



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57355

Title: Anesthetic Challenge in a Patient with Compressive Cervical Chordoma A Case Report

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity	✓			
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study

- Focuses on a rare and clinically significant anesthetic challenge involving cervical chordoma
- Detailed case description with clear clinical history and imaging findings on page 2
- Strong emphasis on airway management strategy with awake fiberoptic intubation
- Well structured perioperative management summarized in table on page 4
- Good multidisciplinary approach and planning described
- Practical clinical relevance for anesthesiologists managing difficult airway cases

Weakness of the study

- Single case report limits general applicability of findings
- Ethical approval stated as none which needs clearer justification
- Some sections are lengthy and may be condensed
- Language is mostly good but minor grammatical issues are present
- Limited discussion comparing with similar reported cases
- No long term postoperative follow up included
- Figures are useful but could be described in more detail

Reviewers Comments

The manuscript presents a clinically important case of compressive cervical chordoma with significant anesthetic challenges related to airway management. The case is well described, and imaging findings on page 2 clearly demonstrate airway deviation and compression, which supports the chosen approach. The use of awake fiberoptic intubation with preservation of spontaneous ventilation is appropriate and well explained. The summary table on page 4 provides a clear overview of the anesthetic strategy, which adds practical value. Patient consent has been mentioned, which is appropriate, but ethical approval is stated as none and should be clarified. The writing is generally clear, though minor grammatical corrections are needed. Being a single case, the findings have limited generalizability, and the discussion could include more comparison with existing literature. Overall, the manuscript is informative and clinically relevant but would benefit from minor improvements in clarity and completeness.