



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

**Manuscript No.: IJAR-57084**

**Title: Review of the challenges facing the seed sector in West Africa**

**Recommendation:**

Accept as it is .....

Accept after minor revision.....

**Accept after major revision .....**

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

| Rating         | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality    |        | x    |      |      |
| Techn. Quality |        |      | x    |      |
| Clarity        |        |      | x    |      |
| Significance   |        | x    |      |      |

**Reviewer's ID: JPR-171**

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

This manuscript presents a timely and relevant analysis of seed sector challenges in West Africa, focusing on four countries (Benin, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo). The study employs a mixed-method approach combining literature review, semi-structured interviews, and SWOT analysis, drawing on TASAI indicators to frame the investigation. While the topic is significant and the authors have assembled valuable information, several substantive issues require attention before publication can be recommended.

The methodology section lacks clarity regarding the number of interview participants, selection criteria, and analytical procedures used for qualitative data. The claim of conducting SWOT analysis is mentioned but no systematic presentation of SWOT findings appears in the results. Additionally, the manuscript relies heavily on the 2023 TASAI report as a primary data source, yet the unique contribution of the authors' primary interview data remains unclear. The results section predominantly presents literature review findings rather than novel empirical insights from the four target countries.

Language and grammatical errors are pervasive throughout, including subject-verb agreement issues, awkward phrasing, and typographical errors (e.g., "farrermanaged" on page 6, "Djamene" versus "Djamen"). The figures referenced (radar charts 1-5) are not included in the provided PDF, making interpretation impossible. The conclusion would benefit from explicit recommendations for policy and practice rather than merely summarizing findings. A thorough revision addressing these methodological, presentational, and linguistic concerns is necessary.