



### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-57075

**Title:**The Seal of the Prophets' dealings with non-Muslims: A study of its objectives and testimonies from European scholars.

**Recommendation:**

Accept as it is .....

Accept after minor revision.....YES.....

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

### Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper presents a concise overview of the Prophet's (peace be upon him) interactions with non-Muslims in most aspects of human life. It examines these interactions from the perspective of the objectives of Islamic law (maqasid al-shari'ah), supported by testimonies from non-Muslim Westerners. In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., Also, the keywords are given properly. But the writing style in abstract is not correct. Abstract must be written in only one paragraph. Here the researcher has used four paragraphs. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively. Also the review of related literature is not given. The review should be added. The Methodology is given in a proper way in which research questions or objectives are given properly. However, Results are also not given properly and the discussion is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these minor changes.

#### Reason for Minor changes

In this manuscript the abstract has been written in four paragraphs, it should be in only one paragraph. Also the review of related literature is not given. The review should be added. Results are also not given properly and the discussion section is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these minor changes.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### Abstract

In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. has been mentioned properly. Also, the keywords are given properly but the writing style in abstract is not correct. The abstract must be in only one paragraph.

### Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively with proper citations.

### Review of related literature

In this manuscript the researcher has not mentioned the review of literature. It is essential for each and every research paper to have a section in which important studies related to the title and objectives are mentioned.

### Methodology

Methodology is given in a proper way in this manuscript. Also, the researcher has mentioned the research questions or objectives properly in this manuscript such as 1- Refuting the campaigns that distort the image of Islam in the Prophet's (peace be upon him) dealings with those who differed with him, especially in Western societies where people are increasingly embracing Islam. 2- Demonstrating that Islam is a religion that calls for cooperation and building bridges of connection with those who differ with it in all aspects of human life, without compromising the fundamental principles of the faith. 3- Clarifying the role of the objectives of Islamic law (maqasid al-shari'ah) in establishing the foundations for dealing with others.

### Results

The result section is not given in a proper way in this manuscript. The findings are not given in detail with narrative proofs.

### Discussion

The discussion section in this study is not given properly and the researcher has not made comparisons of results with previous studies. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications have not been provided by the researcher by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective.

### Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and some important things have been mentioned properly but some are missing. Thus, this manuscript is accepted only after making these minor changes mentioned above.