

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57398

Title: A Rare Case of Torsed Ovary within Left Inguinal Hernia in a Patient with Congenital Absence of Uterus

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

✓ Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study

- Focuses on a rare and clinically important presentation combining ovarian torsion with inguinal hernia
- Clear and well structured case description from presentation to management
- Good use of imaging findings on page 2 to support diagnosis
- Intraoperative photographs on page 2 add strong visual evidence
- Histopathological confirmation strengthens diagnostic accuracy
- Discussion highlights clinical relevance and importance of early diagnosis

Weakness of the study

- Single case report limits general applicability of findings
- Ethical clearance and patient consent not clearly stated
- Some grammatical and formatting errors present in text
- Discussion is relatively brief and lacks deeper comparison with literature
- No detailed follow up beyond early postoperative period
- References are limited and could be expanded with recent studies

Reviewers Comments

The manuscript presents a rare and clinically significant case of torsed ovary within an inguinal hernia in a patient with congenital absence of uterus. The case is clearly described with appropriate clinical details, imaging findings on page 2, and intraoperative images that support the diagnosis. Histopathological confirmation adds strength to the report. The discussion provides useful insight into the rarity of adnexal structures in hernia sacs and the need for early intervention. However, ethical clearance and patient consent are not clearly mentioned and should be included. The writing is understandable but requires improvement in grammar and sentence structure. The discussion could be expanded with more comparison to previously reported cases. Being a single case, the findings have limited generalizability, and follow up information is minimal. Overall, the manuscript is informative but requires refinement in reporting and presentation.