



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57908

Title: Truth, Harmony, and the Good Life: A Comparative Study of Avestan and Daoist Philosophy.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....YES.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper explores the idea of perfection and the good life in the Zend Avesta through a comparative engagement with Daoist thought. It also examines how the Avestan tradition develops this vision through the ethical principles of good thoughts, good words, and good deeds, along with virtues associated with truth, harmony, responsibility, and spiritual refinement. In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., also, the keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title but the citations are very less. The review of related literature is given but it is not proper. There is no mention of date and no mention of citations or references. So review should be written in a proper way. The Methodology is not given. However, the research questions or objectives are mentioned. Results are not given properly with no mention of narrative proofs and the discussion is not given and comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is not made in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, this paper is accepted only after correcting these minor changes.

Reason for Minor changes

In this manuscript, the citations in the introduction section are not given. Also, the review of literature is not given in a proper way. Methodology is also missing. In result section no results are given with narrative proof. Also, there is no comparison of findings with the findings of previous researches. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these minor changes.

Abstract

In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. has been mentioned properly. Also the keywords are given properly.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has tried to make link of introduction with the title properly. However, the citations are very few. Maximum citation are missing. Without proper citations no statement is considered as valid.

Review of related literature

In this manuscript the researcher has mentioned the review of literature but it is not proper. There is no mention of research date and no mention of citations and references.

Methodology

Methodology is not given in this manuscript. Methodology is also necessary in which the researcher has to mention the tools, samples, tests applied etc. However, the research questions or objectives are given such as 1. to explore the concept of perfection and the good life in the Zend Avesta through a comparative engagement with Daoism. 2. to analyse how the Avestan tradition understands human fulfilment through moral discipline, righteousness, and participation in cosmic order, while Daoist thought approaches fulfilment through harmony, balance, and alignment with the Dao. 3. to examine the relation between Asha and Dao as principles of order that guide human existence toward harmony and transformation. 4. to investigate how both traditions connect ethical self-cultivation with social and cosmic well-being, and how their teachings contribute to a broader understanding of harmony, moral responsibility, and meaningful existence. 5. To highlight the contemporary relevance of Avestan and Daoist perspectives in addressing issues related to ethical living, inner balance, environmental awareness, and the search for human fulfilment in the modern world.

Results

The result section is not given in a proper way in this manuscript, also the findings are not given in detail as no statistical/narrative proofs are given.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is given but the researcher has not made comparisons of results with previous studies. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications has not been provided by the researcher in this manuscript by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and some important things have been mentioned properly but some are missing. Thus, this manuscript is accepted only after making these minor changes mentioned above.