

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57430

Title: Prevalence of Common Physical Health Problems Among Senior Citizens in a Rural Area of Rahata Taluka, Maharashtra: A Cross-Sectional Study

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....✓.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			✓	
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Ankur Jyoti Dutta

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

- The abstract needs to be written in a more scientific manner within 250 words. The keywords needs to be aligned with the domain.
- There are minor grammatical errors observed in the manuscript.
- The formatting of the paper along with referencing should be as per the journal's guidelines which seem to be lacking in the current paper.
- The tables included should have sources and methods explained. The methodology of the paper needs to be explained in a more scientific manner and clearly state the parameters of working
- The references of the paper needs to needs to be increased and should be done as per the journals format.
- The limitation and recommendation part needs to be written along with the conclusion in a more precise way.
- The conclusion needs to be written in an elaborative manner where the author also put forward their insights from the findings.

Detailed Reviewer's Report

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. The abstract of the paper needs to be reworked justifying and stating the intent of the paper and what will the paper contribute in the domain overall. The paper also needs to be reorganized in terms of reading and analyzing. The keywords needs to be more aligned with the area of research.
2. The conclusion and discussion/analysis part needs to be reworked within a concise limit to clearly state the understanding that was derived from the study. The recommendation and limitations section can be included with the conclusion. The conclusion also lacks author's perspective which needs to be incorporated
3. The methodology should also state the parameters for conducting the interviews and selection of candidates for analysis along with detailed justification and reasoning.
4. The graphs included needs to be have proper source of generation and they should also have a caption that justifies their role and usage. The graph should also include how they were generated.
5. The paper needs to be also formatted as per the journal guidelines.