



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57800

Title: A CASE REPORT OF NEUROTROPHIC KERATITIS.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....Y.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		Y		
Techn. Quality		Y		
Clarity		Y		
Significance	Y			

Reviewer's ID: JPR- JPR-077

Detailed Reviewer's Report

The title is clear and appropriate.

The abstract is clear and provides a good summary of the case, including the background, patient presentation, treatment, and outcome. However, it can be improved by including a stronger statement on the clinical importance of early diagnosis and management of Neurotrophic Keratitis.

The introduction provides good background information and pathophysiology. Some sentences are repetitive, particularly regarding epithelial defects caused by trigeminal nerve impairment.

The grading system is clearly explained. Consider citing the source of the grading classification.

The methodology is adequate for a case report. Consider adding:

Whether informed consent was obtained.

Duration of hospital stay.

Corneal sensitivity assessment method.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

The clinical history is well documented. Consider providing:

Exact duration of topical medication use.

Previous ophthalmic diagnoses.

Reason for chronic topical medication use.

The ocular findings are presented clearly in tabular form. Consider standardizing abbreviations and adding normal reference ranges where appropriate.

The slit-lamp findings strongly support the diagnosis. Consider including the size and depth of the epithelial defect and stromal ulcer.

Diagnosis is appropriate and supported by clinical findings.

Treatment protocol is clearly described. However, the use of topical prednisolone in Stage III NK should be justified, as steroids may worsen corneal thinning.

Explain the rationale for antiviral therapy since no active herpetic infection was described.

This section would benefit from a brief discussion of treatment decisions.

In follow up good demonstrations of clinical improvement are shown. The statement that "corneal sensations got back to normal" within one week may require clarification or objective measurement because sensory recovery is usually gradual.

The conclusion effectively summarizes the challenges in NK management. Consider adding one sentence highlighting the successful outcome in the present case.