clearance and informed consent details are not mentioned
- The study is limited to a single tertiary care center
- Cross sectional design does not assess long term outcomes
- Some sections contain repetitive discussion
- Generic prescribing data are inconsistent between results and discussion
- Minor grammatical and formatting corrections are required
- Clinical outcomes were not correlated with prescribing patterns

Reviewers Comments:

The manuscript presents a comprehensive evaluation of prescribing patterns among post angioplasty patients with acute coronary syndrome, an important topic in cardiovascular medicine. The study includes a large sample and appropriately uses WHO prescribing indicators to assess rational drug use. The results are presented clearly with informative tables and graphs, and the discussion is supported by current clinical guidelines and published literature. However, the manuscript should clearly mention institutional ethical committee approval and informed consent, as these essential details are missing. A notable inconsistency exists regarding the proportion of drugs prescribed by generic name and from the Essential Medicines List, which should be corrected for accuracy. Minor grammatical errors, repetitive statements, and formatting inconsistencies also require attention. The authors have appropriately discussed several limitations, including the single center design and lack of long term follow up. Overall, the manuscript is scientifically relevant and suitable for publication after minor revision.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be an original research study, and no obvious evidence of duplicate publication or copied content was identified during this review. No apparent plagiarism concerns were observed. However, the journal should conduct a routine plagiarism similarity check before publication to confirm originality and ensure compliance with publication ethics.