

1

2 **An Exploratory Study to Assess the Cognition of Staff Nurses Regarding Brain Death**
3 **working in Critical Care Units of Christian Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana,**
4 **Punjab.**

5

6

7

ABSTRACT

8 An irreversible, catastrophic brain injury, which causes total cessation of all brain functions
9 (the upper brain structure and brain stem) is known as "brain death." The present study was
10 conducted with the purpose of assessing the cognition of staff nurses regarding brain death
11 working in critical care units of Christian Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab.
12 The aim of the study was to gain insight into the staff nurses' cognition regarding brain death
13 with a view to exploring specific deficits in cognition and conducting a teaching on brain
14 death to increase their level of cognition. The objectives of the study were to assess the level
15 of staff nurses' cognition regarding brain death and to identify the relationship of staff nurses'
16 cognition with personal & professional factors. A cognition assessment tool on brain death
17 was developed and used. The conceptual framework was based on the basis of **Miller's**
18 **Pyramid of Clinical Competence (1990)**. The pilot study was conducted to ensure the
19 reliability of the tool and feasibility of the study. By using the non-probability, purposive
20 sampling technique, the data was collected from 100 staff nurses who were working in
21 critical care units of Christian Medical College & Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab. The data was
22 analyzed by using descriptive and inferential statistics. The results showed that the maximum
23 number of staff nurses, i.e., 57%, had an average level of cognition regarding brain death.
24 The staff nurses had the highest mean knowledge score in the area of knowledge and the
25 lowest in the area of application. Age, gender, and present area of work had a significant
26 impact on the level of cognition of staff nurses regarding brain death. The 'Teaching on Brain
27 Death' was prepared and validated and was conducted to enhance the cognition of staff
28 nurses so that they can understand, assess, and provide necessary care to the brain-dead
29 patient.

30 **Key word-** Cognition, Staff Nurses, Brain Death, Critical Care Units

31 **INTRODUCTION**

32 Brain death, also known as death by neurologic criteria, is the irreversible loss of brain
33 activity caused by catastrophic brain damage, such as head trauma, brain hemorrhage, stroke,
34 or lack of blood supply to the brain following cardiac arrest. A patient is pronounced dead
35 when brain death has been diagnosed. The minimal threshold for diagnosing brain death in
36 adults is a single brain death test, including the apnea test. Unless the patient is a candidate
37 for organ donation or pregnant and a choice to prolong support for the fetus is made, organ
38 support, including mechanical breathing and drugs to maintain normal blood pressure, may
39 be continued after brain death is declared. (Walter Kristin, 2020) 1

40 **NEED OF STUDY**

41 A cross-sectional analytical research study was conducted with 90 ICU nurses who were
42 chosen using a stratified random sample method. A questionnaire was used to collect data on
43 aspects like understanding, perspective, and practices on their roles in the management of
44 brain-death clients. Nurses' understanding, perspective, and practices had an average score of
45 49.13, 21.49, and 3.66, respectively. Eighty percent of nurses had only a basic understanding
46 of their roles in the process, and 97 percent practiced poorly. As a result of the study, nurses
47 were found to lack appropriate understanding, perspective, and practice in their roles. ICU
48 nurses play an important role in the treatment of brain-dead patients as well as assisting their
49 families in making decisions. As a result, nurses' understanding, perspective, and practices in
50 this area are critical. Nurse training should be included in the programs to familiarize nurses
51 with their duties in the treatment of brain-dead patients and the organ donation procedure. (S
52 T MasoumianHoseini, 2015)²

53 Data was acquired through a qualitative conventional analysis by conducting 28 semi-
54 structured and in-depth interviews with ICU nurses. These interviews resulted in the
55 identification of themes that reflected nurses' perspectives on the difficulties they confront
56 when dealing with brain-dead patients. "Confusion and problems in accepting the
57 circumstances" and "faults in an efficient and successful care system" were the topics.
58 According to the report's findings, faults in training and managerial difficulties are among the
59 elements that contribute to nurses' stress levels in care and management. Because these
60 obstacles impair nurses' effectiveness in the care of brain-dead patients, it's critical to figure
61 out what's going on. (H. YazdiMoghaddam, 2018) ³

62 MATERIAL AND METHOD

63 After approval from the College of Nursing, Christian Medical College & Hospital,
64 Ludhiana, Punjab, ethical committee and permission were taken from the College of Nursing.
65 The structured questionnaire was provided to staff nurses. By enrolling 100 staff nurses
66 working in critical care units Using Non-Probability, Purposive Sampling Technique to select
67 the sample. A Self-Structured Cognition Assessment Tool on Brain Death was developed and
68 validated by 11 experts in the fields of Medical Surgical Nursing, Community Health
69 Nursing, Obstetrical & Gynaecological Nursing, Child Health Nursing, Mental Health
70 Nursing and ICU consultation and faculty of the department of Neurology and
71 Ophthalmology.. Reliability of the tool was checked by Karl Pearson's Coefficient and
72 Spearman's Brown Prophecy Formula. was " r " = 0.7. The tools were divided into two
73 sections. **Section A:** Personal & Professional Characteristics of Sample consists of 7 items:
74 Age, Gender, Professional Qualification, Professional Experience, Present Area of Work,
75 Training Institute & Source of Information. **Section B:** Questionnaire on Brain Death, **B1:**
76 Items related to Knowledge, consisted of 14 items for assessing knowledge, **B2:** Items related
77 to Comprehension, consisted of 14 items for assessing comprehension. **B3:** Items related to
78 Application consisted of 12 items for assessing the application of the knowledge of staff
79 nurses related to brain death in the given scenarios. After the data collection, the Excel sheet
80 was filled. One mark is given for the right answer and zero for the wrong answer . Criterion
81 Measure for the tool were Excellent >32, Good 27-32, Average 20-26, and Below Average
82 <20. Prior permission to conduct the study and to collect the data was obtained; the
83 researcher developed a good interpersonal relationship with the subjects and explained the
84 purpose of the study. They were assured that their responses and scores would be kept
85 confidential and would be used only for research purposes. The anonymity and
86 confidentiality of the participants are about findings while reporting the study. Data was

87 analyzed by using Excel for descriptive statistics. The use of percentage, mean, median, and
88 SD was calculated.

89 **Results**

90 SECTION 1-Percentage Distribution of Personal & Professional Characteristics of Sample

91 SECTION -II-Assessment of Level of Cognition of Staff Nurses Regarding Brain Death

92 SECTION -III-Analysis of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses According to Personal and
93 Professional Characteristics

94 SECTION -IV- Identification of Deficit Areas of Cognition

95

96 **SECTION 1-Percentage Distribution of Personal & Professional Characteristics of** 97 **Sample**

98 **Table No.1-Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Staff Nurses according to**
99 **Personal & Professional Characteristics**

100 **N=100**

101

Personal and Professional Characteristics	Staff Nurses f	Percentage (%)
1. Age (in years)		
a) 21-30	45	45
b) 31-40	40	40
c) >40	15	15
2. Gender		
a) Male	40	40
b) Female	60	60
3. Professional Qualification		
a) B.Sc. Nursing	40	40
b) GNM Course	49	49
c) Post Basic B.Sc. Nursing	11	11
d) Post graduation (M.Sc. - Nursing)	-	-
4. Professional Experience		
a) 6 months – 1 years	9	9
b) 1.1 years- 3 years	23	23
c) 3.1years - 5 years	16	16
d) > 5 years	52	52
5. Training Institute		
a) CON, CMC & H, Ludhiana	54	54
b) Other than CON, CMC & H,	46	46

Ludhiana

6.Source of Information

a) Books	54	54
b) Clinical Practice	14	14
c) Internet	32	32
d) Workshop	-	-

7.Present Area of Work

a) Critical Care Units of Medicine	55	55
b) Critical Care units of Surgery	11	11
c) Emergency / Trauma		

102

103 **Table No. 1** depicts the distribution of subjects according to Personal and Professional
104 Characteristics. Most of the staff nurses were 21-30 years 45% and the majority of staff
105 nurses are females 60%. The maximum number of staff nurses had done GNM, 49%. Most of
106 the staff nurses had more than 5 years of working experience 52%.Majority of staff nurses
107 were trained at CON, CMC&H, Ludhiana (54%). Most of the staff nurses got information
108 from books (54%).

109 **SECTION -II-Assessment of Level of Cognition of Staff Nurses Regarding Brain Death**

110 **.Table 2-Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Staff Nurses According to Level of**
111 **Cognition regarding Brain Death.**

112 **N=100**

113

Levels of Cognition	Score	Staff Nurses	
		n	%
Excellent	>32	0	0
Good	27-32	11	11
Average	21-26	57	57
Below average	<20	32	32

114 **Maximum score = 40**

115 **Minimum score = 0**

116

117 **Table 2** -Hence, it can be concluded that majority of staff nurses (57) had average level of
118 cognition regarding Brain Death.

119

120 **SECTION -III-Analysis of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses According to Personal and**
121 **Professional Characteristics**

122 **Table 3 (a)**

123 **Mean, Standard deviation, ANOVA & t-test of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses**
124 **regarding Brain Death according to the Age**

125 **N=100**

		<u>COGNITION SCORE</u>		
Age (in years)	n	Mean	SD	
a) 21-30	45	22.86	3.76	
b) 31-40	40	21.92	3.89	
c) >40	15	19.66	5.45	
Sources of Variables	df	sum of square	MS	F
Between groups	2	115.68	57.84	3.44*
Within Groups	97	1635.30	16.85	
Total	99			
-	df	't'		
(a & b)	83	2.05*		
(a & c)	58	2.01*		
(b & c)	55	1.95		

126

127 **Maximum Score = 40**

***Significant at p<0.05 level**

128 **Minimum Score = 0**

129 **Table 3 (a)** revealed that the mean cognition score was highest at 22.86 among staff nurses in
130 the age group of 21-30 years, followed by 21.92 in the age group 31-40 years, followed by
131 19.66 in the age group of >40. Based on ANOVA, the difference in the mean cognition score
132 was found statistically significant at the $p < 0.05$ level. It was further analyzed by t-test and
133 found statistically significant between (a & b) and (a & c). Hence, age had a significant
134 impact on the cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain death.

135

136 **Table 3(b)**

137 **Mean, Standard Deviation and 't' test of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses regarding**
138 **Brain Death according to Gender**

139 N=100

140

COGNITION SCORE					
Gender	n	Mean	SD	df	't'
Male	40	20.98	4.52	98	3.11*
Female	60	23.55	3.13		

141

142 **Maximum Score = 40**

***Significant at p<0.05 level**

143 **Minimum Score = 0**

144 **Table 3 (b)** depicts that the highest mean cognition score regarding brain death, i.e., 23.55,
145 was among females, followed by 20.98 in males. Based on 't' test, the mean cognition score
146 was found statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ level. Hence, gender has a significant impact on
147 the cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain death

148 **Table 3(c)**

149 **Mean, Standard deviation & ANOVA of Cognition Score of Staff nurses regarding**
150 **Brain Death according to Professional Qualification**

151 **N=100**

152 **COGNITION SCORE**

Professional Qualification	n	Mean	SD		
B.Sc. Nursing	40	22	4.13		
G.N.M.	49	21.74	4.15		
Post Basic B.Sc. Nursing	11	23.45	4.76		
M.sc Nursing	-	-	-		
Sources of variable	df	sum of squares	MS	F	
Between the groups	2	27.076	13.53	2.762	^{NS}
Within groups	97	1723.91	17.77		
total	99	3500.98			

162

163

164 **Maximum Score = 40**

Non-Significant at p<0.05 level

165 **Minimum Score = 0**

166 **Table 3(c)** revealed that, mean cognition score was highest 23.45 among those staff nurses
 167 whose professional qualification was Post Basic BSc (N) followed by 22 among staff nurses
 168 with **B.Sc.** Nursing as professional qualification and 21.74 among staff nurses with G.N.M as
 169 professional qualification; no staff nurse had the professional qualification of MSc (N). Based
 170 on ANOVA, the calculated value is statistically non-significant at the $p < 0.05$ level.

171 Hence, professional qualification has no significant impact on the mean cognition score of
 172 staff nurses regarding brain death.

173 **Table 3(d)**

174 **Mean, Standard Deviation & ANOVA of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses Regarding**
 175 **Brain Death according to Professional Experience**

176 **N=100**

177 **COGNITION SCORE**

178 Professional Experience	n	Mean	SD	
179 6 months- 1 years	9	22.89	3.88	
180 1.1 years- 3 years	23	22.13	4.10	
181 3.1 years- 5 years	16	22.5	3.48	
182 >5 years	52	21.65	4.55	
183				
184 Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F
185 Between groups	17.72	3	5.9	1.32 ^{NS}
186 Within groups	1733.26	96	18.0	
187 Total	1750.99	99		
188				

189

190 **Maximum Score = 40** **Non-Significant at $p < 0.05$ level**
 191 **Minimum Score = 0**

192

193 **Table 3(d)** revealed that the mean cognition score was highest 22.89 among the staff nurses
 194 with 6 months to 1 year of Prof. experience, followed by 22.5 among staff nurses with 3.1
 195 years to 5 years of Prof. experience, followed by 22.13 among staff nurses with 1.1- 3 years
 196 of Prof. experience, and lowest (21.65) among staff nurses with >5 years of Prof. experience.
 197 Based on ANOVA, the calculated value is statistically non-significant at the level $p < 0.005$.

198 Hence, Professional Experience has no significant impact on mean cognition score of staff
 199 Nurses regarding Brain Death.

200

201 **Table 3(e)**

202 **Mean, Standard deviation, 't' test of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses regarding Brain**
203 **Death according to Training Institute**

204 **N=100**

205 **COGNITION SCORE**

206 Training Institute	n	Mean	SD	df	t-value
207 CON, CMC & H, Ludhiana	54	22.16	4.3	98	.259 ^{NS}
208					
209 Other than CON, CMC & H, 210 Ludhiana	46	21.82	4.0		

211 **Maximum Score = 40**

Non-Significant at p<0.05 level

212 **Minimum Score = 0**

213 **Table 3(e)** revealed that the highest mean cognition score, 22.16, was among those who
214 were trained from CON, CMC & H, Ludhiana followed by 21.82 among staff nurses who
215 were trained from other than CON, CMC & H Ludhiana. Based on 't' value, the mean
216 cognition score was found statistically non-significant at p<0.05 level.

217 Hence, the training institute had no significant impact on the cognition score of staff nurses
218 regarding brain death.

219 **Table 3(f)**

220 **Mean, Standard deviation & ANOVA of Cognition Sore of Staff Nurses regarding**
221 **Brain Death according to the Source of Information**

222 **N=100**

223 **COGNITION SCORE**

224 Source of Information	n	Mean	SD		
225 Books	54	23.64	4.44		
226 Workshop	-	-	-		
227 Internet	32	22.19	3.99		
228 Clinical practice	14	21.64	3.78		
229					
230 Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	
231 Between groups	53.42	2	26.71	2.9 ^{NS}	

232	Within groups		1697.57	97	17.50
233	Total	1750.99	99		

234 **Maximum Score = 40** **Non-Significant at p< 0.05 level**
 235 **Minimum Score = 0**

236

237 **Table 3 (f)** revealed that the mean cognition score was highest 23.64 among the staff nurses
 238 who got information from books, followed by 22.19 among staff nurses who got information
 239 through the internet, and followed by 21.64 who learned from clinical practice no staff nurse
 240 has attended any workshop on brain death. Analysis of variance was used and found
 241 statistically non- significant at the level of p<0.05.

242 So, the Source of information had no effect on the cognition score of staff nurses regarding
 243 brain death.

244 **Table 3(g)**

245 **Mean, Standard Deviation and ANOVA of Staff Nurses Cognition Score regarding**
 246 **Brain Death according to Present Area of Work**

247 **N=10**

248 **COGNITION SCORE**

249	Working Area	n	Mean	SD	
250	a) Critical Care Units of Medicine	55	24.4	3	
251	b) Critical Care Units of Surgery	34	21.6	2.7	
252	c) Emergency/ Triage	11	20	2.6	
253					
254	Source of Variation	df	SS	MS	F
255	between groups	9	432	26.2	2.9*
256	within groups	90	146.3	8.5	
257	total 99	1897.3			
258					
259	a& b	87	2.1*		
260	a& c	64	2.03*		
261	b& c	43	1.87		

262 **Maximum Score =40** **Significant at p< 0.05 level**
 263 **Minimum Score = 0**

264 **Table.3 (g)** depict that the staff nurses working in medicine intensive care units had the
265 highest mean cognition score, i.e., 24.4, while a 21.6 mean cognition score was among the
266 staff nurses working in surgical critical care units, followed by 20 mean cognition score
267 among staff nurses working in emergency/triage. Based on ANOVA, the difference in mean
268 cognition score was found statistically significant at the $p < 0.05$ level. It was further analysed
269 by t-test, which showed statistical significance between (a&b) (a&c)

270 **SECTION -4 Identification of Deficit Areas of Cognition**

271 **Table 8 (a)-Mean, Mean Percentage & Rank Order of Cognition Score of Staff Nurses**
272 **regarding Brain Death according to Deficit Area.**

273 **N=100**

Areas of Cognition	Max. score	Mean score	Mean %	Rank order
Knowledge	14	8.5	60%	3
Comprehension	14	07	50%	2
Application	12	5.8	48.3%	1

274 **Maximum score=40**

275 **Minimum score= 0**

276 **Table 4** indicates that, according to the area of cognition, the mean percentage of the
277 cognition score of staff nurses was the lowest, 48.3% (Ranked 1) regarding the application of
278 the knowledge of brain death, followed by 50% (Rank 2) regarding Comprehension & 60%
279 (Rank 3) regarding knowledge of brain death.

280 Hence, it can be concluded that the staff nurses had the lowest cognition score in the area of
281 Application which was considered Ranked 1 and highest mean percentage of cognition score
282 in area of Knowledge which was considered Rank 3. So there is need for enhancement of
283 cognition of staff nurses by preparing and conducting a class on brain death.

284 **Discussion**

285 The findings of the present study reveals that, 57% staff nurses had average level of
286 cognition and 32 % staff nurses had below average level of cognition, followed by 11%
287 staff nurses having good level of cognition which are supported by the findings of
288 (Alarcon Martinez L,2019)⁴⁰ who assessed the knowledge of nursing students in a
289 multicenter study including 721 students from 3 universities which revealed that 70%
290 understood the concept of brain death correctly, 27% had doubts and 3% believed in the
291 recovery from brain death.

292 The findings of the present study depicts the relationship of Personal and Professional
293 Characteristics with cognition of staff nurses regarding brain death.

294 • According to age, the mean cognition score was highest 22.86 among staff nurses in the
295 age group of 21-30 years, followed by 21.92 in the age group 31-40 years, followed by
296 19.66 in the age group of >40. Based on ANOVA, the difference in the mean cognition
297 score was found statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ level which shows, age had a
298 significant impact on level of cognition of staff nurses regarding brain death. The study
299 done by (Zsolt Kanyari,2021)³⁵ who assessed the knowledge and attitude of health care
300 professionals in relation to brain death and organ donation in hungary where a survey was
301 done among 56 ICU doctors, 76 ICU nurses, 188 medical students which revealed that,
302 age had significant impact knowledge score of staff nurses regarding brain death.

303 • According to gender, highest mean mean score regarding brain death i.e. 23.55 was
304 among males followed by 20.98 in females. Based on 't' test, the mean cognition score
305 was found statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ level which shows that gender had
306 significant impact on level of cognition score of staff nurses regrading brain death. The
307 findings is similar with the findings of the study done by (Rivera P,2017)¹⁵ who assessed
308 the level of knowledge regarding the concept of brain death among nurses using survey
309 method. A sample of 488 nurses were taken which revealed that gender had significant
310 impact on the mean cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain death.

311 • According to Professional Qualification mean cognition score was highest 23.45 among
312 those staff nurses whose professional qualification of Post Basic BSc (N) followed by 22
313 who has done B.Sc. Nursing and 21.74 among staff nurses with G.N.M as professional
314 qualification. Analysis was done with analysis of variance, which found statistically non-
315 significant at $p < 0.05$ level which shows professional qualification had no significant
316 impact on cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain death. The findings is similar
317 with findings of (Rivera P ,2017)¹⁵ who assessed the level of knowledge regarding the
318 concept of brain death among nursesusing survey method. A sample of 488 nurses were
319 taken which showed that professional qualification had no impact on the mean cognition
320 score of staff nurses regarding brain death.

321 • According to Professional Experience, the mean cognition score was highest 22.89
322 among the staff nurses with 6 months – 1 year of Prof. Experience followed by 22.5
323 among staff nurses with 3.1 years- 5 years of Prof. Experience, followed by 22.13 among

324 staff nurses with 1.1- 3 years of Prof. Experience and least 21.65 among staff nurses with
325 >5 years of Prof. Experience. Analysis was done with analysis of variance. It was found
326 statistically non-significant at the level $p < 0.05$. which shows that, the Professional
327 Experience had no significant impact on cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain
328 death. The findings of the present study is consistent with the study done by (**K O Jean**)
329 who assessed the knowledge regarding brain death which reveals that level of experience
330 had no significant impact on the cognition of staff nurses.

331 • According to Present Area of Work, the highest mean cognition score i.e. 24.4 among
332 staff nurses working in medical critical care units, while 21.6 mean cognition score was
333 among the staff nurses working in surgical critical care units followed by 20 mean
334 cognition score among staff nurses working in emergency/triage. Based on anova, the
335 difference in mean cognition score was found statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ level.
336 Which shows that, Area of Work had significant impact on cognition score of Staff
337 Nurses regarding Brain Death. The findings of (**Agnes Claudine Fontes de LA, 2018**)³⁷
338 who assessed the staff nurses knowledge working intensive care units interviewing them
339 revealed that the area of work had significant impact on knowledge score of staff nurses.

340 • According to Training Institute, the mean cognition score was highest 22.16 among staff
341 nurses who trained from C.O.N, C.M.C & H, Ludhiana, and least mean cognition score
342 21.82 among staff nurses who trained other than C.O.N, C.M.C & Hospital. Analysis was
343 done with 't' test and found statistically non-significant at $p < 0.05$ which shows that
344 training institute had no significant impact on cognition score of staff nurses regarding
345 brain death. The findings of the present study is compatible with a study done by
346 (**Alarcon Martinez L**)⁴⁰ who assessed the knowledge of nursing students in a multicenter
347 study including 721 students from 3 universities which revealed that training institute had
348 no association with the cognition score of staff nurses regarding brain death.

349 • According to Source of Information, the mean cognition score was highest 23.64 among
350 the staff nurses who got information from books, followed by 22.19 among staff nurses
351 who got information through internet followed by 21.64 who learned from clinical
352 practice no staff nurse has attended any workshop on Brain Death. Analysis of variance
353 was used and found, statistically non- significant at the level of $p < 0.05$. Thus, it shows
354 that, the Source of Information had no effect on cognition cognition score of staff nurses
355 regarding brain death. The findings of (**Ahmet Karman, 2019**)²⁸ who assessed the role

356 of intensive care nurses on guiding patient's family for the brain dead patient which
357 revealed that source of information had no association with the cognition of staff nurses
358 regrading brain death.

359 • **Conclusion**

360 On the basis of research findings, it can be concluded that most of the staff nurses working in
361 various adult critical care units of Christian Medical College & Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab
362 had average level of cognition regarding brain death. Most of the staff nurses had deficit in
363 the area of application. Therefore, investigator conducted a teaching for staff nurses posted in
364 adult critical care unit on brain death which was appreciated by all.

365 **REFERENCES**

- 366 1. **Walter K. What is brain death? JAMA. 2020;324(11):1134.**
- 367 2. **MasoumianHoseini ST, Manzari Z, Khaleghi I. ICU nurses' knowledge, attitude,**
368 **and practice towards their role in the organ donation process from brain-dead**
369 **patients and factors influencing it in Iran. *Int J Organ Transplant Med.***
370 **2015;6(3):105–13**
- 371 3. **Moghaddam HY, Pouresmaeili A, Manzari ZS. Analysis of the reasons for nurses'**
372 **confusion in relation to the concept of brain death from clinical and legal points of**
373 **view. *Electron Physician.* 2018;10(5):6868–76**
- 374 4. **Martínez-Alarcón L, Ríos A, López MJ, Guzmán D, López-Navas A, Parrilla P,**
375 **Ramírez P. Do nursing students understand the meaning of brain death?**
376 ***Transplant Proc.* 2009;41(6):2060–3.**
- 377 5. **Kanyári Z, Cserevényák D, Tankó B, Nemes B, Fülesdi B, Molnár C. Knowledge**
378 **and attitudes of health care professionals and laypeople in relation to brain death**
379 **diagnosis and organ donation in Hungary: a questionnaire study. *Transplant Proc.***
380 **2021;53(5):1402–8.**
- 381 6. **Rivera P, Purroy F. The level of knowledge regarding the concept of brain death**
382 **among undergraduates at the Universitat de Lleida. *Rev Neurol.* 2017;65(11):489-**
383 **495. doi:10.33588/RN.6511.2017263.**
- 384 7. **Jeon KO, Kim BN, Kim HS, Byeon NI, Hong JJ, Bae SH, Son SY. A study on**
385 **knowledge and attitude toward brain death and organ retrieval among health care**
386 **professionals in Korea. *Transplant Proc.* 2012 May;44(4):859-861.**
387 **doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2012.02.019. PMID:22564567.**

388 8. Fontes CL, Pereira ML, Lacerda PL, Santos RC, Nunes AS. Knowledge of critical
389 care nurses about the brain death diagnosis process. *Rev Rene*. 2018;19:e32814.

390 9. Karaman A, Akyolcu N. Role of intensive care nurses on guiding patients'
391 families/relatives to organ donation. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2019;35(4):1115–21.

392

393

394

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR