



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57195

Title: The Evolving Landscape of Multiple Myeloma

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strength of the study

- Covers a highly relevant and evolving topic in hematology
- Comprehensive overview of immunotherapy approaches in multiple myeloma
- Includes recent advances like CAR T cell therapy and bispecific antibodies
- Good use of updated references and recent studies
- Logical flow from pathogenesis to treatment and future directions
- Tables summarizing therapies and mechanisms improve clarity
- Discusses resistance mechanisms and emerging targets

Weakness of the study

- Not an original research study, mainly a narrative review
- No clear methodology for literature selection
- Some grammatical errors and spacing issues in text
- Certain sections are overly detailed and repetitive
- Tables are not well formatted and appear cluttered
- Limited critical analysis of cited studies
- Lack of clear conclusion section summarizing key points

Reviewers Comments

The manuscript provides a detailed overview of the evolving treatment landscape of multiple myeloma, with a strong focus on immunotherapy. The content is informative and well organized, covering important aspects such as CAR T cell therapy, bispecific antibodies, monoclonal antibodies, and emerging targets. The sections on resistance mechanisms and tumor microenvironment add depth to the discussion. However, as this is a review article, a clear methodology for literature selection is not described, which reduces transparency. Ethical clearance is not required for this type of study, but a statement mentioning this would improve completeness. The writing is generally understandable, though there are grammatical errors, spacing issues, and some long sentences that affect readability. The manuscript tends to be descriptive, with limited critical appraisal of the included studies. Tables included in early sections appear somewhat cluttered and need better formatting. Overall, the paper is informative and relevant, but requires improvement in language, structure, and clarity.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT