

Machine Learning Algorithms in Network Traffic Classification – A Survey

Abstract

Effective NTC plays a vital role in enhancing bandwidth efficiency, ensuring network security, and maintaining Quality of Service (QoS) in today's communication systems. Conventional methods like port-based as well as payload-based classification are no more reliable because of the rise of encoded traffic and dynamic applications. As an alternative, Machine Learning (ML) approaches can automatically identify patterns in the statistical characteristics of network flows, offering more flexibility and accuracy. This study presents a comparative evaluation of several ML algorithms—including Adaboost, KNN, Logistic Regression, SVM, C4.5 Decision Trees, and Random Forest—using the well-known KDD dataset for intrusion and traffic classification. Each algorithm was trained and tested on preprocessed and feature-extracted labelled data. Practically findings reveal that the Random Forest model delivered the highest accuracy and robustness among all methods. These results highlight the potential of ensemble learning models in real-world network applications and emphasize the significance of choosing the right algorithm for intelligent traffic classification systems.

Keywords

Machine Learning, Network Traffic, Feature extraction, Traffic analysis

Introduction

One of the major developments that have changed the entire world to such an extent that the internet has a deep penetration level in all aspects of human life is the rapid advancement of secure communication technologies. The progression along this path has led not only to the rise in volume and heterogeneity of traffic over the network but also in existence of these kinds of traffic, which in turn has made its management grow in complexity [1][2].

Concurrently, encryption technologies are being adopted to a large extent so as to protect privacy and thwart any unauthorized access. Encryption certainly adds an extra layer of defence; however, it makes the process of traffic monitoring and analysis more laborious and thus creates a peculiar problem for network operators and security specialists. In this changed scenario, network traffic classification (NTC) is referred to as a pivotal research area. It denotes the process of associating network flows with a particular class, e.g., that of applications, services, or threats, depending on the target. Indispensable can be the role of proper classification in elevating quality of service, facilitating bandwidth allocation, and corroborating the presence of cyber threats or malware attacks at an early stage. The lack of classification accuracy may lead to organizations suffering from degraded service performance, inefficient utilization of resources, and response to attacks being late [3][4].

Traditional methods for traffic classification, like port-based analysis or payload inspection, have a hard time keeping up with the complexity of modern traffic. Port-based analysis was once seen as a simple and efficient method. It relied on the idea that specific applications use fixed port numbers. This assumption is outdated because many modern applications now use dynamic or random ports. Some applications even hide their traffic by using well-known ports, like port 80 for HTTP, to evade detection. Similarly, payload-based inspection looks at packet content to find application signatures. However, this method has become less effective with the advent of encryption protocols such as Hyper Text Transfer Protocol Secure, TLS& QUIC, which hide packet contents from direct inspection [5][6]. Additionally, statistical feature-based methods depend on flow-level attributes like length of packet, inter-arrival time, or drift duration.

Literature Review

In the classification of Network Traffic, the use of machine learning is rising daily to prevent different types of intrusions using new innovative techniques. Existing innovations have expanded and spread across many applications such as identifying services or applications, analyzing headers and payloads of packets, detecting even the packets are encrypted and identifying IoT traffic. In this part, the mentioned related areas give an overview of it, and the major issues, techniques and contribution are discussed below.

ML Techniques for Application Identification

J. Kotak et al. (2025) determined how well machine learning (ML) works to identify services or apps from network chatter [7]. In the task of traffic identification based on statistical data and the headers and payloads of packets, machine learning algorithms achieved great accuracy even when network traffic was encrypted. H. Yzzogh et al. (2025) investigated the possibilities of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), which were renowned for their exceptional outcomes in tasks to classifying images [8]. In order to take use of Convolutional Neural Networks in this situation, they suggested employing the flow-to-bar chart conversion method to turn traffic flow data into pictures. H. Lu et al. (2025) suggested a method for detecting unknown network traffic that used a cascade structure and confidence (difference) by examining the confidence distributions of the new and known classes. The suggested approach operated as follows [9]. X. Xiao et al. (2025) Suggested Robust Byte-Label Joint Attention Network (RBLJAN), a robust and effective deep learning-based architecture for classifying encrypted traffic over the network at the packet & flow stages [10]. RBLJAN consists of an adversarial traffic generator and a classifier. By capturing implicit correlations between bytes and labels, the classifier used techniques like byte-label joint attention learning and header-payload parallel processing to create potent packet representations. Z. Wang et al. (2025) proposed deep flow embedding (DFE), a feature compressor-based deep learning method based on metric learning [11]. C.-Y. Shin et al. (2025) offered an inductive refutation of the nebulous notion such deep learning models could do properly and beat decision machine learning models in every way over domains, particularly inside the area of classification of traffic over the network [12]. In the Table 1 below, we will see the ML techniques for Application Identification in detail.

Table 1 Comparative Analysis of ML Techniques for Application Identification

Ref.	ML Technique	Traffic Type	Dataset(s) Used	Evaluation Parameters	Key Outcomes
7	Time-series-based ML method for encrypted & VPN traffic identification	Encrypted traffic & VPN	ISCX VPN-nonVPN	Accuracy, Precision, Recall	Effective even for VPN-encrypted traffic.
8	CNN, SIFT (Scale-Invariant Feature Transform)	Network Traffic Flows converted into images for traffic classification	InSDN dataset	Classification Accuracy	NN maintained high accuracy while reducing computational overhead.
9	Cascade structure-based ML (adaptive threshold algorithm)	Encrypted traffic	Real-world network traffic	Overall accuracy and classification	Detected unknown traffic classes

ML Techniques for Traffic Behavior Analysis

Statistical feature-based methods depend on flow-level attributes like packet length, inter-arrival time, or flow duration. While these methods can classify traffic without examining payloads, they need extensive manual feature engineering and large labeled datasets for training. This process is both time-consuming and prone to errors. These methods also have trouble generalizing across different networks, devices, and application versions. The statistical properties of traffic can change significantly with even small software updates. Another shortcoming of traditional techniques is their high computational demands. Payload inspection is particularly resource-intensive since it often requires deep packet inspection (DPI). This makes it unsuitable for high-speed networks where low latency matters [10] [11]. Additionally, gathering traffic over long periods and depending on caching and storage can drive up infrastructure costs. It also raises issues about user privacy, as sensitive data might be exposed during inspection. These challenges emphasize the urgent need for smarter, automated, and encryption-resistant classification techniques. Researchers, in an attempt to bypass the constraints of classical methodologies, began exploring machine learning (ML) techniques to categorize network traffic. Models like Tree-based algorithm, Random Forests, k-NN, Naïve Bayes& Support Vector Machines have been commonly used to classify traffic flows derived from statistical features like packet size distribution, duration of flow, and times between arrivals. These models improved on port-based and payload-based inspection by allowing classification even when traffic was somewhat encrypted or disguised [12] [13]. In the Table 2 below, we will see the ML techniques for Traffic Behavior Analysis in detail.

Table 2 Comparative Analysis of ML Techniques for Traffic Behavior Analysis

Ref.	ML Technique		Traffic Type	Dataset(s) Used		Evaluation Parameters		Key Outcomes
14	Robust Joint Network with training	Byte-Label Attention (RBLJAN) adversarial	Multiple real-world network traffic	X-APP, X-WEB, USTC-TFC, and ISCX-VPN		Precision, F1-score		High robustness, fast detection, strong resistance to adversarial attacks
15	Deep Embedding using metric learning	Flow (DFE)	Network flow traffic	CIC-IDS2017		Precision, Recall		Robust to traffic variation, effective new-class identification
16	Tree-based lightweight ML vs DL with data augmentation	ML vs DL with	Network flow traffic	ISCX VPN-nonVPN 2016		Accuracy, Specification		Suitable for resource-constrained environments

ML Techniques for Protocol Detection

W. Lin et al. (2024) presented a novel Information Bottleneck Neural Network (IBNN) to minimize extraneous information while effectively collecting critical features from raw traffic data [17]. Y. Jang et al. (2023) examined the based-on payload and port-number network traffic classification systems currently in use in order to determine

their drawbacks within the current network context [18]. Y.Wang et al.(2022) proposed Ulfar, a multi-level feature attention method for classifying network traffic that used CNN as the foundation for the deep packet analysis model. To classify network traffic in Ulfar, they took a single packet per flow [19]. Z. Bu et al. (2020) offered a neural network model for the classification of encrypted network traffic that has deep and parallel network-in-network (NIN) structures. In order to improve local modeling, NIN adopted a micro network following each convolution layer in contrast to conventional convolutional neural networks (CNN) [20]. In the Table 3 below, we will see the ML techniques for Protocol Detection in detail.

Table 3 Comparative Analysis of ML Techniques for Protocol Detection

Ref.	ML Technique		Traffic Type	Dataset(s) Used		Evaluation Parameters	Key Outcomes
17	IBNN Bottleneck Network)	(Information Neural)	Encrypted Network Traffic	UNB dataset	CIS	Accuracy, F1-score	Extracted critical features while minimizing redundant information
18	NFStream models	+ ML (GBTree, LightGBM, XGBoost)	Encrypted traffic dataset	ISCX nonVPN	VPN-2016	F1-score, Precision, Recall.	Very high classification performance, effective for encrypted traffic.
19	CNN for deep packet analysis		Single packet per flow	InSDN, DDoS2019	CIC	Classification Accuracy	Automatically recovering format-related bytes
20	NN with NIN		Encrypted dataset	ISCX nonVPN	VPN-	Classification Accuracy, F1-score	Achieved a balance between accuracy and model complexity

Research Gaps and Motivation

Traditional machine learning models mostly depend on self-created or manually crafted features and this process is time-consuming and difficult as well. They didn't properly understand the network due to its complexity, especially since encrypted traffic is very difficult to analyze. If a new type of network traffic appears that isn't classified into any group, traditional ML models cannot easily adapt. In today's era, with so many applications and protocols being introduced, these models often fall behind. To classify traffic, they need a huge amount of labeled data, and it is nearly impossible to provide fully labeled data to train traditional models. Due to these difficulties, it is required to develop new models or classifiers which can detect real-world traffic. We aim to build a model or classifier that can automatically detect traffic without manual intervention. By doing this, we can better understand and detect digital as well as encrypted traffic. Along with this, it would be very helpful if the classifier or model could work with a small labeled dataset.

NTC involves identifying various types of applications or traffic data by inspecting the received data packets. It is an important technique in communication networks of the present-day world. The NTC process has various steps which include data input, pre-processing, extraction of features, classification, and performance analysis. The data is taken as input from the authentic source. During the pre-processing phase missing and redundant values from the dataset will be removed which will clean the input and help to achieve maximum accuracy for the classification. In the phase of feature extraction relation among each attribute with the target, the set will be established and it helps to identify main attributes from the dataset. In the phase of classification, a model of classification will be applied to the training and test set which will provide output as the predicted set. In the last phase, various performance analysis matrices like accuracy, precision, recall will be used to analyze the performance of the network traffic classification model. Network traffic classification is a crucial part of network management and rapid advances in machine learning have driven the utility of learning methods to categorize traffic across a network.

Inherent traits of internet networks initiate imbalanced class distributions in the case of consistent databases. This phenomenon is called class imbalance and it is attracting growing attention in a large number of research fields. The propagation of encrypted traffic has emerged machine learning (ML) based network traffic categorization (NTC) as a mainstream approach. However, the goal of machine learning algorithms is usually to get the maximum overall accuracy while ignoring class imbalance. This results in the serious downfall in the execution of existent machine learning-centric NTC approaches when encountering unbalanced cases. In the research task, existing network traffic classification models will be optimized so that high accuracy, precision, as well as recall values will be achieved.

Future Research Directions and Open Challenges

The traffic over the network changes overtime, which is why the model needs to update itself. We need to study techniques that learn from new data (online learning). We need to build a model that perform well over different networks, devices and environments, therefore, we need high quality and diverse data. Obtaining labeled data is often very difficult, therefore we aim to train models using a small amount of labeled data or even without labeled data. The Majority of deep learning models are black boxes, but we need to understand how they make their decisions. Network traffic arrives very quickly, so the model must also perform quickly. We need to build a model that perform efficiently with network traffic using minimal time and resources. Intruders may try to attack deep learning models to cheat, so the model should be secure and robust. It is still challenging to detect patterns in raw network data (packet or flow data) which is why we need to design a high-quality model. We can use an integration of deep learning and machine learning towards build a better model that can accurately classify system traffic.

Conclusion

The given study presented a comprehensive analysis of various machine learning —Application Identification, Protocol Detection and Traffic Behavior Analysis. A detailed preprocessing pipeline was implemented for fair evaluation. The inclusion of statistical validation confirms the reliability of findings. This work contributes by offering a reproducible, statistically sound comparison of widely used ML techniques, guiding future research in intelligent by classifying traffic over the network.

ML plays a vital role in understanding network traffic. These techniques are helpful in recognizing even encrypted and anonymous data. As a result, it becomes easier to classify different applications and services effectively even when the data is highly digitalized. These ML techniques are very helpful in detecting patterns and relationship within traffic data, even when the input is in the form of sequence or pictures. That's why these ML models are a very good and reliable way to classify network traffic.

References

- [1] F. Alam, R. Kashef and M. Jaseemuddin, "Enhancing the Performance of Network Traffic Classification Methods Using Efficient Feature Selection Models," 2021 IEEE International Systems Conference (SysCon), Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2021, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/SysCon48628.2021.9447076.
- [2] Mamta, M. Poriye and S. Upadhyaya, "Hybrid Classification Model for Network Traffic Density Estimation," 2023 International Conference on Computing, Communication, and Intelligent Systems (ICCCIS), Greater Noida, India, 2023, pp. 1051-1056, doi: 10.1109/ICCCIS60361.2023.10425147.
- [3] J. M. Adeke, J. Chen, L. Zhang, R. N. K. Mensah and K. Tong, "An Efficient Approach Based on Parameter Optimization for Network Traffic Classification Using Machine Learning," 2020 7th International Conference on Dependable Systems and Their Applications (DSA), Xi'an, China, 2020, pp. 99-107, doi: 10.1109/DSA51864.2020.00021.
- [4] Y. Zhu and Z. Han, "Distributionally Robust Federated Learning for Network Traffic Classification with Noisy Labels," in IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 6212-6226, May 2024, doi: 10.1109/TMC.2023.3319657.
- [5] H. Liu and B. Lang, "Network Traffic Classification Method Supporting Unknown Protocol Detection," 2021 IEEE 46th Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN), Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2021, pp. 311-314, doi: 10.1109/LCN52139.2021.9525009.
- [6] A. Ariffin, F. Zaki and N. B. Anuar, "Leveraging Federated Learning and XAI for Privacy-Aware and Lightweight Edge Training in Network Traffic Classification," 2023 IEEE International Conference on Computing (ICOCO), Langkawi, Malaysia, 2023, pp. 47-52, doi: 10.1109/ICOCO59262.2023.10397836.
- [7] J. Kotak, I. Yankelev, I. Bibi, Y. Elovici and A. Shabtai, "VPN-Encrypted Network Traffic Classification Using a Time-Series Approach," in IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 2225-2242, April 2025, doi: 10.1109/TNSM.2025.3543903.
- [8] H. Yzzogh and H. Benaboud, "Evaluating ORB and SIFT with Neural Network as Alternatives to CNN for Traffic Classification in SDN Environments," in IEEE Access, vol. 13, pp. 51484-51498, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3553449.
- [9] H. Lu, Y. Dong, Z. Wu, H. -L. Wei and G. Lu, "New Class Detection in Network Traffic Classification Using Confidence Information Embedded Cascade Structure," in IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1692-1706, May-June 2025, doi: 10.1109/TNSE.2025.3538564.
- [10] I. Fosić, D. Žagar and K. Grgić, "Network traffic verification based on a public dataset for IDS systems and machine learning classification algorithms," 2022 45th Jubilee International Convention on Information, Communication and Electronic Technology (MIPRO), Opatija, Croatia, 2022, pp. 1037-1041, doi: 10.23919/MIPRO55190.2022.9803674.

- [11] M. Usama, A. Qayyum, J. Qadir and A. Al-Fuqaha, "Black-box Adversarial Machine Learning Attack on Network Traffic Classification," 2019 15th International Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), Tangier, Morocco, 2019, pp. 84-89, doi: 10.1109/IWCMC.2019.8766505.
- [12] C. Wang, T. Xu and X. Qin, "Network Traffic Classification with Improved Random Forest," 2015 11th International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security (CIS), Shenzhen, China, 2015, pp. 78-81, doi: 10.1109/CIS.2015.27.
- [13] M. M. K. Abid Hussain, M. Jaseemuddin and R. Kashef, "Network Traffic Classification Using Distributed ML-Based Data Parallelization Approach," 2023 IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), Regina, SK, Canada, 2023, pp. 539-546, doi: 10.1109/CCECE58730.2023.10288743.
- [14] X. Xiao et al., "RBLJAN: Robust Byte-Label Joint Attention Network for Network Traffic Classification," in IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 2161-2178, May-June 2025, doi: 10.1109/TDSC.2024.3478838.
- [15] Z. Wang et al., "DFE: Deep Flow Embedding for Robust Network Traffic Classification," in IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1597-1612, May-June 2025, doi: 10.1109/TNSE.2025.3535577.
- [16] C. -Y. Shin, Y. -S. Choi and M. -S. Kim, "Data Augmentation-Based Enhancement for Efficient Network Traffic Classification," in IEEE Access, vol. 13, pp. 6006-6028, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3525000
- [17] W. Lin and Y. Chen, "Robust Network Traffic Classification Based on Information Bottleneck Neural Network," in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 150169-150179, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3477466.
- [18] Y. Jang et al., "An Investigation of Learning Model Technologies for Network Traffic Classification Design in Cyber Security Exercises," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 138712-138731, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3336674.
- [19] Y. Wang, X. Yun, Y. Zhang, C. Zhao and X. Liu, "A Multi-Scale Feature Attention Approach to Network Traffic Classification and Its Model Explanation," in IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 875-889, June 2022, doi: 10.1109/TNSM.2022.3149933.
- [20] Z. Bu, B. Zhou, P. Cheng, K. Zhang and Z. -H. Ling, "Encrypted Network Traffic Classification Using Deep and Parallel Network-in-Network Models," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 132950-132959, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3010637.
- [21] S. Wei, X. Chen and B. Zhou, "Network Traffic Classification Based on AR-ELM Algorithm," 2018 13th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (WCICA), Changsha, China, 2018, pp. 1500-1505, doi: 10.1109/WCICA.2018.8630436.
- [22] O. Bader, A. Lichy, Amit Dvir, R. Dubin, and Chen Hajaj, "OSF-EIMTC: An open-source framework for standardized encrypted internet traffic classification," Computer Communications, vol. 213, pp. 271-284, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2023.10.011.

- 253 [23] Y. Geng, S. Cai, S. Qin, H. Chen and S. Yin, "An Efficient Network Traffic Classification Method based on
254 Combined Feature Dimensionality Reduction," 2021 IEEE 21st International Conference on Software Quality,
255 Reliability and Security Companion (QRS-C), Hainan, China, 2021, pp. 407-414, doi: 10.1109/QRS-
256 C55045.2021.00067.
- 257 [24] X. Wang, S. Chen and J. Su, "App-Net: A Hybrid Neural Network for Encrypted Mobile Traffic
258 Classification," IEEE INFOCOM 2020 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops
259 (INFOCOM WKSHPS), Toronto, ON, Canada, 2020, pp. 424-429, doi:
260 10.1109/INFOCOMWKSHPS50562.2020.9162891.
- 261 [25] J. Koumar, K. Hynek and T. Čejka, "Network Traffic Classification Based on Single Flow Time Series
262 Analysis," 2023 19th International Conference on Network and Service Management (CNSM), Niagara Falls, ON,
263 Canada, 2023, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.23919/CNSM59352.2023.10327876.
- 264 [26] Y. P. Kumar S, S. Mishra and V. K. Chaithanya Manam, "A Comparative Study of Unsupervised Learning
265 Techniques and Natural Language Processing in Network Traffic Classification," 2023 IEEE International
266 Conference on Advanced Networks and Telecommunications Systems (ANTS), Jaipur, India, 2023, pp. 138-143,
267 doi: 10.1109/ANTS59832.2023.10469018.