

1           **ASSESSING IMPACT OF UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING BASED**  
2           **INTERVENTIONS ON READING COMPETENCIES OF STUDENTS WITH**  
3           **INTELLECTUAL DISABILITIES.**

4  
5    ***Abstract***

6    *Reading difficulties continue to hinder academic participation and inclusive learning among*  
7    *students with intellectual disabilities, necessitating flexible and accessible instructional*  
8    *approaches. This review critically examines the effectiveness of Universal Design for*  
9    *Learning (UDL)- based interventions in enhancing reading competencies among learners*  
10   *with intellectual disabilities. Using a structured review and analytical synthesis of fifteen*  
11   *peer-reviewed studies published between 2010 and 2024, the impact of UDL-aligned*  
12   *strategies on decoding, fluency, vocabulary, and reading comprehension was analysed. The*  
13   *findings indicate significant improvements in comprehension and vocabulary with moderate*  
14   *gains in decoding and fluency, particularly for learners with mild to moderate intellectual*  
15   *disabilities. However, limited evidence for learners with severe disabilities highlights*  
16   *important directions for future research within the Indian educational context.*

17    ***Keywords: Universal Design for Learning, Intellectual Disability, Reading Competencies,***  
18    ***Inclusive Education, Literacy Intervention***

19    **Introduction**

20           Reading is a foundational academic skill that underpins learning across curricular  
21    areas, supports effective communication, and promotes independence and social  
22    participation. Literacy competence enables individuals to access information, engage in  
23    lifelong learning, and participate meaningfully in community and vocational contexts. For  
24    students with intellectual disabilities (ID), however, the acquisition of reading skills is often  
25    significantly constrained by limitations in cognitive processing, working memory, language  
26    development, attention, and the ability to generalise learned skills across contexts (Browder  
27    et al., 2008; Spooner et al., 2015). These challenges frequently result in delayed or limited  
28    progress in decoding, fluency, vocabulary development, and reading comprehension, thereby  
29    restricting academic achievement and functional independence.

30           Despite global and national commitments to inclusive education, including the United  
31    Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) and policy  
32    frameworks such as the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016 and the  
33    National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India, literacy instruction for learners with

34 intellectual disabilities continues to rely heavily on uniform, teacher-centred pedagogical  
35 approaches. Such approaches often fail to address learner variability in inclusive classrooms,  
36 where students differ widely in cognitive abilities, learning pace, motivation, language  
37 proficiency, and access needs (McLeskey et al., 2014; Gupta & Sharma, 2018). Consequently,  
38 students with ID are at heightened risk of academic exclusion, reduced engagement, and poor  
39 literacy outcomes.

40 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) offers a theoretically grounded and empirically  
41 supported framework for addressing these challenges. Rooted in cognitive neuroscience,  
42 UDL emphasises proactive curriculum design that anticipates learner diversity and minimises  
43 barriers to learning from the outset (Meyer, Rose, & Gordon, 2014). The framework is  
44 structured around three core principles: providing multiple means of representation to support  
45 diverse ways of perceiving and understanding information; multiple means of engagement to  
46 sustain motivation and interest; and multiple means of action and expression to allow learners  
47 varied ways of demonstrating understanding (Hall, Meyer, & Rose, 2012). By embedding  
48 flexibility into instructional design, UDL shifts the focus from remediating learner deficits to  
49 adapting instructional environments.

50 In the context of reading instruction, UDL principles translate into the use of  
51 multimodal texts, assistive and digital technologies, explicit scaffolding, structured supports,  
52 learner choice, and flexible assessment practices (Marino & Beecher, 2010; Coyne et al.,  
53 2012). For students with intellectual disabilities, these features are particularly beneficial as  
54 they reduce cognitive load, enhance access to content, and promote active engagement with  
55 text (Meredith, Lippman-Bell, & Dymond, 2019). Research indicates that technology-enabled  
56 supports such as text-to-speech, visual cues, interactive multimedia, and graphic organisers  
57 can significantly enhance comprehension and vocabulary development among learners with  
58 ID (Gupta & Bhardwaj, 2021; Kumar, 2021).

59 International studies have demonstrated positive effects of UDL-aligned reading  
60 interventions on literacy outcomes for students with mild to moderate intellectual disabilities,  
61 particularly in inclusive classroom settings (Spooner et al., 2015; Fitzgibbons, O'Connor, &  
62 Freeman, 2024). Peer-mediated reading strategies and personalised learning approaches  
63 embedded within UDL frameworks have also been shown to improve learner engagement,  
64 motivation, and oral reading fluency (Katz & Sugden, 2013; Malhotra & Aggarwal, 2020).  
65 However, the evidence base remains fragmented, with considerable variation in intervention  
66 duration, instructional strategies, outcome measures, and participant characteristics.

67           Within the Indian educational context, empirical research on UDL-based reading  
68 instruction for students with intellectual disabilities remains limited. Existing studies have  
69 primarily focused on classroom engagement, general academic achievement, or technology  
70 use, rather than systematically examining specific reading competencies such as decoding,  
71 fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension (Das & Gupta, 2017; Kaur & Sharma, 2019).  
72 Moreover, contextual factors such as large class sizes, limited access to assistive technology,  
73 teacher preparedness, and variability between urban and rural school settings further  
74 influence the implementation and effectiveness of UDL practices in India (Gupta & Sharma,  
75 2018).

76           Given these gaps, there is a pressing need for a systematic synthesis of empirical  
77 evidence examining the effectiveness of UDL-based instructional interventions in enhancing  
78 reading competencies among students with intellectual disabilities. Such a synthesis is  
79 essential to inform inclusive classroom practices, guide teacher education and professional  
80 development, and support policy-aligned implementation of inclusive literacy instruction. By  
81 critically reviewing national and international studies published between 2010 and 2024, the  
82 present study seeks to consolidate evidence on UDL-aligned reading interventions and  
83 identify practical implications for inclusive education in India.

84           In doing so, this study contributes to the growing body of literature advocating for  
85 flexible, learner-centred instructional frameworks that recognise diversity as a normative  
86 aspect of classrooms. Universal Design for Learning, when effectively implemented, holds  
87 significant potential to transform reading instruction for students with intellectual disabilities  
88 by promoting access, engagement, and meaningful participation in literacy learning.

### 89 **Objectives of the Study**

90 The present study was undertaken with the following objectives:

- 91       1. To evaluate the effectiveness of Universal Design for Learning (UDL)-based  
92       instructional interventions in enhancing the reading competencies—decoding,  
93       fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension—of students with intellectual disabilities.
- 94       2. To examine the relative impact of specific UDL-aligned instructional strategies,  
95       including digital and multimedia supports, graphic organizers, peer-mediated reading,  
96       and personalized learning approaches, on reading outcomes.

97 3. To systematically review and synthesize empirical evidence from national and  
98 international studies on UDL-based reading interventions published between 2010  
99 and 2024.

100 4. To identify practical implications of UDL-based reading interventions for inclusive  
101 classroom practices, teacher education and professional development, and directions  
102 for future research within the Indian educational context.

### 103 **Conceptual Framework: UDL and Reading Instruction**

104 UDL emphasises proactive instructional planning that accommodates learner diversity from  
105 the outset. In reading instruction, UDL principles are operationalised through multimodal text  
106 presentation, explicit scaffolding, motivational supports, and flexible assessment methods.  
107 Students with intellectual disabilities benefit from these approaches as they reduce cognitive  
108 load, support comprehension, and promote active engagement with text.

109 Reading competencies encompass decoding, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension. UDL-  
110 based reading instruction supports these components by embedding assistive features,  
111 structured supports, and learner choice within instructional materials, enabling students with  
112 intellectual disabilities to participate meaningfully in literacy activities.

### 113 **Review of Literature-**

114 Existing research on reading instruction for students with intellectual disabilities consistently  
115 documents substantial challenges in developing decoding, reading fluency, vocabulary, and  
116 comprehension skills. These difficulties stem from limitations in cognitive processing,  
117 working memory, language development, and generalisation abilities, which collectively  
118 hinder academic achievement and functional literacy (Browder et al., 2008; Katims, 2000;  
119 Spooner et al., 2015; Allor et al., 2014). As a result, students with intellectual disabilities  
120 often have restricted access to curricular content and reduced participation in academic and  
121 social contexts (McLeskey et al., 2014; Westling & Fox, 2009). Conventional literacy  
122 instruction, characterised by uniform teaching approaches, textbook-driven content, and  
123 limited differentiation, has been widely recognised as insufficient to address the diverse  
124 cognitive, linguistic, and motivational profiles of these learners, particularly in inclusive  
125 classroom environments (Friend & Bursuck, 2019; Gupta & Sharma, 2018).

126 Early intervention studies in the field predominantly employed skill-based and behaviourist  
127 instructional models, including direct instruction, task analysis, systematic prompting, and

128 repeated practice. These approaches yielded positive outcomes in basic decoding skills,  
129 letter–sound correspondence, and sight-word recognition (Browder et al., 2008; Ahlgrim-  
130 Delzell et al., 2011). However, their effectiveness in fostering higher-order reading  
131 processes—such as comprehension, inferencing, and transfer of skills across contexts—  
132 remained limited (Katims, 2000; Spooner et al., 2015). Such limitations highlighted the need  
133 for instructional frameworks that move beyond remediation and instead emphasise learner  
134 variability, accessibility, and meaningful engagement with text.

135 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) emerged as a theoretically robust and inclusive  
136 framework aimed at proactively reducing barriers to learning through flexible curriculum  
137 design. Grounded in cognitive neuroscience, UDL promotes the provision of multiple means  
138 of representation, engagement, and action/expression to accommodate diverse learning needs  
139 (Rose & Meyer, 2002; Meyer, Rose, & Gordon, 2014). Rather than adapting instruction  
140 retroactively, UDL encourages anticipatory planning that embeds accessibility within  
141 instructional materials and pedagogical practices. In literacy instruction, UDL has been  
142 shown to support diverse learners by integrating multimodal texts, assistive technologies,  
143 scaffolded supports, and flexible assessment strategies (Hall, Meyer, & Rose, 2012; Al-  
144 Azawei et al., 2016).

145 A growing body of international research has examined the impact of UDL-based reading  
146 interventions for students with intellectual disabilities. Marino and Beecher (2010) reported  
147 significant improvements in reading comprehension among learners with mild intellectual  
148 disabilities when UDL-aligned strategies—such as multimedia texts, visual supports, and  
149 explicit comprehension instruction—were employed. Similarly, Coyne et al. (2012)  
150 demonstrated that literacy instruction grounded in UDL principles enhanced access to grade-  
151 level content and resulted in notable gains in vocabulary and comprehension for students with  
152 significant cognitive disabilities. Spooner et al. (2015) further confirmed that UDL-based  
153 instruction positively influenced academic engagement and reading-related outcomes among  
154 learners with severe developmental disabilities.

155 Recent research has placed particular emphasis on technology-supported UDL interventions.  
156 Studies indicate that digital tools, including text-to-speech, interactive multimedia, graphic  
157 organisers, and visual prompts, reduce cognitive load and support comprehension and  
158 vocabulary acquisition among students with intellectual disabilities (Meredith, Lippman-Bell,  
159 & Dymond, 2019; Okolo & Bouck, 2007). Empirical evidence from Indian and international

160 contexts suggests that technology-enabled reading instruction enhances learner motivation,  
161 accessibility, and comprehension when aligned with UDL principles (Gupta & Bhardwaj,  
162 2021; Kumar, 2021; Dalton & Proctor, 2007).

163 Peer-mediated and collaborative learning strategies embedded within UDL frameworks have  
164 also shown promise in improving reading outcomes. Katz and Sugden (2013) reported that  
165 peer-assisted learning within UDL-based classrooms enhanced learner engagement, social  
166 interaction, and oral reading fluency. Similarly, Malhotra and Aggarwal (2020) found that  
167 UDL-aligned collaborative practices promoted self-determination and active participation  
168 among learners with intellectual disabilities. More recently, Fitzgibbons, O'Connor, and  
169 Freeman (2024) demonstrated that peer-mediated reading interventions in inclusive  
170 classrooms positively influenced engagement and fluency, although improvements in higher-  
171 order comprehension varied across learner profiles.

172 Within the Indian educational context, empirical research on UDL-based instruction remains  
173 limited but is gradually emerging. Gupta and Sharma (2018) documented improvements in  
174 classroom engagement, attention, and task persistence among students with mild intellectual  
175 disabilities following the implementation of UDL-aligned instructional practices. Kaur and  
176 Sharma (2019) similarly reported significant gains in overall academic achievement,  
177 including reading-related skills, when UDL principles were systematically integrated into  
178 classroom instruction. However, most Indian studies have focused on general academic  
179 outcomes, technology integration, or classroom engagement rather than conducting detailed  
180 analyses of specific reading competencies such as decoding, fluency, vocabulary, and  
181 comprehension (Das & Gupta, 2017; Malhotra & Aggarwal, 2020).

182 Despite these encouraging findings, the existing literature is constrained by several  
183 methodological limitations. Many studies employ small sample sizes, short intervention  
184 durations, and heterogeneous outcome measures, limiting the generalisability and  
185 comparability of findings (Spooner et al., 2015; Al-Azawei et al., 2016). Furthermore,  
186 learners with moderate-to-severe intellectual disabilities remain underrepresented in UDL-  
187 based reading research, and evidence regarding long-term sustainability, implementation  
188 fidelity, and classroom-wide application—particularly in low-resource and government  
189 school settings in India remains sparse (Gupta & Sharma, 2018; McLeskey et al., 2014).

190

191 **Methodology-**

192 **Research Design**

193 The study adopted a descriptive and analytical research design based on a structured review  
194 of empirical literature on UDL-based reading interventions for students with intellectual  
195 disabilities.

196 **Sources of Data**

197 Relevant studies were identified through electronic searches of ERIC, JSTOR, ScienceDirect,  
198 Google Scholar, and selected Indian education journals.

199 **Inclusion Criteria**

200 Studies were included

- 201 1. Explicitly reported the use of UDL principles in reading instruction;
- 202 2. Included participants identified with intellectual disabilities;
- 203 3. Reported measurable outcomes related to reading competencies;
- 204 4. Were published between 2010 and 2024 in peer-reviewed journals.

205 **Sample of Studies**

206 Following screening and eligibility checks, fifteen empirical studies were selected for  
207 detailed analysis. These studies included experimental, quasi-experimental, and intervention-  
208 based designs.

209 **Data Analysis**

210 The selected studies were analysed in terms of participant characteristics, instructional  
211 strategies, alignment with UDL principles, and reported reading outcomes. Findings were  
212 synthesised thematically and organised according to major UDL-based instructional  
213 approaches.

214 **Results and Findings**

215 **Objective 1.:-To evaluate the effectiveness of Universal Design for Learning (UDL)-**  
216 **based instructional interventions in enhancing the reading competencies decoding,**  
217 **fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension of students with intellectual disabilities.**

218

219 With respect to evaluating the effectiveness of UDL-based instructional interventions in  
220 improving reading competencies—decoding, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension—most  
221 reviewed studies reported significant improvements in reading comprehension and  
222 vocabulary development, particularly among students with mild to moderate intellectual  
223 disabilities. Gains in decoding and reading fluency were observed across several studies,  
224 although these improvements were generally moderate and less consistent compared to  
225 comprehension outcomes.

226 **Objective 2:-To examine the relative impact of specific UDL-aligned instructional**  
227 **strategies, including digital and multimedia supports, graphic organizers, peer-**  
228 **mediated reading, and personalized learning approaches, on reading outcomes.**

229 Digital and Multimedia-Based Reading Interventions

230 In relation to examining the impact of specific UDL-aligned strategies, digital and  
231 multimedia-based reading interventions emerged as the most frequently reported and  
232 effective approach. Studies consistently indicated that features such as text-to-speech, visual  
233 cues, animations, and interactive digital activities enhanced reading comprehension and  
234 vocabulary development. These tools reduced cognitive load, supported sustained attention,  
235 and enabled learners to access text through multiple sensory modalities, aligning closely with  
236 UDL principles of representation and engagement.

237 Graphic Organisers and Scaffolded Instruction

238 Studies employing graphic organisers, story maps, and scaffolded questioning strategies  
239 demonstrated significant improvements in reading comprehension. These approaches helped  
240 learners identify key ideas, understand text structure, and organise information meaningfully.  
241 Graphic organisers were particularly effective in supporting inferential comprehension and  
242 recall, highlighting their role in strengthening higher-order reading processes for students  
243 with intellectual disabilities.

244 Peer-Mediated Reading Strategies

245 Peer-mediated and peer-assisted reading strategies were found to positively influence learner  
246 engagement, oral reading fluency, and classroom participation. While gains in higher-order  
247 comprehension were variable, these strategies consistently enhanced motivation, social  
248 interaction, and sustained attention, thereby supporting inclusive classroom participation. The  
249 findings suggest that peer-mediated approaches are particularly valuable for promoting  
250 engagement and fluency within UDL-based instructional environments.

251 Personalised Learning and Learner Choice

252 UDL-aligned practices that incorporated personalised learning elements—such as learner  
253 choice in reading materials, assistive tools, and response formats—were associated with  
254 increased motivation, task persistence, and learner autonomy. Although direct effects on  
255 decoding accuracy and fluency were limited, these practices contributed significantly to  
256 engagement and self-regulation, reinforcing the importance of motivational supports within  
257 UDL frameworks.

258 **Objective 3:- To systematically review and synthesize empirical evidence from national**  
259 **and international studies on UDL-based reading interventions published between 2010**  
260 **and 2024.**

261 The systematic synthesis of fifteen empirical studies published between 2010 and 2024  
262 revealed that UDL-based reading interventions are most effective when multiple UDL  
263 principles are implemented concurrently, rather than in isolation. Interventions combining  
264 digital supports, scaffolded instruction, and flexible response options demonstrated stronger  
265 and more sustained reading outcomes compared to single-strategy approaches. However,  
266 variations in study design, intervention duration, sample size, and outcome measures limited  
267 direct comparability across studies.

268 **Objective 4:- To identify practical implications of UDL-based reading interventions for**  
269 **inclusive classroom practices, teacher education and professional development, and**  
270 **directions for future research within the Indian educational context.**

271 The systematic synthesis of fifteen empirical studies published between 2010 and 2024  
272 revealed that UDL-based reading interventions are most effective when multiple UDL  
273 principles are implemented concurrently, rather than in isolation. Interventions combining  
274 digital supports, scaffolded instruction, and flexible response options demonstrated stronger  
275 and more sustained reading outcomes compared to single-strategy approaches. However,  
276 variations in study design, intervention duration, sample size, and outcome measures limited  
277 direct comparability across studies.

## 278 **Discussion**

279 The present review demonstrates that UDL-based reading interventions are effective in  
280 enhancing reading competencies among students with intellectual disabilities (ID),  
281 particularly those with mild ID. Interventions incorporating technology-supported strategies,  
282 multimedia resources, scaffolded instruction, graphic organisers, peer-mediated reading, and

283 personalised learning consistently showed improvements in reading comprehension and  
284 vocabulary, with moderate gains in decoding and fluency. These findings reinforce the  
285 theoretical premise of UDL, which emphasises multiple means of representation,  
286 engagement, and action/expression to accommodate learner variability and reduce barriers to  
287 learning.

288 Indian studies further highlight the influence of contextual factors, including resource  
289 availability, classroom environment, teacher preparedness, and administrative support, on the  
290 effectiveness of UDL interventions (Das & Gupta, 2017; Gupta & Sharma, 2018; Kaur &  
291 Sharma, 2019). Technology-supported strategies were particularly effective due to their  
292 flexibility, adaptability, and ability to present content in multiple formats, enabling learners to  
293 access, process, and engage with text more meaningfully. Peer-mediated and personalised  
294 approaches enhanced learner motivation and engagement, supporting active participation and  
295 fostering autonomy.

296 Despite these positive outcomes, several limitations constrain the generalisability of findings.  
297 The predominance of short-term studies with small sample sizes, coupled with the limited  
298 inclusion of learners with moderate-to-severe ID, restricts the broader applicability of results.  
299 Additionally, implementation fidelity, integration with curricula, and sustainability of  
300 interventions in low-resource and government school settings remain underexplored. These  
301 gaps underscore the need for longitudinal, school-based research that evaluates sustained  
302 impact across diverse educational contexts in India.

303 Overall, the evidence suggests that UDL provides a practical and flexible framework for  
304 designing inclusive reading instruction that can accommodate diverse learner needs, enhance  
305 comprehension, and foster engagement. The success of UDL interventions is closely linked to  
306 teacher competence, availability of instructional resources, and systemic support within  
307 schools, highlighting the importance of a holistic approach to implementation.

308

### 309 **Educational Implications**

- 310 • Teachers should integrate **UDL-based instructional practices** as a core component  
311 of reading instruction for students with ID.
- 312 • **Multimedia supports, graphic organisers, and scaffolded instruction** can improve  
313 literacy access, comprehension, and information organisation.

- 314 • **Flexible assessment methods** allow learners to demonstrate understanding through  
315 oral, visual, and project-based formats, beyond traditional written tasks.
- 316 • Schools should encourage **collaboration between general and special educators** to  
317 enhance inclusive planning and instruction.
- 318 • **Teacher education programs** should emphasise practical application of UDL  
319 principles, including the use of digital tools, scaffolds, and personalised learning  
320 strategies.
- 321 • Institutional support, including access to resources and ongoing professional  
322 development, is essential for sustainable implementation.

### 323 **Suggestions for Further Research**

- 324 • Conduct **longitudinal studies** to examine the sustained impact of UDL-based reading  
325 interventions.
- 326 • Include learners with **moderate-to-severe intellectual disabilities** to broaden  
327 evidence applicability.
- 328 • Investigate UDL implementation in **low-resource, rural, and government school**  
329 **contexts**.
- 330 • Explore **teacher preparedness, fidelity of implementation, and systemic barriers**  
331 affecting UDL adoption.
- 332 • Undertake **empirical classroom-based studies across diverse Indian contexts** to  
333 strengthen the evidence base and inform scalable inclusive practices.

### 334 **Conclusion**

335 Universal Design for Learning (UDL) provides a comprehensive, flexible, and evidence-  
336 based framework for enhancing reading competencies among students with intellectual  
337 disabilities. This review demonstrates that UDL-aligned interventions—particularly those  
338 integrating technology, multimedia supports, scaffolded instruction, graphic organisers, peer-  
339 mediated strategies, and personalised learning—significantly improve reading comprehension  
340 and vocabulary, with moderate gains in decoding and fluency. The effectiveness of these  
341 interventions is closely tied to teacher preparedness, instructional resources, classroom  
342 environment, and institutional support.

343 In the Indian educational context, adopting UDL has far-reaching implications for inclusive  
344 literacy education. It can facilitate meaningful participation of learners with ID in mainstream  
345 classrooms, promote autonomy, reduce barriers created by uniform pedagogical approaches,  
346 and foster equitable access to learning. Furthermore, UDL provides a structured approach for  
347 integrating assistive technology, differentiated instruction, and flexible assessment,  
348 collectively enhancing both engagement and learning outcomes.

349 Nonetheless, the current evidence base is limited by short-term studies, small sample sizes,  
350 and underrepresentation of learners with moderate-to-severe ID. There is an urgent need for  
351 rigorous, longitudinal, and contextually grounded research that evaluates the sustained impact  
352 of UDL interventions across diverse learner populations and school settings. Future studies  
353 should also examine implementation fidelity, teacher professional development, and  
354 alignment with local curricula and policy frameworks, including the RPwD Act 2016 and the  
355 National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.

356 Integrating UDL into classroom practice not only enhances literacy outcomes but also  
357 strengthens inclusive education by addressing learner variability, fostering equitable access,  
358 and empowering students with intellectual disabilities to achieve their full academic potential.  
359 By translating research evidence into practical instructional strategies, Indian schools can  
360 advance inclusive literacy education and support meaningful, lifelong learning for all  
361 students.

## 362 **References**

363 Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2016). Universal Design for Learning (UDL):  
364 A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the*  
365 *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 16(3), 39–56.  
366 <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v16i3.19295>

367 Browder, D. M., Wakeman, S. Y., Spooner, F., Ahlgrim-Delzell, L., & Algozzine, B. (2008).  
368 Research on reading instruction for individuals with significant cognitive disabilities.  
369 *Exceptional Children*, 74(4), 392–415. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290807400401>

370 Coyne, P., Pisha, B., Dalton, B., Zeph, L. A., & Smith, N. C. (2012). Literacy by design: A  
371 Universal Design for Learning approach for students with significant intellectual disabilities.  
372 *Remedial and Special Education*, 33(3), 162–172.  
373 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932510381651>

374 Das, S., & Gupta, R. (2017). Reading comprehension among children with mild intellectual  
375 disability in urban and rural settings. *Indian Journal of Special Education*, 32(2), 45–52.

376 Fitzgibbons, C., O'Connor, E., & Freeman, S. (2024). Peer-mediated reading interventions  
377 for students with intellectual disabilities in inclusive classrooms. *International Journal of*  
378 *Inclusive Education*, 28(1), 89–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2023.2187746>

379 Gupta, A., & Bhardwaj, S. (2021). Technology-enabled instruction and academic  
380 achievement of students with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Disability Studies*, 7(1), 23–  
381 34.

382 Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2018). Impact of Universal Design for Learning on classroom  
383 engagement of students with mild intellectual disability. *Indian Journal of Inclusive*  
384 *Education*, 6(2), 61–70.

385 Hall, T. E., Meyer, A., & Rose, D. H. (2012). *Universal Design for Learning in the*  
386 *classroom: Practical applications*. Guilford Press.

387 Katz, J., & Sugden, R. (2013). The three-block model of Universal Design for Learning:  
388 Engaging students in inclusive education. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 36(1), 153–194.

389 Kaur, S., & Sharma, R. (2019). Effectiveness of UDL-based instruction on academic  
390 achievement of children with intellectual disability. *Indian Journal of Teacher Education*,  
391 8(1), 34–42.

392 Kumar, S. (2021). Effect of technology-based reading instruction on comprehension skills of  
393 students with mild intellectual disability. *International Journal of Special Education*, 36(2),  
394 112–121.

395 Malhotra, N., & Aggarwal, P. (2020). Universal Design for Learning and self-determination  
396 among learners with intellectual disability. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*,  
397 10(1), 75–85. <https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2020.10.1.06>

398 Marino, M. T., & Beecher, C. C. (2010). Enhancing reading comprehension through  
399 Universal Design for Learning. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 42(6), 64–72.  
400 <https://doi.org/10.1177/004005991004200607>

401 McLeskey, J., Waldron, N. L., Spooner, F., & Algozzine, B. (2014). *Handbook of effective*  
402 *inclusive schools: Research and practice*. Routledge.

403 Meredith, S., Lippman-Bell, J., & Dymond, S. (2019). Using technology to support literacy  
404 instruction for students with intellectual disability. *Journal of Special Education Technology*,  
405 34(3), 150–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643419836415>

406 Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). *Universal Design for Learning: Theory and*  
407 *practice*. CAST Professional Publishing.

408 Spooner, F., Baker, J. N., Harris, A. A., Ahlgrim-Dezell, L., & Browder, D. M. (2015).  
409 Effects of UDL-based instruction on the academic outcomes of students with severe  
410 developmental disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 49(4), 225–238.  
411 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466915622025>

412

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAP