

# Correlation between HbA1c and microalbuminuria in type II diabetes mellitus: impact of disease duration.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a leading cause of chronic kidney disease worldwide, with microalbuminuria serving as the earliest detectable marker of diabetic nephropathy. Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) reflects long-term glycaemic control and is postulated to correlate with renal microvascular injury. However, the modulating role of disease duration on this relationship remains incompletely characterised.

**Objectives:** To compare HbA1c and microalbuminuria (urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio, ACR) between T2DM patients with disease duration <5 years and >5 years, and to assess the correlation between HbA1c and ACR within each group.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional observational study was conducted on 121 diagnosed T2DM patients (59 with disease duration <5 years and 62 with >5 years) attending a tertiary care hospital. HbA1c was measured by HPLC, and microalbuminuria was quantified as spot urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR). Pearson's correlation coefficient and independent samples t-test were used for statistical analysis.

**Results:** The mean HbA1c was significantly higher in the >5 years group ( $10.26 \pm 1.70\%$ ) compared to the <5 years group ( $6.82 \pm 0.80\%$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ). Mean ACR was also significantly elevated ( $56.41 \pm 38.15$  vs  $23.87 \pm 26.58$  mg/g;  $p < 0.0001$ ). In the >5 years group, HbA1c showed a significant positive correlation with microalbumin ( $r = 0.444$ ,  $p = 0.0003$ ) and ACR ( $r = 0.591$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ). In the <5 years group, the correlation was significant only for ACR ( $r = 0.489$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ) but not for urine microalbumin ( $r = 0.156$ ,  $p = 0.237$ ). Among patients with HbA1c >10%, 89.2% had microalbuminuria.

**Conclusion:** Disease duration significantly amplifies the correlation between HbA1c and microalbuminuria in T2DM. The ACR is a sensitive marker even in early disease. Regular HbA1c monitoring and ACR screening should be initiated at diagnosis to prevent progression to overt nephropathy.

**Keywords:** *Type 2 diabetes mellitus; HbA1c; microalbuminuria; albumin-to-creatinine ratio; diabetic nephropathy; disease duration; glycaemic control*

## INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a major global health burden, with an estimated 537 million adults affected worldwide in 2021, projected to reach 783 million by 2045 [1]. India alone accounts for approximately 77 million diabetic individuals, making it the second largest diabetic population globally [2]. Among the chronic complications of T2DM, diabetic nephropathy (DN) is

37 the leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), affecting 20-40% of patients over their  
38 lifetime [3].

39 Microalbuminuria, defined as a urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR) of 30-300 mg/g  
40 creatinine, represents the earliest detectable stage of diabetic nephropathy, preceding overt  
41 proteinuria by several years [4]. Early identification of microalbuminuria is critical, as timely  
42 interventions including strict glycaemic control and renin-angiotensin system blockade can retard  
43 renal progression and reduce cardiovascular risk [5].

44 Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) is the gold standard biomarker for long-term glycaemic  
45 control, reflecting mean blood glucose levels over the preceding 2-3 months [6]. Hyperglycaemia  
46 drives renal microvascular injury through multiple pathways including advanced glycation end-  
47 product (AGE) accumulation, activation of the polyol pathway, protein kinase C activation, and  
48 oxidative stress, collectively promoting glomerular hyperfiltration, podocyte injury, and mesangial  
49 matrix expansion [7,8].

50 While the association between poor glycaemic control and nephropathy is well  
51 established, the modulating effect of disease duration on the HbA1c-microalbuminuria relationship  
52 has received comparatively less attention, particularly in the Indian population. Understanding this  
53 relationship is essential for stratifying nephropathy risk and tailoring screening protocols.

54 The present study was designed to compare HbA1c and ACR between T2DM patients  
55 with disease duration <5 years and >5 years, and examine the correlation between HbA1c and  
56 ACR in each subgroup, to clarify whether disease duration modulates this relationship.

## 57 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### 58 **Study Design and Setting**

59 This was a hospital-based cross-sectional observational study conducted at a tertiary care  
60 teaching hospital in Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India. The study was approved by the Institutional  
61 Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### 62 **Study Population**

63 A total of 121 patients with established Type 2 diabetes mellitus were enrolled. Patients  
64 were categorised into two groups based on disease duration: Group A (<5 years, n=59) and  
65 Group B (>5 years, n=62). Inclusion criteria were: age 18-65 years; confirmed T2DM as per ADA  
66 2023 criteria [9]; willingness to participate. Exclusion criteria included: known primary renal  
67 disease; urinary tract infection at the time of sampling; haematuria; pregnancy;  
68 haemoglobinopathies affecting HbA1c accuracy; severe hepatic or cardiac failure.

### 69 **Clinical and Laboratory Parameters**

70 All patients underwent a detailed clinical evaluation including history of diabetes duration,  
71 smoking status, and residence (rural/urban). Blood samples were collected after overnight fasting.  
72 HbA1c was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a standardised

73 analyser. A fresh spot urine sample was collected for urine microalbumin and urine creatinine  
74 estimation. Urinary ACR was calculated as urine albumin (mg/dL) / urine creatinine (g/dL).  
75 Additional biochemical parameters including serum electrolytes, kidney function tests (serum urea  
76 and creatinine), and fasting lipid profile (total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, TG, VLDL) were measured  
77 by standard enzymatic methods.

## 78 **Statistical Analysis**

79 Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and analysed using SPSS version 23. Continuous  
80 variables are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Between-group comparisons were  
81 performed using the independent samples t-test. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was used to  
82 assess the linear relationship between HbA1c and microalbumin/ACR. A p-value of  $<0.05$  was  
83 considered statistically significant.

## 84 **RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS**

### 85 **Demographic Profile**

86 The study enrolled 121 T2DM patients: 59 in Group A (disease duration  $<5$  years) and 62 in  
87 Group B ( $>5$  years). The mean age of the entire cohort was  $48.1 \pm 8.0$  years (range 28-60 years).  
88 The sex distribution was 59 males and 62 females. Demographic parameters were comparable  
89 between the two groups with no statistically significant differences (Table 1).

90  
91 **Table 1: Demographic Profile of Study Groups**

Parameter	$<5$ Years (n=59)	$>5$ Years (n=62)	p-value	Significance
Age (years), Mean $\pm$ SD	$47.9 \pm 8.1$	$48.3 \pm 8.0$	0.794	NS
Sex: Male/Female	31M / 28F	28M / 34F	0.391	NS
Urban : Rural	46 : 13	51 : 11	0.511	NS
Smoker / Ex-smoker / Non-smoker	5 / 10 / 44	12 / 12 / 38	0.212	NS

92  
93 **Comparison of HbA1c and Renal Parameters**  
94 Patients with disease duration  $>5$  years had significantly higher mean HbA1c ( $10.26 \pm 1.70\%$ )  
95 compared to those with  $<5$  years ( $6.82 \pm 0.80\%$ ;  $p<0.0001$ ). Similarly, mean urine microalbumin  
96 and ACR were significantly elevated in Group B (Table 2). Mean ACR in the  $>5$  years group  
97 ( $56.41 \pm 38.15$  mg/g) was more than double that of the  $<5$  years group ( $23.87 \pm 26.58$  mg/g),  
98 indicating a substantially greater burden of early renal injury with increasing disease duration.

99  
100 **Table 2: Comparison of HbA1c and Renal Parameters between Groups**

Parameter	$<5$ Years (n=59)	$>5$ Years (n=62)	t-value	p-value
-----------	-------------------	-------------------	---------	---------

HbA1c (%)	6.82 ± 0.80	10.26 ± 1.70	-14.13	<0.0001*
Urine Microalbumin (mg/dL)	3.70 ± 8.90	6.63 ± 4.52	-2.30	0.0233*
ACR (mg/g creatinine)	23.87 ± 26.58	56.41 ± 38.15	-5.42	<0.0001*

101

102 \*Statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). NS = Not Significant.

103

### 104 Correlation between HbA1c and Microalbuminuria

105 Pearson's correlation analysis revealed that in Group B (>5 years), HbA1c showed a statistically  
 106 significant positive correlation with both urine microalbumin ( $r=0.444$ ,  $p=0.0003$ ) and ACR  
 107 ( $r=0.591$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ). In Group A (<5 years), the correlation between HbA1c and urine  
 108 microalbumin was not statistically significant ( $r=0.156$ ,  $p=0.237$ ), but the correlation with ACR was  
 109 significant ( $r=0.489$ ,  $p=0.0001$ ). In the overall cohort, both correlations were significant. These  
 110 findings demonstrate that disease duration substantially strengthens the HbA1c-microalbuminuria  
 111 relationship (Table 3).

112

113 **Table 3: Correlation of HbA1c vs Microalbumin and ACR**

Group	r (HbA1c vs Microalbumin)	p-value	r (HbA1c vs ACR)	p-value
<5 Years	0.156	0.2372 (NS)	0.489	0.0001*
>5 Years	0.444	0.0003*	0.591	<0.0001*
Overall (n=121)	0.309	0.0006*	0.658	<0.0001*

114

115 \*Statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). NS = Not Significant. ACR = Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio.

116

### 117 HbA1c Category and Microalbuminuria Prevalence

118 When patients were stratified by HbA1c category, a progressive increase in the prevalence of  
 119 microalbuminuria (ACR 30-300 mg/g) was observed. Only 3.3% of patients with well-controlled  
 120 HbA1c (<6.5%) had microalbuminuria, compared to 32.3% in the moderate control group (6.5-  
 121 8%), 69.6% in the uncontrolled group (8-10%), and 89.2% in the severely uncontrolled group  
 122 (>10%), demonstrating a strong dose-response relationship (Table 4).

123

124 **Table 4: HbA1c Categories and Microalbuminuria Prevalence**

HbA1c Category	n	Microalbuminuria (ACR 30-300)	Normal ACR (<30)	%MA
<6.5% (Well controlled)	30	1	29	3.3
6.5-8.0% (Moderate)	31	10	21	32.3

8.0-10% (Uncontrolled)	23	16	7	69.6
>10% (Severely Uncontrolled)	37	33	4	89.2

125  
126  
127

*MA = Microalbuminuria; ACR = Albumin-to-Creatinine Ratio.*

## 128 **DISCUSSION**

129 The present study demonstrates that disease duration is a significant modifier of the  
130 HbA1c-microalbuminuria relationship in T2DM. The most striking finding was the marked  
131 elevation in both HbA1c and ACR in patients with longer diabetes duration, corroborating the  
132 concept that cumulative glycaemic exposure drives progressive renal microvascular damage.

133 Our finding that mean HbA1c is significantly higher in the >5 years group (10.26%)  
134 compared to the <5 years group (6.82%) is consistent with the natural history of T2DM, wherein  
135 progressive beta-cell failure leads to worsening glycaemia over time. The UKPDS study  
136 demonstrated that HbA1c rises approximately 1% per decade even with conventional treatment,  
137 reflecting relentless disease progression [9,10].

138 The observation that HbA1c significantly correlates with urine microalbumin only in the >5  
139 years group ( $r=0.444$ ,  $p=0.0003$ ) while no such correlation exists in the <5 years group ( $r=0.156$ ,  
140  $p=0.237$ ) is clinically significant. This suggests that early in the disease course, renal changes are  
141 still subclinical and intermittent hyperglycaemia may not yet have produced a measurable albumin  
142 response. After 5 years of cumulative hyperglycaemic exposure, the glomerular basement  
143 membrane thickening, mesangial expansion, and podocyte injury are sufficiently advanced that  
144 any further glycaemic worsening is accompanied by a measurable increase in microalbuminuria  
145 [11,12].

146 Interestingly, ACR correlated significantly with HbA1c even in the <5 years group ( $r=0.489$ ,  
147  $p=0.0001$ ), suggesting that ACR is a more sensitive renal biomarker than spot urine microalbumin  
148 alone. This finding aligns with current clinical guidelines recommending ACR over spot  
149 microalbumin as the preferred screening tool for early diabetic nephropathy [13].

150 The dose-response relationship between HbA1c categories and microalbuminuria  
151 prevalence (3.3% at HbA1c <6.5% rising to 89.2% at HbA1c >10%) is consistent with the findings  
152 of Ohkubo et al. and the ADVANCE trial, which demonstrated a linear relationship between  
153 glycaemic control and renal outcomes [14,15]. This pattern strongly supports intensive glycaemic  
154 management to preserve renal function.

155 Our findings are comparable to those of Dabla et al. [16] who reported a significant  
156 positive correlation between HbA1c and microalbuminuria in T2DM patients, and to those of  
157 Yalagudri et al. [17] who demonstrated that prolonged hyperglycaemia is the key determinant of  
158 early nephropathy. However, by stratifying patients by disease duration, the present study adds  
159 the clinically important insight that the HbA1c-microalbuminuria correlation strengthens with  
160 disease chronicity.[18,19,20,21]

161 A notable limitation is the cross-sectional design, which precludes causal inference. The  
162 relatively small sample size and single-centre nature also limit generalisability. Prospective  
163 longitudinal studies with annual ACR measurements are needed to delineate the temporal  
164 sequence of glycaemic deterioration and renal injury.

## 165 **CONCLUSION**

166 Disease duration significantly modulates the correlation between HbA1c and microalbuminuria in  
167 Type 2 diabetes mellitus. The relationship is stronger in patients with diabetes duration exceeding  
168 5 years, while ACR serves as a sensitive early marker even in short-duration disease. The  
169 prevalence of microalbuminuria rises sharply with worsening glycaemic control. These findings  
170 underscore the importance of achieving and maintaining HbA1c targets from the earliest stage of  
171 T2DM and implementing ACR screening at the time of diagnosis to detect subclinical renal injury  
172 before it progresses to overt nephropathy.

## 173 **LIMITATIONS**

174 The cross-sectional design limits causal inference between glycaemic exposure and renal  
175 injury. Single-spot urine ACR measurement may be affected by diurnal variation; a first-morning  
176 void specimen or 24-hour urine collection would have improved accuracy. The study does not  
177 account for the duration or type of antidiabetic treatment, which may independently influence both  
178 HbA1c and renal outcomes. Blood pressure measurements and antihypertensive therapy were not  
179 systematically recorded, despite hypertension being a major cofactor for nephropathy  
180 progression. Single-centre hospital-based recruitment may introduce selection bias and limit  
181 community-level generalisability.

## 182 **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

183 Longitudinal cohort studies with serial HbA1c and ACR measurements (annual) are needed to  
184 establish the temporal sequence of glycaemic deterioration and microalbuminuria  
185 onset. Community-based screening programmes targeting rural diabetic populations where both  
186 glycaemic control and renal function surveillance are often suboptimal. Investigation of the role of  
187 epigenetic modifications and metabolic memory in perpetuating renal injury even after glycaemic  
188 correction.

## 189 **REFERENCES**

- 190 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th ed. Brussels, Belgium: IDF; 2021. Available  
191 from: <https://www.diabetesatlas.org>
- 192 2. Anjana RM, Pradeepa R, Deepa M, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in 15 states of India:  
193 results from the ICMR-INDIAB population-based cross-sectional study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.*  
194 2017;5(8):585-596.
- 195 3. Koye DN, Magliano DJ, Nelson RG, Pavkov ME. The global epidemiology of diabetes and kidney  
196 disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis.* 2018;25(2):121-132.

- 197 4. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. Standards of Medical Care in  
198 Diabetes—2023. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Suppl 1):S1-S291.
- 199 5. Heerspink HJL, Stefansson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney  
200 disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(15):1436-1446.
- 201 6. Nathan DM, Kuenen J, Borg R, et al. Translating the A1C assay into estimated average glucose values.  
202 *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(8):1473-1478.
- 203 7. Brownlee M. The pathobiology of diabetic complications: a unifying mechanism. *Diabetes*.  
204 2005;54(6):1615-1625.
- 205 8. Forbes JM, Cooper ME. Mechanisms of diabetic complications. *Physiol Rev*. 2013;93(1):137-188.
- 206 9. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Care  
207 in Diabetes—2023. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Suppl 1):S19-S40.
- 208 10. Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control  
209 in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(15):1577-1589.
- 210 11. Hivre M, Holkar S, Vaishnav D, Surkar P. Beyond HbA1c: alternative glycemc biomarkers  
211 in hemoglobinopathies, malignancy, and special clinical populations - a review. *Int J Drug Deliv Technol*.  
212 2026;16(7s): 303-327
- 213 12. Wolf G, Ziyadeh FN. Molecular mechanisms of diabetic renal hypertrophy. *Kidney Int*. 1999;56(2):393-  
214 405.
- 215 13. Bonventre JV. Can we target tubular damage to prevent renal insufficiency? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*.  
216 2014;29(Suppl 1):i62-i68.
- 217 14. KDIGO 2022 Clinical Practice Guideline for Diabetes Management in Chronic Kidney Disease. *Kidney*  
218 *Int*. 2022;102(5S):S1-S127.
- 219 15. Ohkubo Y, Kishikawa H, Araki E, et al. Intensive insulin therapy prevents the progression of diabetic  
220 microvascular complications in Japanese patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: a  
221 randomized prospective 6-year study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 1995;28(2):103-117.
- 222 16. ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with  
223 type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(24):2560-2572.
- 224 17. Dabla PK, Kumar R, Sharma TK, Singh B, Ganguly NK. Prevalence of microalbuminuria and its  
225 correlation with glycaemic control and other cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes.  
226 *Biochem Res Int*. 2012;2012:1-7.
- 227 18. Yalagudri S, Nagarajan A, Basha PM, Kumaravel R. Correlation of HbA1c levels with microalbuminuria  
228 in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Res Med Sci*. 2017;5(5):2073-2077.
- 229 19. Viberti GC, Hill RD, Jarrett RJ, Argyropoulos A, Mahmud U, Keen H. Microalbuminuria as a predictor of  
230 clinical nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Lancet*. 1982;1(8287):1430-1432.
- 231 20. Mogensen CE, Christensen CK, Vittinghus E. The stages in diabetic renal disease. With emphasis on  
232 the stage of incipient diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes*. 1983;32(Suppl 2):64-78.
- 233 21. Tuttle KR, Bakris GL, Bilous RW, et al. Diabetic kidney disease: a report from an ADA Consensus  
234 Conference. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(10):2864-2883.