



### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57156

Title: Depression chez l'adolescent avec trouble du spectre de l'autisme avec vignettes cliniques pratiques du service de pédopsychiatrie du CHU Mohamed VI de Marrakech Maroc

#### Recommendation:

- Accept as it is .....
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision .....
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

#### Detailed Reviewer's Report

##### Strength of the study

- Focuses on an important and underdiagnosed clinical issue
- Use of clinical vignettes makes the paper practical and relatable
- Good explanation of diagnostic challenges in autism spectrum disorder
- Covers biological, psychological, and environmental factors
- Provides useful insights for clinical practice
- Includes relevant literature references
- Highlights importance of multidisciplinary management

##### Weakness of the study

- Not a structured research study, more like descriptive clinical discussion
- No clear methodology or study design mentioned
- Absence of ethical clearance and consent details
- Language and grammar errors present throughout
- Some sentences are long and difficult to follow
- Repetition of ideas in discussion section
- Limited quantitative or analytical data
- Conclusion is not well structured

##### Reviewers Comments

The manuscript discusses an important and often overlooked issue of depression in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder, using clinical vignettes to illustrate diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. The cases described on pages 1 and 2 provide practical insight into real clinical scenarios and help in understanding the variability of presentation. However, the manuscript lacks a clear research structure, as there is no defined methodology or study design. Ethical clearance and patient consent are not mentioned, which is important even for case based discussions and should be clearly stated. The writing quality needs improvement, as there are several grammatical errors, long sentences, and inconsistencies that affect readability. The discussion is detailed but somewhat repetitive and could be made more concise. While the paper provides useful clinical observations, it remains largely descriptive without analytical depth. Overall, the manuscript is relevant but requires improvement in structure, language, and scientific presentation before publication.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT