



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-58104

Title: *Clinical Spectrum of Pediatric Congenital Ocular Anomalies at a Tertiary Eye Care Center: A One-Year Retrospective Review*

Recommendation:		Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is		Originality		✓		
✓ Accept after minor revision.....		Techn. Quality		✓		
Accept after major revision		Clarity		✓		
Do not accept (<i>Reasons below</i>)		Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study:

- The study addresses an important pediatric ophthalmology topic
- A reasonable sample size strengthens the descriptive findings
- Objectives and methodology are clearly presented
- Clinical data are organized with simple and informative tables
- The discussion is supported by relevant published literature
- The conclusions are consistent with the reported findings
- The study highlights the importance of early diagnosis and intervention

Weakness of the study:

- Retrospective design limits the strength of the conclusions
- Single center data reduce the generalizability of findings
- Ethical clearance approval number is not clearly mentioned
- No genetic evaluation or long term follow up was included
- Statistical analysis is limited to descriptive methods
- Minor grammatical and formatting corrections are required
- Additional comparison with regional studies would improve the discussion

Reviewers Comments:

The manuscript presents a useful overview of congenital ocular anomalies in children attending a tertiary eye care center. The topic is clinically relevant and provides valuable information regarding the distribution of common congenital eye disorders. The methodology is appropriate for a retrospective observational study, and the results are presented in a clear and organized manner. The discussion is supported by relevant literature and the conclusions are consistent with the findings. However, the manuscript should clearly state whether institutional ethical clearance was obtained and provide the approval details where applicable, in addition to mentioning adherence to the Declaration of Helsinki. The language is generally clear, although a few grammatical errors and formatting inconsistencies should be corrected before publication. The limitations, including the retrospective design, single center setting, absence of genetic investigations, and lack of long term follow up, should be emphasized further. Overall, the study offers meaningful clinical information and is suitable for publication after minor revision.

Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:

The manuscript appears to be original, and no obvious evidence of prior publication or duplicate submission was identified during this review. No apparent signs of plagiarism were noted from the submitted version. However, a routine similarity check using standard plagiarism detection software is recommended before final acceptance to ensure originality and publication ethics compliance.