



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57408

Title: Human Decision Maker Mind Affects Health, Longevity, Happiness, Success & Failure

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study

- Covers a broad and interdisciplinary topic linking mind brain and health outcomes
- Attempts to integrate concepts like MGBA amygdala and hippocampus in one framework
- Includes illustrative figures such as mind factors on page 1 and development timeline on page 2
- Uses multiple references to support theoretical arguments
- Encourages discussion on mind body interaction and lifestyle influence on health

Weakness of the study

- Lacks clear scientific methodology and remains largely theoretical
- Several claims are not adequately supported by strong evidence
- Writing has grammatical errors and inconsistent sentence structure
- Some sections include speculative or non scientific statements
- Figures are complex and not clearly explained
- Organization of content is scattered with abrupt topic shifts

Reviewers Comments

The manuscript presents an ambitious attempt to explain the role of the human mind as a central decision maker influencing health, longevity, and life outcomes. The conceptual framework linking prebirth memory, amygdala, hippocampus, and microbiome gut brain axis is interesting and supported by figures such as the diagram on page 1 showing factors affecting the mind. However, the manuscript lacks a clear scientific methodology and relies heavily on theoretical and speculative statements. Several claims, including disease healing and behavioral predictions, are not adequately supported by strong evidence. Ethical clearance is not applicable for this type of conceptual work, but a statement should still be included. The writing quality needs improvement due to grammatical errors and inconsistent flow. The manuscript would benefit from better organization and removal of unrelated or non scientific content. Overall, it is thought provoking but requires major revision to improve scientific rigor and clarity.