



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57196

Title: EXPLORATION OF PRIMARY IMMUNODEFICIENCY: DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH AND CURRENT STATUS IN MAURITANIA.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revisionyes.....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality				✓
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper reviewed a practical diagnostic approach to PIDs and highlights the current situation in Mauritania based on local clinical experience. In this manuscript the Abstract is present but is not correct. Abstract should be written in a detailed format in which all things should be mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., But in this manuscript researcher has provided general introduction in abstract section which is totally wrong process. However, the keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title but the citations are not given. Also, the research gap is not given. The review of related literature is not given in this manuscript. Also, The Methodology is not given in a proper way and the research questions or objectives are not given. But, Results are not given properly and the discussion is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these major changes.

Reason for Major changes

Abstract is present but is not correct. Abstract should be written in a detailed format in which all things should be mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., But in this manuscript researcher has provided general introduction in abstract section which is totally wrong process. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title but the citations are not given. Also, the research gap is not given. The review of related literature is not given. Results are not given properly and the discussion is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these major changes.

Abstract

In this manuscript the Abstract is present but is not correct. Abstract should be written in a detailed format in which all things should be mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., But in this manuscript researcher has provided general introduction in abstract section which is totally wrong process. However, the keywords are given properly.

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title but the citations are not given. Also, the research gap is not given.

Review of related literature

In this manuscript the researcher has not mentioned the review of literature. It is essential for each and every research paper to have a review section in which important studies related to the title and objectives are mentioned.

Methodology

Methodology is not given in this manuscript. Also, the researcher has not mentioned the research questions or objectives properly in this manuscript.

Results

The result section is not given in a proper way in this manuscript. The findings are not given in detail with narrative/statistical proofs.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is not given properly and the researcher has not made comparisons of results with previous studies. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications have not been provided by the researcher by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and some important things have been mentioned properly but some are missing. Thus, this manuscript is accepted only after making these major changes mentioned above.