

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58234

Title: Qualitative and quantitative optimization of phosphoric acid production from phosphate (Yousoufia of Morocco), sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, or acetic acid. Validity and irregularity.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Id: JPR-275

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

The manuscript addresses an important and clinically relevant topic—angina pectoris—and focuses on public awareness and understanding of its risk factors, symptoms, and pathophysiology. The subject is valuable from a public health education perspective, particularly in improving cardiovascular disease awareness.

Overall, the manuscript is well-written and demonstrates a strong understanding of the medical background of angina pectoris. However, a few improvements are needed to enhance its suitability for publication in a survey-based research format:

- **Clarification of Study Design:** The manuscript is currently more descriptive and literature-based. A clearer description of the survey methodology (study population, sample size, questionnaire design, and data collection procedure) would strengthen the work.
- **Inclusion of Results Section:** Although the manuscript is informative, it should include summarized survey findings (e.g., awareness levels, knowledge gaps, or percentages) to align with its title.
- **Structural Refinement:** Minor restructuring is recommended to clearly separate Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion sections.
- **Conciseness:** Some sections, particularly the background information on angina classification and pathophysiology, may be slightly condensed to maintain focus on the survey objectives.
- **Referencing Style:** Citations should be standardized and formatted consistently throughout the manuscript.

In summary, the manuscript has good academic value and relevance. With minor revisions—especially the inclusion of clearer survey methodology and results—it will be suitable for publication.