

# 1 Application of AI Technologies in Second Language Learning in India: 2 Opportunities Challenges and Pedagogical Implications.

3  
4

## Abstract

5 This research paper examines the utilization, opportunities, challenges, and pedagogical  
6 implications of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in second language acquisition within  
7 the context of education in India. Specifically, this study focuses on the role of AI  
8 technologies in the development of speaking skills. AI powered tools, highlighting the  
9 evolution from computer-assisted language learning (CALL) to modern AI-based tools, it  
10 elucidates the transformative potential of technologies such as automatic speech recognition  
11 (ASR), Text-to-speech (TTS), intelligent personal assistants (IPAs), and AI-powered chatbots.  
12 In the Indian context where challenges such as multilingualism, the digital divide, and  
13 resource disparities persist AI technologies can play a pivotal role in fostering personalized  
14 learning, immediate feedback, and self-directed learning. This research presents the potential  
15 for enhancing the effectiveness of language learning through various AI applications,  
16 including speech assessment tools, conversational chatbots, and AI-based grammar checking  
17 systems. Furthermore, this study highlights potential challenges, such as technological  
18 disparities, excessive reliance on AI, ethical concerns, data privacy issues, and the need for a  
19 critical evaluation of AI-generated content. Ultimately, the study emphasizes that, to  
20 effectively utilize AI technologies in the field of language education in India, it is essential to  
21 maintain a balance between traditional teaching methods and modern technologies, and to  
22 promote the responsible and mindful use of these technologies among both teachers and  
23 learners.

24 **Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, Second language, Pedagogical implications, Text-to-speech  
25 (TTS)

## 26 INTRODUCTION

27 Over the past few decades, significant changes have occurred in the field of second language  
28 acquisition, made possible primarily through technological advancements. Computer-assisted  
29 language learning (CALL) marked a pivotal shift in this direction, ushering in a new era  
30 characterized by the convergence of technology and pedagogy, and opening up new avenues  
31 for language learning. Early CALL initiatives introduced a diverse range of computer-based  
32 tools and resources designed to complement traditional classroom instruction. These early  
33 programs featured interactive exercises, multimedia content, and self-paced learning

34 activities, thereby integrating technology into the learning process and bringing about  
35 significant transformations in traditional methods of language education. The advent of  
36 OpenAI's ChatGPT in 2022 marks a significant milestone in the use of technology within  
37 second/foreign language education. Compared to traditional CALL methods, AI-assisted  
38 language learning leverages machine learning, Natural Language Processing, and adaptive  
39 algorithms, thereby fundamentally transforming the entire language learning environment.

40 Although language teaching has always been viewed as preparation for language use outside  
41 the classroom, its primary focus in the past has often remained centred on classroom-based  
42 study (Richards, 2015). As internet technologies have evolved, it has become possible for  
43 students to strengthen their English language learning process by effectively utilizing various  
44 computer and internet resources during their leisure time. Attaining proficiency in the English  
45 language is not limited solely to classroom instruction; rather, it is also essential to make  
46 effective use of the time spent outside the structured study environment. Technology-based  
47 activities offer excellent opportunities for second language acquisition and foster the  
48 development of linguistic, communicative, and pragmatic competence (Zhao & Lai, 2023).  
49 Learners are expected to enhance their accuracy and fluency, gain extensive linguistic  
50 exposure, utilize multimodal learning tools, and cultivate autonomous learning skills.  
51 Furthermore, activities conducted outside the classroom can be highly beneficial for teachers  
52 as well, as they provide genuine and authentic learning opportunities beyond the confines of  
53 the traditional classroom. These activities bridge the gap between classroom instruction and  
54 external learning, thereby assisting teachers in connecting classroom-based learning with real-  
55 life tasks.

56 In light of these benefits, it is recommended that teachers actively encourage and guide their  
57 students to utilize technology outside the classroom as well, thereby strengthening their  
58 language learning process. Teacher support can take various forms, such as sharing  
59 information regarding useful technologies and resources, and providing guidance on their  
60 proper application (Lai & Gu, 2011). Regardless of the specific approach adopted, the  
61 primary objective should be for teachers to prioritize this encouragement and integrate it as a  
62 fundamental component of their teaching practice, ensuring that students can effectively  
63 leverage the benefits of technology in their language learning endeavours.

64 Currently, AI technologies hold significant potential to transform traditional teaching  
65 methods, as they provide personalized learning experiences tailored to the specific needs and  
66 interests of each student (Hwang et al., 2020). The adaptability and personalization inherent  
67 in AI-based learning extend beyond the traditional CALL era, pointing toward a more

68 individualized and learner-centred approach. The application of AI in language education is  
69 not limited solely to personalized instruction; it also encompasses adaptive feedback, instant  
70 evaluation, and immersive learning experiences. AI-based chatbots, Natural Language  
71 Processing (NLP) algorithms, and virtual tutors can revolutionize the learning process and  
72 foster autonomy and self-directed learning among students.

73 With the advancement of AI, significant progress has also been made in automatic speech  
74 recognition (ASR) technology. Although ASR is not a new technology, the accuracy and  
75 functionality of current systems are highly impressive. These tools provide L2 (second  
76 language) learners with rapid corrective feedback, enabling them to self-assess their  
77 pronunciation and speaking skills without the need for additional assistance from a teacher  
78 (García et al., 2020). These tools interact patiently with learners and quickly and accurately  
79 identify errors in their oral expression. If learners have access to these tools, they can identify  
80 and correct their mistakes. A few years ago, Intelligent Personal Assistants (IPAs) gained  
81 immense popularity and began to be widely utilized across various fields. AI and natural  
82 language processing (NLP) technologies enable IPAs to comprehend and generate human-like  
83 language, thereby making interactions more natural and user-friendly. By leveraging ASR and  
84 other NLP techniques, IPAs facilitate communication through voice interaction. These tools  
85 are particularly beneficial for learning second and foreign languages, as learners can engage  
86 in conversations with them in the target language. Through examples such as Siri, Google  
87 Assistant, and Alexa, learners can access authentic language input anytime and anywhere,  
88 making the language acquisition process significantly more effective.

89 This chapter primarily focuses on two areas of speaking tools. The first section discusses tools  
90 such as ASR, Text-to-Speech (TTS), and smart speakers. Additionally, it introduces an AI-  
91 based chatbot named Hello English app, which assists students in practicing spoken English  
92 and incorporates a concise grammar-checking system. The second part focuses on  
93 implications related to pedagogy, highlighting a ChatGPT-based grammar-checking system;  
94 this system improves the quality of automated feedback during second-language learning.

### 95 **USE OF AUTOMATIC SPEECH RECOGNITION (ASR) IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT**

96 Within the Indian educational landscape, automatic speech recognition (ASR) technology is  
97 playing a pivotal role in second language acquisition, particularly in the development of  
98 English speaking skills. In a multilingual country like India where the majority of students  
99 learn English as a second language pronunciation, fluency, and the practice of accurate speech  
100 remain significant challenges. ASR-based mobile applications such as Hello English, Elsa  
101 Speak, and other language-learning platforms offer personalized and immediate feedback to

102 learners in this context. These apps identify learners' voices, analyze their acoustic patterns,  
103 and provide corrective suggestions based on pronunciation, accuracy, and fluency.  
104 Particularly in rural and resource-constrained areas where there is a shortage of trained  
105 English teachers ASR technology provides learners with an effective opportunity for self-  
106 study. Through these apps, students can engage in continuous practice even outside the  
107 classroom, identify their errors, and make corrections. Furthermore, ASR technology proves  
108 beneficial for teachers as well, since providing personalized feedback to every student in large  
109 classes is challenging. In such scenarios, AI-based systems bridge this gap and make the  
110 teaching process more effective. Nevertheless, the Indian context presents several challenges,  
111 including poor internet connectivity, low digital literacy rates, and an imbalance in the  
112 availability of technical resources. Additionally, the accuracy of feedback from ASR systems  
113 is sometimes questioned, especially when learners have local language accents.  
114 However, different research studies have presented varying conclusions regarding its results.  
115 For example, McCrocklin (2019) found no significant difference in pronunciation  
116 performance between the experimental and control groups. Conversely, Gorjian et al. (2013)  
117 showed that participants who practiced pronunciation via ASR performed better than those  
118 who received traditional training. According to the analysis by Ngo et al. (2024), ASR  
119 technology is proving to be extremely useful in language learning. Furthermore, it was  
120 observed that ASR-assisted pronunciation training in peer groups is more effective than the  
121 use of ASR alone. Research indicates that within ASR feedback characteristics, pronunciation  
122 acquisition is enhanced when explicit corrective feedback is given, as opposed to simple  
123 transcription or correct/incorrect signals. Thus, it can be said that ASR technology has the  
124 potential to make second language learning in India more accessible, personalized, and  
125 effective, provided it is integrated in a balanced manner with appropriate pedagogical  
126 strategies and traditional teaching methods.



136

137

138 Figure.1: Assessment and Pronunciation Feedback in Hello English App

139 In recent years, the Indian educational landscape has witnessed the rapid growth of artificial  
140 intelligence (AI)-based language learning platforms; Hello English as a prime example. This  
141 application has been developed specifically with Indian learners in mind those who are  
142 acquiring English as a second language. The app offers a variety of interactive features,  
143 including exercises designed to practice speaking, listening, reading, and writing skills.  
144 Within the Hello English application, instant feedback is provided regarding pronunciation,  
145 accuracy, and fluency. Notably, its dedicated "Speaking Practice" feature offers ESL/EFL  
146 learners the opportunity to speak English via a microphone, allowing the system to analyze  
147 their voice and provide corrective suggestions. Furthermore, the app features a chatbot-based  
148 interaction facility. This technology not only renders the learning process more accessible and  
149 engaging but also extends equal opportunities for language acquisition to students in rural and  
150 resource-constrained regions. Figure-1 shows a screenshot of the AI-based and ASR  
151 Assessment and Pronunciation Feedback in Hello English Application.

## 152 **TEXT-TO-SPEECH (TTS) TECHNOLOGY IN SECOND LANGUAGE LEARNING**

153 Text-to-Speech (TTS) technology is closely related to automatic speech recognition  
154 (ASR) and possesses the capability to convert written text into audio, incorporating natural  
155 pronunciation and intonation. Although this technology has proven to be highly effective for  
156 Second Language (L2) acquisition globally, India is a multilingual and multicultural nation  
157 where the linguistic backgrounds of learners are extremely diverse. Consequently, the  
158 application of TTS technology in L2 learning, particularly in the teaching of English, can be  
159 instrumental in strengthening pronunciation, listening skills, and phonological awareness. It  
160 serves as a complement to traditional reading activities by providing auditory reinforcement  
161 and familiarizing learners with a variety of global accents.

162 However, in the Indian context, the effectiveness of this technology is constrained by several  
163 challenges. First, most TTS systems are not yet fully developed for all Indian languages,  
164 thereby limiting their utility for learners of regional languages. Second, available TTS models  
165 are often restricted to English or a few major Indian languages, giving rise to a situation of  
166 linguistic inequality. Furthermore, although TTS technology promotes self-paced learning, it  
167 is not practically reasonable to assume that all learners will be able to utilize it effectively.  
168 The scarcity of digital resources, issues with internet connectivity, and a lack of digital  
169 literacy in rural and underprivileged areas pose significant barriers to its adoption. Although

170 the integration of ASR and TTS can make second language learning more interactive and  
171 effective through intelligent personal assistants (IPAs) and chatbots, it is essential that these  
172 technologies be integrated in a balanced manner with traditional teaching methods.

173 In recent times, Text-to-Speech (TTS) technology has been widely utilized across various  
174 digital platforms. Many systems on the internet combine Google Speech-to-Text, Machine  
175 Translation, and TTS (or gTTS) to create voice-to-voice translators, web apps, and video  
176 translators for multilingual communication (Athas & Pirapuraj, 2024; Manoj et al., 2025). Such as  
177 Google Translate enable learners to convert written text into speech, thereby allowing them to  
178 practice their pronunciation and listening skills. As illustrated in Figure 2, Google Translate's  
179 TTS feature enables any student to listen to any written text, thereby allowing them to grasp  
180 correct pronunciation and intonation. This is particularly beneficial for second language (L2)  
181 learners, as they can compare their own pronunciation against standard pronunciation and  
182 correct their errors.

183 Additionally, this kind of TTS tech offers learners the chance for self-paced study, allowing  
184 them to grasp the phonetic structure of a language more effectively by repeatedly hearing any  
185 given text. As technology advances, integrating TTS and ASR makes language learning more  
186 effective and interactive. This integration is also key to developing IPAs and chatbots, which  
187 offer learners real-time language practice and feedback. Analysis of the potential benefits and  
188 challenges related to the use of IPAs in L2 education will be presented in the next section.



198 Figure.2 TTS tool provided by Google Translate

## 199 INTELLIGENT PERSONAL ASSISTANTS IN SECOND LANGUAGE LEARNING

200 The use of Intelligent Personal Assistants (IPAs) is emerging as a technological trend for  
201 second language acquisition in the Indian educational sphere (Santhosh, 2025). In a diverse  
202 linguistic environment such as India, where students' first languages vary and English is  
203 studied as an L2, tools like Google Assistant, Siri, and Amazon Alexa present new

204 possibilities for language education(Dizon 2021).However, the effectiveness of these  
205 techniques in the Indian context is constrained by several limitations( Wu et al.,2020). Firstly,  
206 most IPAs experience difficulty in accurately comprehending non-native pronunciation,  
207 particularly when learners' pronunciation is influenced by their mother tongues (such as  
208 Hindi, Chhattisgarhi, Tamil, etc.). This creates barriers to communication and can foster a  
209 sense of frustration among learners.Secondly, in India, the digital dividecharacterized by  
210 unequal internet availability and a scarcity of technological resourcesalso poses a hindrance to  
211 the widespread adoption of IPAs. Access to these technologies remains limited for students in  
212 rural and underprivileged areas (Winson et al,2023: Jain.2020), thereby creating disparities in  
213 learning opportunities.

214 Furthermore, although IPAs are capable of facilitating simple dialogue and providing  
215 information, their capacity for free-flowing conversation remains limited. Due to their  
216 restricted knowledge base, they are unable to engage in effective dialogue across all  
217 subjectsparticularly when learners employ sentences containing grammatical  
218 errors.Nevertheless, IPAs prove helpful in enhancing learners' interest in language learning,  
219 their self-confidence, and their willingness to communicate. They provide a low-anxiety  
220 environment where learners can practice without hesitation.

## 221 **PEDAGOGICAL USE OF CHATBOTS IN LANGUAGE LEARNING**

222 The pedagogical use of chatbots in language learning has emerged as a significant area of  
223 study, supported by advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and natural language  
224 processing(Huang et al.,2023;Şahin et al.,2025; Huiling et al.,2024).Chatbotsparticularly  
225 those powered by Large Language Models (LLMs) and Generative AI (GenAI)offer  
226 interactive and adaptive learning experiences that can enhance various aspects of second  
227 language (L2) learning (Wiboolyasarín et al., 2025;Min et al.,2026;Li et al.,2025;Zhang et  
228 al.,2024;Jeon et al.,2023).A major advantage of chatbots in language learning is that they  
229 provide the opportunity for regular conversational practicesomething that is often expensive  
230 and difficult to achieve through traditional methods(Petrović& Jovanović,2021).This is  
231 particularly important for improving speaking skills, where learners often hesitate to make  
232 grammatical errors when conversing with human friends (Hsu et al.,2021).

233 Studies have shown that the independent use of AI chatbots can significantly increase the  
234 amount of practice and improve English-speaking proficiency (Hou,2025).For example, a  
235 study conducted on Chinese primary school students demonstrated the potential of chatbots in  
236 'English as a Foreign Language' (EFL) settings, resulting in improvements in the students'  
237 English speaking proficiency and an increase in their willingness to communicate

238 (Yuan,2023).Another study observed that, over the course of a semester, an AI chatbot had a  
239 positive impact on the WTC (Willingness to Communicate) of learners studying Korean as a  
240 foreign language( Kim & Su,2024).Chatbots assist with various important language-learning  
241 skillsincluding speaking, writing, reading, and vocabulary acquisition (Wiboolyasarin et  
242 al.,2025).In the field of vocabulary learning, LLM-based chatbots have demonstrated  
243 significant potential in automating and enhancing educational tasks, and they effectively  
244 handle the complexity and diversity of human language (Zhang,2025).They can also improve  
245 students' 'English for Specific Purposes' (ESP) vocabulary (Silitongaet.,2024).

246 Pedagogical methods involving chatbots often involve task-based interactions, where the  
247 chatbot is designed to provide corrective feedback(Shin et al.,2024; Yang et al.,2022).Learner  
248 engagement with chatbots constitutes a critically important aspect of their educational  
249 effectiveness. Research grounded in Self-Determination Theory (SDT) has investigated how  
250 the perceived support provided by GenAI chatbots influences learners' multidimensional  
251 engagement in EFL contexts (Wu et al., 2025).The evolution of chatbot technology—  
252 including speech-recognition chatbots and Large Language Models(LLMs)necessitates the  
253 development of a conceptual framework to understand the various types of chatbots and their  
254 educational potential (Jeon et al.,2023).

255 However, there are also some significant limitations associated with the use of these chatbots.  
256 First, most chatbots are not yet capable of effectively handling complex and free-flowing  
257 conversations. Second, technical difficulties arise in understanding the pronunciation and  
258 code-mixing of Indian learners, which can compromise the quality of the  
259 interaction.Furthermore, the digital divide, issues regarding internet connectivity, and the  
260 unequal availability of technical resources also hinder their effective utilization, particularly  
261 in rural and underprivileged areas.Even so, modern AI-powered chatbots such as ChatGPT  
262 have created new opportunities in the area of language education, because they are able to  
263 offer more context-aware and in-depth dialogue.

## 264 **PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATION**

265 The educational implications of chatbots, Intelligent Personal Assistants (IPAs), Text-to-  
266 Speech (TTS) technology, and Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) are highly significant;  
267 collectively, they enhance accessibility, personalization, interactivity, and constructive  
268 feedback within the educational environment(Yarlagadda,2025;Barua,2025;Looi& Jia2025).  
269 Chatbots and smart personal assistants serve as robust educational support tools by providing  
270 personalized learning experiences and smart tutoring capabilities. They can streamline

271 immediate assistance, adaptive tutoring, and conversational learning, thereby significantly  
272 enhancing student engagement and metacognitive development.

- 273 • AI-based tools (Chatbots, IPAs, TTS, ASR) adapt content and pace to suit the needs of  
274 each learner. This leads to the development of individualized learning paths.
- 275 • ASR and chatbots provide immediate feedback to learners. This leads to  
276 improvements in error correction and language accuracy.
- 277 • Chatbots and IPAs create a conversational setting. This leads to better speaking and  
278 listening skills.
- 279 • Learners can progress at their own speed. They can also practice outside of the  
280 classroom setting.
- 281 • TTS technology is beneficial for students with visual impairments, dyslexia, or  
282 reading difficulties. It promotes multimodal learning.
- 283 • AI tools provide a non-judgmental environment. This reduces speaking anxiety and  
284 boosts confidence.
- 285 • AI systems analyze learners' performance data. This leads to the development of  
286 targeted teaching strategies.
- 287 • Issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and a reduction in human interaction  
288 warrant attention. The use of AI should be pedagogically guided.

## 289 **CHALLENGES OF AI IN SECOND LANGUAGE LEARNING**

290 Artificial Intelligence (AI) is redefining the process of knowledge acquisition, providing  
291 learners with enhanced access to diverse educational resources through digital  
292 tools (Alkaissi & McFarlane, 2023). However, the growing proliferation of misinformation and  
293 disinformation facilitated by AI has made it imperative for users to adopt a more critical and  
294 cautious approach toward the use of these technologies (Hwang et al., 2023). The ease with  
295 which AI can generate misleading content raises complex questions regarding the reliability  
296 of information, particularly within the context of education (Baskara, 2023). Students  
297 encounter various challenges when using AI tools. For instance, tools like ChatGPT can  
298 sometimes misattribute ideas or generate realistic-sounding content that bears no direct  
299 relation to the real world. These issues stem from the limitations inherent in AI's training data,  
300 transformer architecture, and human feedback-based learning (reinforcement learning).

301 Although the convenience offered by AI provides numerous benefits, an excessive reliance on  
302 it can hinder students' independent learning skills. Furthermore, AI and NLP-based systems  
303 are not free from bias, which can impact the quality and accuracy of the generated content. In

304 the context of language education, this bias can have a negative effect on students' learning  
305 and development. In these situations, moral questions also emerge, such as: Should large  
306 language models like ChatGPT be acknowledged as co-authors in scholarly writing, or is  
307 using them to rephrase material considered plagiarism?

308 Similarly, AI tools also present challenges for teachers. Integrating AI successfully into  
309 language instruction while preventing its misuse is a difficult task. Teachers need additional  
310 training and support to use these technologies effectively. Although AI-based plagiarism  
311 detectors are being developed, solving these problems remains difficult. Therefore, it is  
312 necessary to create a clear plan and consensus to ensure the ethical and educational use of  
313 AI. In this context, the role of teachers becomes crucial, as they provide guidance to students  
314 on how to use AI responsibly and effectively.

315 In conclusion, the shift from the initial phases of CALL/TELL towards AI-assisted language  
316 learning signifies a pivotal change. The incorporation of AI has made second and foreign  
317 language acquisition more individualized, flexible, and technologically advanced. Going  
318 forward, AI-driven innovations will continue to reshape language pedagogy and expand  
319 opportunities for tailored learning.

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368

## REFERENCES

- Alkaissi, H., & McFarlane, S. I. (2023, February 19). Artificial hallucinations in ChatGPT: Implications in scientific writing. *Cureus*, 15(2).
- Athas, M. D. R., & Pirapuraj, P. (2024). *CallTran: Voice translation for end-to-end communication over the internet*. In Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Emerging Trends in Information Technology and Engineering (ICETITE) (pp. 1–5). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ic-ETITE58242.2024.10493835>
- Barua, R. (2025). From Chalkboards to Chatbots: Analytical Perspectives on AI-Driven Instructional Methods. *International Journal Of Scientific Research In Engineering And Management*, 09(06), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.55041/ijrem50939>
- Baskara, F. R. (2023). Integrating ChatGPT into EFL writing instruction: Benefits and challenges. *International Journal of Education and Learning*, 5(1), 44–55.
- Bione, T., Grimshaw, J., & Cardoso, W. (2016a). An evaluation of text-to-speech synthesizers in the foreign language classroom: Learners' perceptions. In S. Papadima-Sophocleous, L. Bradley, & S. Thouèsny (Eds.), *CALL communities and culture – short papers from EUROCALL 2016* (pp. 50–54). Research-publishing.net. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2016.eurocall2016.537>
- Bione, T., Grimshaw, J., & Cardoso, W. (2016b). An evaluation of text-to-speech synthesizers in the foreign language classroom: Learners' perceptions. In S. Papadima-Sophocleous, L. Bradley, & S. Thouèsny (Eds.), *CALL communities and culture – short papers from EUROCALL 2016* (pp. 50–54). Research-publishing.net. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2016.eurocall2016.537>
- De Araújo Gomes, A. A., Cardoso, W., & De Lucena, R. M. (2018). Can TTS help L2 learners develop their phonological awareness? In P. Taalas, J. Jalkanen, L. Bradley, & S. Thouèsny, *Future-proof CALL: language learning as exploration and encounters – short papers from EUROCALL 2018* (pp. 29–34). Research-publishing.net. <https://doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2018.26.808>

- 369 • Dizon, G. (2021). Affordances and Constraints of Intelligent Personal Assistants for  
370 Second-Language Learning. *RELC Journal*, 54(3), 848–855.  
371 <https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882211020548>
- 372 • García, C., Nickolai, D., & Jones, L. (2020). Traditional versus ASR-based  
373 pronunciation instruction. *Calico Journal*, 37(3), 213–232
- 374 • Gorjian, B., Hayati, A., & Pourkhoni, P. (2013). Using Praat software in teaching  
375 prosodic features to EFL learners. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 84, 34–  
376 40.
- 377 • Gottardi, W., de Almeida, J. F., & Tumolo, H. S. (2022). *Automatic speech recognition*  
378 *and text-to-speech technologies for L2 pronunciation improvement: Reflections on*  
379 *their affordances*.
- 380 • Hou, X. (2025). Self-Directed Use of Voice-Enabled AI Chatbots for Improving  
381 English Speaking: A Case Study with Doubao. *2025 5th International Conference on*  
382 *Artificial Intelligence and Education (ICAIE)*, 141–146.  
383 <https://doi.org/10.1109/icaie64856.2025.11158429>
- 384 • Hsu, M.-H., Chen, P.-S., & Yu, C.-S. (2021). Proposing a task-oriented chatbot system  
385 for EFL learners speaking practice. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(7), 4297–  
386 4308. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1960864>
- 387 • Huang, W., Hew, K. F., & Fryer, L. K. (2021). Chatbots for language learning—Are  
388 they really useful? A systematic review of chatbot-supported language  
389 learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(1), 237–257.  
390 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12610>
- 391 • Hwang, S. I., Lim, J. S., Lee, R. W., Matsui, Y., Iguchi, T., Hiraki, T., & Ahn, H.  
392 (2023). Is ChatGPT a “Fire of Prometheus” for non-native English-speaking  
393 researchers in academic writing? *Korean Journal of Radiology*, 24(10), 952.
- 394 • Jain, S. (2020). Problems in Teaching English in Indian Classrooms: Issues and  
395 Remedies. *Jadila: Journal of Development and Innovation in Language and*  
396 *Literature Education*, 1(1), 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.52690/jadila.v1i1.23>
- 397 • Jeon, J., Lee, S., & Choe, H. (2023). Beyond ChatGPT: A conceptual framework and  
398 systematic review of speech-recognition chatbots for language learning. *Computers*  
399 *& Education*, 206, 104898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104898>

- 400 • Jeon, J., Lee, S., & Choe, H. (2023). Beyond ChatGPT: A conceptual framework and  
401 systematic review of speech-recognition chatbots for language learning. *Computers*  
402 *& Education*, 206, 104898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104898>
- 403 • Kim, A., & Su, Y. (2024). How implementing an AI chatbot impacts Korean as a  
404 foreign language learners' willingness to communicate in Korean. *System*, 122,  
405 103256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2024.103256>
- 406 • Lai, C., & Gu, M. (2011). Self-regulated out-of-class language learning with  
407 technology. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 24(4), 317–335.
- 408 • Li, M., Wang, Y., & Yang, X. (2025). Can Generative <sc>AI</sc> Chatbots  
409 Promote Second Language Acquisition? A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Computer*  
410 *Assisted Learning*, 41(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.70060>
- 411 • Looi, C.-K., & Jia, F. (2025). Personalization capabilities of current technology  
412 chatbots in a learning environment: An analysis of student-tutor bot  
413 interactions. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13369-z>
- 414
- 415 • Ma, H., Ismail, L., & Han, W. (2024). A bibliometric analysis of artificial intelligence  
416 in language teaching and learning (1990–2023): evolution, trends and future  
417 directions. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(18), 25211–25235.  
418 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12848-z>
- 419 • Manoj, K., Nallamilli, V., Rahul, J., & Ramesh, T. K. (2025). *Real-time OCR and*  
420 *translation system with text-to-speech ability*. In Proceedings of the First International  
421 Conference on Advances in Computer Science, Electrical, Electronics, and  
422 Communication Technologies (CE2CT) (pp. 332–338).  
423 <https://doi.org/10.1109/CE2CT64011.2025.10939114>
- 424 • McCrocklin, S. (2019). ASR-based dictation practice for second language  
425 pronunciation improve-ment. *Journal of Second Language Pronunciation*, 5(1), 98–  
426 118.
- 427 • Min, S., Gao, Y., & Zhang, J. (2026). Exploring L2 learner engagement in spoken  
428 English practices with a GenAI-powered chatbot. *System*, 138, 103982.  
429 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2026.103982>
- 430 • Ngo, T. T. N., Chen, H. H. J., & Lai, K. K. W. (2024). The effectiveness of automatic  
431 speech recognition in ESL/EFL pronunciation: A meta-analysis. *ReCALL*, 36(1), 4–21.

- 432 • Panda, S. P., Nayak, A. K., & Rai, S. C. (2020). A survey on speech synthesis  
433 techniques in Indian languages. *Multimedia Systems*, 26(4), 453–478.  
434 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-020-00659-4>
- 435 • Petrović, J., & Jovanović, M. (2021). The Role of Chatbots in Foreign Language  
436 Learning: The Present Situation and the Future Outlook. In *Studies in Computational*  
437 *Intelligence* (pp. 313–330). Springer International Publishing.  
438 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72711-6\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72711-6_17)
- 439 • Presidency University School Of Computer Science And Engineering, N, K., Suthar,  
440 C. P., & E, L. (2025). Real-Time Accent Translator. *Interantional Journal Of Scientific*  
441 *Research In Engineering And Management*, 09(01), 1–9.  
442 <https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM40714>
- 443 • Richards, J. C. (2015). The changing face of language learning: Learning beyond the  
444 classroom. *Relc Journal*, 46(1), 5–22.
- 445 • Şahin Kızıl, A., Klimova, B., Pikhart, M., & Parmaxi, A. (2025). A Systematic Review  
446 of the Recent Research on the Usefulness of Chatbots for Language  
447 Education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 41(2).  
448 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.70001>
- 449 • Santhosh, N. (2025). The Scope of Artificial Intelligence in English Language  
450 Teaching in India. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and*  
451 *Engineering Technology*, 13(5), 2276–2281.  
452 <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.70729>
- 453 • Shafie, L. A., Mohd Radzi, N. A., Razali, R., Rosaidi, N. A., Rastom, R., & Hajimia,  
454 H. (2025). From Classroom To Career: Leveraging Text-To-Speech Technology To  
455 Enhance Esl Pronunciation, Vocabulary, And Employability In Higher Education.  
456 *International Journal of Modern Education*, 7(25), 120–132.  
457 <https://doi.org/10.35631/IJMOE.725009>
- 458 • Shin, D., Lee, J. H., & Noh, W. I. (2024). Realizing Corrective Feedback in Task-  
459 Based Chatbots Engineered for Second Language Learning. *RELC Journal*, 56(2),  
460 457–467. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231221902>
- 461 • Silitonga, L. M., Wiyaka, W., & Prastikawati, E. F. (2024). Boosting Students' ESP  
462 Vocabulary by Utilizing AI Chatbot. *ETERNAL (English Teaching Journal)*, 15(2),  
463 275–283. <https://doi.org/10.26877/eternal.v15i2.605>

- 464 • Singh, A., & Halim, H. B. A. (2023). Addressing Challenges In Language Teaching In  
465 India: Exploring The Role Of Corrective Feedback In Enhancing Learning. *Advanced*  
466 *Education*, 10(22), 152–184. <https://doi.org/10.20535/2410-8286.278042>
- 467 • Wiboolyasar, W., Wiboolyasar, K., Tiranant, P., Jinowat, N., & Boonyakitanont, P.  
468 (2025). AI-driven chatbots in second language education: A systematic review of their  
469 efficacy and pedagogical implications. *Ampersand*, 14, 100224.  
470 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amper.2025.100224>
- 471 • Wiboolyasar, W., Wiboolyasar, K., Tiranant, P., Jinowat, N., & Boonyakitanont, P.  
472 (2025). AI-driven chatbots in second language education: A systematic review of their  
473 efficacy and pedagogical implications. *Ampersand*, 14, 100224.  
474 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amper.2025.100224>
- 475 • Winson, V. R. V., Arunkumar, V., & Rao, D. P. (2023). Exploring the Landscape of  
476 Teaching and Learning English as a Second Language in India. *Assyfa Learning*  
477 *Journal*, 1(2), 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.61650/alj.v1i2.123>
- 478 • Wu, X., Li, R., & Gwendoline Quek, C. L. (2025). When GenAI chatbots meet self-  
479 determination: unpacking Chinese EFL learners' engagement in chatbot-assisted  
480 language learning. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–21.  
481 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2025.2538756>
- 482 • Wu, Y., Rough, D., Bleakley, A., Edwards, J., Cooney, O., Doyle, P. R., Clark, L., &  
483 Cowan, B. R. (2020). See what I'm saying? Comparing Intelligent Personal Assistant  
484 use for Native and Non-Native Language Speakers. *arXiv*.  
485 <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2006.06328>
- 486 • Yang, H., Kim, H., Lee, J. H., & Shin, D. (2022). Implementation of an AI chatbot as  
487 an English conversation partner in EFL speaking classes. *ReCALL*, 34(3), 327–343.  
488 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0958344022000039>
- 489 • Yarlagadda, K. C. (2025). AI in Education: Personalized Learning and Intelligent  
490 Tutoring Systems. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information*  
491 *Technology*, 13(32), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.37745/ejcsit.2013/vol13n321527>
- 492 • Yuan, Y. (2023). An empirical study of the efficacy of AI chatbots for English as a  
493 foreign language learning in primary education. *Interactive Learning*  
494 *Environments*, 32(10), 6774–6789. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2282112>

- 495
- Zhang, Z., & Huang, X. (2024). The impact of chatbots based on large language  
496 models on second language vocabulary acquisition. *Heliyon*, 10(3), e25370.  
497 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25370>
  - Zhao, Y., & Lai, C. (2023). Technology and second language learning: Promises and  
498 problems. In *Technology-mediated learning environments for young English learners*  
499 (pp. 167–206). Routledge.
- 500
- 501

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR