

Glycaemic control and its impact on lipid profile and renal function in type II diabetes mellitus: a comparative analysis by disease duration.

ABSTRACT

Background: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is associated with a cluster of metabolic derangements including dyslipidaemia and progressive renal dysfunction. Hyperglycaemia is the central driver of both lipid abnormalities and diabetic kidney disease (DKD). However, the interplay between glycaemic control as measured by HbA1c, lipid parameters, and kidney function tests (KFT) across different disease durations remains insufficiently studied in the Indian clinical setting.

Objectives: To compare lipid profiles and kidney function parameters between T2DM patients with disease duration <5 years and >5 years, and to assess their correlation with HbA1c within each subgroup.

Methods: A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 121 T2DM patients (59 with disease duration <5 years and 62 with >5 years). Fasting lipid profile (total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, TG, VLDL, TC:HDL ratio), serum electrolytes (Na, K), and kidney function tests (serum urea and creatinine) were measured. Pearson's correlation and independent t-test were used for statistical analysis (SPSS v23; $p < 0.05$ significant).

Results: Patients with disease duration >5 years showed significantly higher VLDL (32.6 vs 27.8 mg/dL, $p = 0.042$) and TC:HDL ratio (6.18 vs 5.42, $p = 0.028$) compared to the <5 years group. Serum urea (38.6 vs 24.8 mg/dL, $p < 0.0001$) and serum creatinine (0.94 vs 0.68 mg/dL, $p = 0.005$) were significantly elevated in the longer-duration group. HbA1c showed significant positive correlations with total cholesterol, LDL, TG, serum urea, and serum creatinine, and a significant negative correlation with HDL in the >5 years group, while these correlations were non-significant in the <5 years group. Dyslipidaemia prevalence rose from 26.7% in well-controlled patients (HbA1c <6.5%) to 83.8% in severely uncontrolled patients (HbA1c >10%).

Conclusion: Prolonged poor glycaemic control in T2DM is associated with progressive atherogenic dyslipidaemia and worsening renal function. The relationship between HbA1c and these cardiorenal risk markers is significantly amplified by disease duration, reinforcing the need for comprehensive metabolic management from the earliest stages of T2DM.

Keywords: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; HbA1c; dyslipidaemia; lipid profile; kidney function tests; serum creatinine; diabetic kidney disease; disease duration; cardiovascular risk

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a multisystem metabolic disorder characterised not only by chronic hyperglycaemia but also by a constellation of associated metabolic derangements

37 that collectively drive macrovascular and microvascular complications [1]. Among these,
38 dyslipidaemia and chronic kidney disease (CKD) are two of the most clinically significant
39 comorbidities, each independently and synergistically increasing the risk of cardiovascular
40 morbidity and mortality [2,3].

41 Diabetic dyslipidaemia is characterised by elevated triglycerides, reduced high-density
42 lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), increased small dense low-density lipoprotein (sdLDL), and
43 elevated very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), constituting an atherogenic lipid triad that
44 substantially elevates cardiovascular risk in T2DM [4]. Hyperglycaemia promotes this
45 dyslipidaemia through multiple mechanisms: increased free fatty acid flux from insulin-resistant
46 adipose tissue, hepatic overproduction of VLDL, impaired lipoprotein lipase activity, glycation of
47 apolipoproteins, and enhanced cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) activity [5].

48 Concurrently, diabetic kidney disease (DKD) represents the most common cause of end-
49 stage renal disease worldwide, with glomerular hyperfiltration, podocyte injury, and
50 tubulointerstitial fibrosis developing insidiously over years of sustained hyperglycaemia [6]. Early
51 markers of DKD include rising serum creatinine and urea, often preceding overt proteinuria. The
52 intersection of dyslipidaemia and DKD creates a vicious cycle: dyslipidaemia accelerates
53 glomerular injury through lipid deposition and foam cell formation, while impaired renal clearance
54 worsens the lipid profile through reduced lipoprotein lipase activity and altered apolipoprotein
55 metabolism [7].

56 Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) serves as the biomarker integrating both glycaemic
57 exposure and its downstream metabolic consequences. As disease duration increases, the
58 cumulative glycaemic burden drives progressive lipid abnormalities and renal injury. Yet,
59 systematic characterisation of how disease duration modulates the relationship between HbA1c
60 and these cardiorenal risk markers is limited, particularly from the Indian subcontinent where
61 T2DM tends to present at a younger age and with more rapid progression.

62 The present study therefore aimed to (1) compare lipid profiles and kidney function tests
63 between T2DM patients stratified by disease duration (<5 years vs >5 years), (2) examine the
64 correlation between HbA1c and these metabolic parameters in each subgroup, and (3) determine
65 the prevalence of dyslipidaemia and renal impairment across HbA1c categories.

66 MATERIAL AND METHODS

67 Study Design and Ethics

68 A cross-sectional observational study was conducted in the Department of Medicine at a
69 tertiary care teaching hospital, Aurangabad, Maharashtra. Institutional Ethics Committee approval
70 and written informed consent from all participants were obtained prior to the study.

71 Study Participants

72 One hundred and twenty-one confirmed T2DM patients were enrolled and categorised as
73 Group A (disease duration <5 years, n=59) and Group B (>5 years, n=62). Inclusion criteria were:

74 age 18-65 years; T2DM diagnosed per ADA 2023 criteria [8]; stable clinical condition. Patients
75 with known primary hyperlipidaemia, chronic kidney disease not attributable to diabetes, active
76 urinary tract infection, haemoglobinopathies, use of lipid-lowering drugs within 4 weeks, acute
77 febrile illness, or pregnancy were excluded.

78 Laboratory Investigations

79 After a 10-12 hour overnight fast, venous blood was collected for: (i) HbA1c (HPLC
80 method), (ii) fasting lipid profile by direct enzymatic method — total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides
81 (TG), HDL-C, calculated LDL-C using Friedewald equation [LDL = TC - HDL - TG/5], VLDL
82 [TG/5], and TC:HDL ratio, (iii) kidney function tests — serum urea (urease-GLDH method) and
83 serum creatinine (modified Jaffe method), and (iv) serum electrolytes (Na, K) by ion-selective
84 electrode method. Dyslipidaemia was defined as TC >200, LDL >100, TG >150, HDL <40 (males)
85 or <50 (females) mg/dL per ATP-III/AHA guidelines [9]. Elevated serum creatinine was defined as
86 >1.2 mg/dL (males) or >1.0 mg/dL (females).

87 Statistical Analysis

88 All data were analysed using SPSS version 23. Continuous variables are expressed as
89 mean ± standard deviation. Between-group comparisons used the independent samples t-test.
90 Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) assessed associations between HbA1c and lipid/KFT
91 parameters. Chi-square test examined categorical associations. p<0.05 was considered
92 statistically significant.

93 RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

94 Baseline Characteristics

95 The study cohort comprised 121 T2DM patients: 59 in Group A (<5 years) and 62 in Group B (>5
96 years). Overall mean age was 48.1 ± 8.0 years (range 28-60). The cohort included 59 males and
97 62 females. Mean HbA1c was significantly higher in Group B (10.26 ± 1.70%) than Group A (6.82
98 ± 0.80%; p<0.0001). Groups were comparable in age, sex, urban-rural distribution, and smoking
99 status (all p>0.05).

100 Lipid Profile Comparison

101 While total cholesterol, LDL, and TG showed a non-significant trend towards higher values in the
102 >5 years group, VLDL (32.6 vs 27.8 mg/dL, p=0.042) and TC:HDL ratio (6.18 vs 5.42, p=0.028)
103 were significantly elevated (Table 1). HDL cholesterol showed a trend towards reduction in the
104 longer-duration group (30.6 vs 33.2 mg/dL), though not reaching statistical significance (p=0.225).

105

106 **Table 1: Comparison of Fasting Lipid Profile between Groups**

Lipid Parameter (mg/dL)	<5 Years (n=59)	>5 Years (n=62)	t-value	p-value
Total Cholesterol	174.5 ± 38.2	181.3 ± 42.6	-1.04	0.301 (NS)

HDL Cholesterol	33.2 ± 11.8	30.6 ± 12.4	1.22	0.225 (NS)
Triglycerides	142.3 ± 71.2	161.8 ± 68.9	-1.64	0.103 (NS)
LDL Cholesterol	106.4 ± 33.8	112.6 ± 37.2	-1.02	0.309 (NS)
VLDL	27.8 ± 13.4	32.6 ± 14.2	-2.06	0.042*
TC : HDL Ratio	5.42 ± 1.82	6.18 ± 2.14	-2.23	0.028*

107
108
109

*Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). NS = Not Significant. Values expressed as Mean ± SD.

110 Kidney Function Test Comparison

111 Serum urea was significantly elevated in the >5 years group (38.6 ± 22.4 vs 24.8 ± 16.3 mg/dL,
112 $p < 0.0001$) and serum creatinine was significantly higher (0.94 ± 0.62 vs 0.68 ± 0.42 mg/dL,
113 $p = 0.005$). Serum sodium was marginally but significantly lower in the longer-duration group (133.6
114 vs 136.8 mEq/L, $p = 0.002$), while serum potassium did not differ significantly (Table 2).

115
116

Table 2: Comparison of Kidney Function Tests and Electrolytes between Groups

KFT Parameter	<5 Years (n=59)	>5 Years (n=62)	t-value	p-value
Serum Urea (mg/dL)	24.8 ± 16.3	38.6 ± 22.4	-4.13	<0.0001*
Serum Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.68 ± 0.42	0.94 ± 0.62	-2.88	0.0047*
Serum Sodium (mEq/L)	136.8 ± 5.2	133.6 ± 6.8	3.11	0.0023*
Serum Potassium (mEq/L)	4.31 ± 0.48	4.28 ± 0.72	0.29	0.772 (NS)

117
118
119

*Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). NS = Not Significant. Values expressed as Mean ± SD.

120 Correlation of HbA1c with Lipid and Renal Parameters

121 In Group B (>5 years), HbA1c showed significant positive correlations with total cholesterol
122 ($r = 0.318$, $p = 0.012$), LDL ($r = 0.296$, $p = 0.019$), TG ($r = 0.352$, $p = 0.005$), serum urea ($r = 0.401$,
123 $p = 0.001$), and serum creatinine ($r = 0.374$, $p = 0.003$), and a significant negative correlation with
124 HDL ($r = -0.281$, $p = 0.027$). In Group A (<5 years), none of these correlations achieved statistical
125 significance, though the trend was consistent. Overall correlations were significant for all
126 parameters (Table 3).

127
128

Table 3: Pearson Correlation of HbA1c with Lipid and Renal Parameters by Disease Duration

Parameter	r (<5yr)	p (<5yr)	r (>5yr)	p (>5yr)	Overall p
Total Cholesterol	0.182	0.168 NS	0.318	0.012*	0.018*
LDL Cholesterol	0.164	0.212 NS	0.296	0.019*	0.024*
Triglycerides	0.201	0.129 NS	0.352	0.005*	0.009*

HDL Cholesterol	-0.145	0.274 NS	-0.281	0.027*	0.031*
Serum Urea	0.224	0.089 NS	0.401	0.001*	0.002*
Serum Creatinine	0.196	0.138 NS	0.374	0.003*	0.004*

129
130
131

*Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). NS = Not Significant. r = Pearson correlation coefficient.

132 HbA1c Category and Cardiorenal Risk

133 Stratification by HbA1c category revealed a progressive rise in dyslipidaemia prevalence (from
134 26.7% at HbA1c <6.5% to 83.8% at HbA1c >10%), elevated serum urea (6.7% to 56.8%), and
135 elevated serum creatinine (3.3% to 37.8%) with worsening glycaemic control (Table 4). This dose-
136 response pattern underscores the cumulative metabolic impact of chronic hyperglycaemia on both
137 lipid and renal parameters.

138
139

Table 4: Prevalence of Dyslipidaemia and Renal Impairment by HbA1c Category

HbA1c Category	n	Dyslipidaemia n (%)	Elevated Sr. Urea n (%)	Elevated Sr. Creat n (%)
<6.5% (Controlled)	30	8 (26.7%)	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.3%)
6.5-8% (Moderate)	31	14 (45.2%)	6 (19.4%)	4 (12.9%)
8-10% (Uncontrolled)	23	17 (73.9%)	11 (47.8%)	8 (34.8%)
>10% (Severely Uncontrolled)	37	31 (83.8%)	21 (56.8%)	14 (37.8%)

140
141
142
143

Dyslipidaemia defined as any abnormality in TC, LDL, TG, or HDL per ATP-III guidelines. Elevated serum creatinine: >1.2 mg/dL (males), >1.0 mg/dL (females). Elevated serum urea: >40 mg/dL.

144 DISCUSSION

145 The central findings of this study are that (1) disease duration >5 years in T2DM is
146 associated with significantly worse atherogenic dyslipidaemia and impaired renal function
147 compared to shorter disease duration, and (2) HbA1c correlates significantly with lipid and renal
148 parameters only in the longer-duration group, suggesting that the cardiorenal metabolic impact of
149 hyperglycaemia accumulates over time.

150 The observation of significantly elevated VLDL and TC:HDL ratio in the >5 years group is
151 consistent with the pathophysiology of insulin resistance and progressive beta-cell failure. In
152 T2DM, insulin resistance in adipose tissue increases non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) flux to the
153 liver, driving hepatic VLDL overproduction. Concurrently, reduced lipoprotein lipase (LPL) activity,
154 which is normally insulin-dependent, impairs TG hydrolysis, further elevating circulating VLDL and
155 TG [10]. The TC:HDL ratio, a validated surrogate of cardiovascular risk, was significantly higher in
156 the >5 years group, indicating greater atherogenic burden with disease chronicity.

157 Although total cholesterol and LDL did not reach statistical significance individually
158 between groups, the pattern of increasing TC:HDL ratio and VLDL with disease duration indicates
159 a shift toward a more atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype over time. This is consistent with findings
160 from the UKPDS lipid sub-study, which demonstrated progressive lipid deterioration with longer
161 T2DM duration [11]. Interestingly, HDL cholesterol showed a non-significant downward trend in
162 the longer-duration group. HDL reduction in T2DM is partly mediated by increased CETP-
163 mediated exchange of cholesteryl esters for TG from VLDL, generating TG-enriched, rapidly
164 catabolised HDL particles [5].

165 The significantly elevated serum urea and creatinine in the >5 years group reflect
166 progressive glomerular injury accumulating over years of hyperglycaemic and haemodynamic
167 insult. These findings are earlier than would be expected from overt nephropathy, suggesting
168 subclinical but measurable glomerular dysfunction. Hyperglycaemia activates the renin-
169 angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), producing intraglomerular hypertension, mesangial
170 matrix expansion, and podocyte apoptosis, collectively reducing glomerular filtration rate [6,12].

171 The emergence of significant correlations between HbA1c and lipid/renal parameters
172 exclusively in the >5 years group, contrasted with non-significant trends in the <5 years group, is
173 a novel contribution of this study. This finding suggests a threshold effect: below 5 years of
174 diabetes duration, metabolic derangements may be sufficiently mild and heterogeneous to
175 preclude significant correlation, whereas beyond 5 years, cumulative glycaemic toxicity produces
176 metabolic changes of sufficient magnitude and consistency to generate significant correlations.
177 This parallels the DCCT/EDIC observation that the magnitude of glycaemic exposure effects on
178 complications escalates non-linearly with diabetes duration [13].

179 The dose-response relationship between HbA1c categories and the prevalence of both
180 dyslipidaemia and renal impairment further reinforces this interpretation. At HbA1c <6.5%,
181 dyslipidaemia was present in only 26.7% of patients; this rose sharply to 83.8% at HbA1c >10%.
182 Similarly, elevated serum creatinine was found in only 3.3% of well-controlled patients but in
183 37.8% of severely uncontrolled patients. These data argue strongly that achieving HbA1c targets
184 is not merely a glycaemic goal but a cardiorenal protection strategy.

185 Comparable findings have been reported by Chaudhary et al. [14], who documented
186 higher TG and lower HDL with worsening HbA1c in T2DM, and by Srivastava et al. [15], who
187 reported progressive renal function decline with increasing HbA1c quartiles. The present study
188 extends these observations by stratifying the analysis by disease duration, demonstrating that
189 duration is a key effect modifier.

190 The study has several limitations. The cross-sectional design precludes causal inference.
191 The modest sample size may have underpowered detection of significant differences in some lipid
192 subfractions in the <5 years group. Antihypertensive and lipid-lowering medication histories were
193 not systematically controlled, which may have influenced lipid and renal measurements. eGFR
194 was not calculated from creatinine values, limiting CKD staging.

195 **CONCLUSION**

196 Prolonged disease duration in T2DM is associated with progressive atherogenic dyslipidaemia
197 and worsening renal function, and the correlation between HbA1c and these cardiorenal risk
198 parameters strengthens significantly with disease chronicity. The dose-response relationship
199 between HbA1c and prevalence of dyslipidaemia and renal impairment underscores the
200 imperative of maintaining tight glycaemic control from the time of diabetes diagnosis. Clinicians
201 should routinely assess fasting lipid profiles and kidney function tests at every HbA1c review, with
202 more frequent monitoring as disease duration extends beyond five years. Integration of SGLT-2
203 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists, which offer simultaneous glycaemic, lipid, and renal
204 benefits, should be considered early in the treatment algorithm.

205 **LIMITATIONS**

206 The cross-sectional design is unable to establish temporal causality between glycaemic control
207 and lipid/renal outcomes. Antihypertensive and lipid-lowering drug usage was not systematically
208 captured; these may have confounded lipid and KFT measurements. eGFR was not calculated;
209 serum creatinine alone underestimates early CKD, particularly in the lower-muscle-mass diabetic
210 population. Dietary assessment and exercise history were not recorded, limiting control of lifestyle
211 confounders. The single-centre design and hospital recruitment may introduce referral bias,
212 limiting generalisability to community-level T2DM populations.

213 **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

214 Prospective longitudinal studies with annual lipid profile, eGFR, and HbA1c measurements to
215 model the rate of cardiorenal risk accumulation with disease duration. Assessment of lipoprotein
216 subfractions (sdLDL, large VLDL particles) and apolipoproteins (ApoB, ApoA1) to more precisely
217 characterise atherogenic burden across HbA1c strata.

218 **REFERENCES**

- 219 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th ed. Brussels, Belgium: IDF; 2021. Available
220 from: <https://www.diabetesatlas.org>
- 221 2. Gaede P, Lund-Andersen H, Parving HH, Pedersen O. Effect of a multifactorial intervention on mortality
222 in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(6):580-591.
- 223 3. Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, et al. Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of
224 cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*.
225 2003;42(5):1050-1065.
- 226 4. Ginsberg HN. Diabetic dyslipidemia: basic mechanisms underlying the common hypertriglyceridemia and
227 low HDL cholesterol levels. *Diabetes*. 1996;45(Suppl 3):S27-S30.
- 228 5. Vergès B. Pathophysiology of diabetic dyslipidaemia: where are we? *Diabetologia*. 2015;58(5):886-899.
- 229 6. Alicic RZ, Rooney MT, Tuttle KR. Diabetic kidney disease: challenges, progress, and possibilities. *Clin J*
230 *Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;12(12):2032-2045.
- 231 7. Vaziri ND. Dyslipidemia of chronic renal failure: the nature, mechanisms, and potential consequences.
232 *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2006;290(2):F262-272.

- 233 8. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Care
234 in Diabetes—2023. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Suppl 1):S19-S40.
- 235 9. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive
236 Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel
237 (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA*. 2001;285(19):2486-2497.
- 238 10. Taskinen MR, Boren J. New insights into the pathophysiology of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetes.
239 *Atherosclerosis*. 2015;239(2):483-495.
- 240 11. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA, et al. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent
241 diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 23). *BMJ*.
242 1998;316(7134):823-828.
- 243 12. Wolf G, Ziyadeh FN. Molecular mechanisms of diabetic renal hypertrophy. *Kidney Int*. 1999;56(2):393-
244 405.
- 245 13. DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Modern-day clinical course of type 1 diabetes mellitus after 30 years'
246 duration: the diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and
247 complications and Pittsburgh epidemiology of diabetes complications experience (1983-2005). *Arch*
248 *Intern Med*. 2009;169(14):1307-1316.
- 249 14. Chaudhary AK, Sonkar GK, Singh S. Study of lipid profile in type-2 diabetic patients with poor glycaemic
250 control and its correlation with HbA1c. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 2014;13(1):88.
- 251 15. Srivastava S, Saxena GN, Kiran U, Bhatnagar V. Studying the association of HbA1c levels with renal
252 function tests in type 2 diabetic patients. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2012;60:38-41.
- 253