

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57815

Title: A Descriptive Study to Assess the Level of Knowledge among Staff Nurses Regarding Stem Cell Therapy in Government Headquarters Hospital, Cuddalore

<b>Recommendation:</b>	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is .....	Originality		✓		
✓ Accept after minor revision.....	Techn. Quality		✓		
Accept after major revision .....	Clarity		✓		
Do not accept ( <i>Reasons below</i> ) .....	Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

#### **Strength of the study:**

- The study addresses a relevant and emerging topic in nursing and regenerative medicine
- The objective of assessing nurses' knowledge on stem cell therapy is clear and practical
- The topic has good clinical importance for improving awareness among healthcare professionals
- The findings are presented in a simple and understandable manner
- The study may help identify knowledge gaps and support planning of educational programs for staff nurses

#### **Weakness of the study:**

- The sample size is small and limited to one hospital, which reduces generalizability
- Convenience sampling limits the strength of the findings
- The manuscript requires significant grammar and language correction throughout
- Some tables, formatting, and statistical presentation need better clarity and consistency
- The discussion could be strengthened by deeper interpretation and comparison with existing literature

#### **Reviewers Comments:**

This manuscript focuses on an important and timely topic in nursing education by assessing the knowledge of staff nurses regarding stem cell therapy. The subject has practical relevance, especially as stem cell therapy continues to gain importance in modern healthcare. The objectives are clearly stated, and the findings provide useful baseline information about the current level of awareness among staff nurses in the selected setting. Ethical aspects such as informed consent are included in the appendix, which is appropriate for this type of study. However, ethical clearance approval from an institutional ethics committee should be clearly mentioned in the manuscript if obtained. The overall writing is understandable, but the paper requires careful revision for grammar, sentence construction, and formatting. Several sections contain repetition and language inconsistencies. The study is also limited by small sample size and use of convenience sampling from a single centre. Despite these limitations, the work is meaningful and has value for nursing education and future training programs. With language correction and minor structural improvement, the manuscript can be considered for publication.

#### **Previously Published anywhere/Plagiarism check:**

There is no clear indication that this work has been published previously elsewhere. The content appears to be an original academic study based on primary data collection among staff nurses. No obvious duplication is noted from the manuscript structure. A routine plagiarism check is still recommended before acceptance to confirm originality and identify any overlap in literature review or background sections.