

# 1 Formulation and Evaluation of Taste-Masked Pregabalin Orodispersible Tablets Using 2 Eudragit E100 by Wet Granulation Technique

3  
4 **M VARUNYAA SRI**

## 5 6 **Abstract**

7 Pregabalin is one of the most commonly prescribed anti-seizure and first-line therapeutic agents  
8 used in the management of neuropathic pain, fibromyalgia, and partial seizures. Despite its  
9 clinical efficacy, the intensely bitter taste of pregabalin poses a significant challenge to patient  
10 compliance; this problem is particularly pertinent to pediatric, geriatric, and dysphagic  
11 populations who have difficulty swallowing conventional solid oral dosage forms.  
12 Orodispersible tablets offer a very promising patient-centric solution owing to their rapid  
13 disintegration in the oral cavity without the need for water; however, successful development of  
14 pregabalin ODTs requires effective taste masking without compromising rapid drug release.  
15 This study was aimed to formulate and evaluate taste-masked pregabalin orodispersible tablets  
16 using Eudragit E100 as a pH-dependent taste-masking polymer through a wet granulation  
17 method. A total of eight formulations (F1–F8) were formulated by incorporating different levels  
18 of Eudragit E100 (6.25–25% w/w) and Mannitol-based excipients such as Pearlitol SD 200 and  
19 Pearlitol 160C. Croscarmellose sodium was added both intra- and extragranularly for rapid tablet  
20 disintegration properties. The proposed formulations were assessed for pre-compression tests,  
21 post-compression properties, in vitro disintegration tests, dissolution properties, content  
22 uniformity, and taste masking efficiency by using a trained panel test with a volunteer subject.  
23 Among all formulations, F8 containing 25% w/w Eudragit E100 and Pearlitol 160C showed  
24 optimum performance. This formulation exhibited excellent powder flow properties with an  
25 angle of repose of 21.5°, adequate mechanical strength characterized by a hardness of 4.7 Kp and  
26 friability of 0.47%, rapid disintegration within the range of 20–30 seconds, and perfect bitterness  
27 masking characterized by a 9–10 score on the 10-point scale. In vitro dissolution experiments  
28 revealed a rapid and complete drug release with approximately 95% release in 5 minutes and  
29 ~100% release in 20 minutes in 0.06 N HCl. Drug content studies showed 99.8% of labeled  
30 claim, which confirms the uniform distribution of drugs.

31  
32 The study concludes that Eudragit E100-based wet granulation is an effective and scalable  
33 approach for developing taste-masked pregabalin orodispersible tablets with rapid disintegration  
34 and dissolution characteristics. The optimized formulation offers a patient-friendly alternative to  
35 conventional dosage forms and has the potential to significantly enhance medication adherence  
36 in vulnerable patient populations.

## 37 38 **Keywords**

39 Pregabalin; Orodispersible Tablets; Taste Masking; Eudragit E100; Wet Granulation;  
40 Superdisintegrants; Patient Compliance

## 41 1. Introduction

42 The oral route of drug administration is the most favored route of drug administration because of  
43 the ease of administration, acceptability, and cost-effectiveness of the process. However, the  
44 traditional oral routes of drug administration of tablets and capsules face a major challenge for  
45 certain target populations of patients, for example, pediatric, geriatric, psychiatric, and dysphagic  
46 patients, due to the fact that these patients have difficulty swallowing oral drugs in the form of  
47 tablets and capsules, leading ultimately to the possibility of the drug being discontinued.

48 Orodispersible tablets, or ODTs, have emerged as an important patient-friendly formulation,  
49 which aims to overcome the problem of swallowing difficulties presented by conventional tablet  
50 formulations. ODTs are designed to disintegrate or dissolve within seconds in the oral cavity  
51 without water intake, forming a liquid or slurry suspension that can be readily swallowed.  
52 According to the European Pharmacopoeia, ODTs are classified as "tablets which dis-  
53 integrate within three minutes when placed in the mouth," while more modern formulations seek a  
54 disintegration of time below 30 seconds. It should be noted that the rapid and quick action and  
55 benefit of ODTs, in terms of no water requirement, make them especially advantageous for  
56 pediatric, geriatric, and for neu-  
57 rological, nausea and vomiting, and water-unavailable groups.

57 Despite their advantages, there are formulation challenges in the preparation of ODTs. These  
58 include providing suitable mechanical strength, rapid disintegration, pleasant mouthfeel, and  
59 appropriate taste masking. Taste masking becomes more important if the drug has an unpleasant  
60 taste, such as bitterness, since contact between the drug and the taste buds during rapid  
61 disintegration may cause rejection.

62 Pregabalin, a structural analogue of  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), is widely prescribed for the  
63 management of neuropathic pain associated with diabetic neuropathy and post-herpetic  
64 neuralgia, as well as for adjunctive therapy in partial seizures and treatment of fibromyalgia.  
65 Pregabalin acts by binding to the  $\alpha 2$ - $\delta$  subunit of voltage-gated calcium channels, thereby  
66 reducing the release of excitatory neurotransmitters. Although pregabalin exhibits favorable  
67 pharmacokinetic properties such as high oral bioavailability and limited metabolism, its intensely  
68 bitter taste poses a major formulation challenge, particularly for rapidly disintegrating oral  
69 dosage forms.

70 Taste perception begins when drug molecules dissolve in saliva and interact with taste receptors  
71 on the tongue. Therefore, the development of pregabalin ODTs necessitates an effective taste-  
72 masking strategy that prevents drug dissolution in the oral cavity while allowing rapid release in  
73 the gastrointestinal tract. Numerous taste-masking approaches have been explored, including  
74 coating with polymers, complexation with cyclodextrins, ion-exchange resins,  
75 microencapsulation, and prodrug formation. Among these, polymer-based coating using pH-  
76 dependent polymers provides a feasible and scalable method that is suitable for industrial  
77 manufacturing.

78 Eudragit E100, a cationic methacrylate copolymer, shows pH-dependent solubility, remains  
79 insoluble at salivary pH ( $\geq 5.5$ ) while dissolves rapidly under acidic gastric conditions (pH  $< 5$ ).  
80 This property makes Eudragit E100 an ideal candidate for taste masking of bitter drugs intended  
81 for immediate-release formulations. By forming a protective barrier around drug particles, the

82 polymer prevents drug release in the oral cavity while ensuring complete and rapid release upon  
83 reaching the stomach.

84 The present study aimed at the formulation and evaluation of taste-masked pregabalin  
85 orodispersible tablets using Eudragit E100 as the primary taste-masking polymer via a wet  
86 granulation technique. The influence of polymer concentration and filler selection on powder  
87 flow properties, tablet mechanical strength, disintegration behavior, dissolution performance, and  
88 sensory acceptability was systematically investigated to identify an optimized formulation  
89 suitable for patient-acceptable drug delivery.

## 90 **2. Literature Review**

### 91 **2.1 Orodispersible Tablets: Concept and Pharmaceutical Significance**

92 During the past two decades, orodispersible tablets have gained prominence due to their ability  
93 to increase patient compliance and treatment efficacy. The easy disintegration of these tablets in  
94 the mouth enables easy swallowing and prevents choking; a problem often encountered with  
95 traditional tablets. However, with recent advancements in excipients and processing methods, it  
96 has been possible to formulate ODTs with acceptable mechanical strength and disintegration  
97 time simultaneously.

98  
99 There have been a number of formulation methods reported for making orodispersible tablets,  
100 which include direct compression, wet granulation, freeze drying, spray drying, or molding. It  
101 has been noted, however, that wet granulation has continued to be a technique of choice in a  
102 number of formulations. This helps in improving powder flow as well as content uniformity. It  
103 also helps in improving compressibility, which is beneficial, especially when dealing with low  
104 doses.

### 106 **2.2 Taste Masking: Need and Challenges**

107 Taste masking is a very important quality aspect in orally administered formulations, especially  
108 those designed to disintegrate in the mouth. This is because taste-related problems are among the  
109 most frequent causes of incompatibility with the drug regime, especially among the pediatric and  
110 geriatric populations. The problem associated with taste masking is the prevention of the release  
111 of the drug in the mouth, without inhibiting the release in the gastrointestinal tract.

112  
113 There have been various methods explored in the maskings of taste. These include the use of  
114 sweetening and flavoring agents. These methods can effectively mask the bitter taste. However,  
115 in highly bitter drugs such as pregabalin, sweetening and flavoring may not work as effectively.

### 117 **2.3 Polymer-Based Taste Masking and Role of Eudragit E100**

118 Polymer coatings are generally considered one of the most suitable methods for taste masking.  
119 Among several pharmaceutical excipients, Eudragit polymers are renowned for their extensive

120 study in respect of controlled release and taste masking properties. Eudragit E100 was suitable  
121 for the purpose of taste masking, probably due to its pH-dependent solubility characteristics.

122  
123 Various studies have demonstrated that the ability of Eudragit E100 to mask bitter flavors was  
124 applied for drugs such as levocetirizine, clarithromycin, and famotidine. Eudragit E100 enhances  
125 the encapsulation drug particles. The presence of saliva fails to dissolve the drug. Whenever the  
126 drugs coated with Eudragit E100 interact with saliva in the oral cavity with an acidic  
127 environment, the Eudragit E100 dissolves rapidly.

## 128 **2.4 Pregabalin Formulation Challenges and Opportunities**

129 The high aqueous solubility of pregabalin enables rapid dissolution for quick bioavailability; on  
130 the other hand, the same characteristic increases the bitterness of the drug in the presence of  
131 saliva. There has been little research conducted on the preparation of pregabalin ODTs to mask  
132 the taste. This is the motivation for conducting studies on the preparation of pregabalin ODTs.

## 133 **2.5 Rationale of the Present Study**

134 Being closely related to its clinical applications and the ongoing requirement to develop patient-  
135 acceptable dosage forms, the purpose of this study was to develop a taste-masked orodispersible  
136 tablet formulation using the excipient Eudragit E100. The process involved the use of the wet  
137 granulation method, with the primary variables optimized to facilitate fast tablet disintegration  
138 and immediate release of the drug with a high degree of taste masking.

139

## 140 **3. Materials and Methods**

### 141 **3.1 Materials**

142 Pregabalin, as the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API), was received as a gift sample from  
143 Divi's Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India. The substance meets pharmacopeial standards and was not  
144 further purified. Eudragit E100, a cationic methacrylate copolymer and taste masking agent, was  
145 received from Evonik Industries, Germany. Pearlitol SD 200 and Pearl 160C, mannitol-based  
146 fillers, were supplied by Roquette Frères, France. These excipients are chosen because they are  
147 highly water-soluble, have a pleasant taste, and can be effectively utilized in the formulation of  
148 ODTs.

149  
150 Croscarmellose sodium (Ac-Di-Sol), functioning as the superdisintegrant, was procured from  
151 Givaudan, Switzerland. Sucralose was used as the intense sweetener, while menthol crystals  
152 were used as the cooling agent, thereby assisting in the sensory stimulation. Colloidal silicon  
153 dioxide (Aerosil 200 Pharma) was used as the glidant, while magnesium stearate was used as the  
154 lubricant. Various pharmaceutical-grade flavoring agents such as banana, peppermint,  
155 strawberry, orange, and tutti-frutti were procured from commercial sources. Ethanol (analytical  
156 grade) and purified water were used as the solvent in the process of granulation. All the  
157 chemicals and reagents used in this experiment were of pharmaceutical and analytical grades.

158 **3.2 Preformulation Studies**

159 Preformulation studies were conducted to identify and examine the physicochemical properties  
160 of pregabalin and its suitability for formulation into ODT tablets. The substance pregabalin is  
161 known to be highly soluble in water and bitter in taste; it is considered to be a difficult substance  
162 to formulate into ODT forms. The substance is highly stable and resists decomposition during  
163 processing.

164  
165 The compatibility of pregabalin with some excipients was evaluated on the basis of the data  
166 available in the literature, as well as the previous formulation experience regarding the  
167 compatibility of the methacrylate polymer carriers and the mannitol fillers. There were no  
168 chemical incompatibility considerations in the interaction between Eudragit E-100 and  
169 pregabalin because the process is physical.  
170

171 **3.3 Formulation Design**

172 A total of eight formulations (F1-F8) were created containing 25 mg of pregabalin active  
173 ingredient in an orodispersible tablet. The first six formulations were based on a total tablet  
174 weight of 100 mg and looked at how the concentration of Eudragit E100 would affect how bitter  
175 the taste of each formulation was by increasing the amount from six point two five percent to  
176 thirty percent of the weight. Pearlitol SD 200 was chosen as the primary filler for the first six  
177 formulations. Based on taste test data from the first six formulations indicating a lack of success  
178 in masking the bitterness of the drug, the formulation strategies for F7 and F8 were altered to  
179 produce a more favourable outcome. The total tablet weight for F7 and F8 increased to 300 mg;  
180 Pearlitol 160C became the main filler in the two new formulations due to its improved powder  
181 flow characteristics. In addition to using Pearlitol 160C as the filler, Eudragit E100 was used at  
182 higher concentrations (13.89% in F7 and 25% in F8) to enhance the efficiency of the bitterness-  
183 masking capabilities of the formulations. To ensure rapid disintegration of all formulations,  
184 croscarmellose sodium was included both within each formula's intra-granular and extra-granular  
185 portions.

186 **Table 1: Composition of Pregabalin Orodispersible Tablet Formulations (mg/tablet)**

S. No	Ingredients	Function	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8
<b>INTRAGRANULAR PORTION</b>										

1	Pregabalin	API	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
2	Eudragit E100	Taste-masking polymer	6.25	12.50	18.75	25.00	28.75	30.00	41.66	75.00	75.00
3	Pearlitol SD 200	Filler/Bulking agent	57.25	51.00	44.75	38.50	34.75	33.50	-	-	-
4	Pearlitol 160C	Filler/Bulking agent	-	-	-	-	-	-	199.59	161.25	161.25
5	CCS (Ac-Di-Sol)	Superdisintegrant	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	10.00	10.00	10.00
<b>EXTRAGRANULAR PORTION</b>											
6	CCS (Ac-Di-Sol)	Superdisintegrant	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	5.00	10.00	10.00
7	Menthol Crystal	Flavor/Cooling agent	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	2.25	2.25	2.25
8	Sucralose	Sweetener	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	6.00	6.00	6.00
9	Aerosil 200 Pharma	Glidant	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
10	Magnesium Stearate	Lubricant	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	4.50	4.50	4.50

11	Peppermint Flavour	Flavor	-	-	1.50	-	-	-	-	-
12	Banana Flavour	Flavor	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.00	3.00
13	Strawberry Flavour	Flavor	1.50	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Orange Flavour	Flavor	-	-	-	-	1.50	1.50	-	-
15	Tutti Frutti Flavour	Flavor	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	-	-
16	Water	Solvent	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S
17	Ethanol	Solvent	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S	Q.S
<b>Total Weight (mg)</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>300.00</b>	<b>300.00</b>		

187 *CCS: Croscarmellose Sodium; Q.S: Quantity Sufficient*

### 188 **3.4 Method of Preparation**

189 The orodispersible tablets of pregabalin were prepared by using a wet granulation method,  
 190 chosen for its effectiveness in enhancing content uniformity, powder flow, and compressibility,  
 191 especially for formulations with low dosages.

#### 192 **3.4.1 Preparation of Taste-Masked Granulating Solution**

193 Pregabalin was entirely dissolved in purified water while being continuously stirred magnetically  
 194 to create a clear solution of the drug. Eudragit E100 was dissolved in ethanol in another

195 container and stirred for around one hour to guarantee full polymer dissolution. The two  
196 solutions were subsequently mixed gradually while being continuously stirred to create a  
197 consistent taste-masked granulating (TMG) solution. This solution acted as the binder and  
198 flavor-masking agent throughout granulation  
199

### 200 **3.4.2 Wet Granulation Process**

201 The required amount of Pearlitol (SD 200 or 160C, depending on the formulation) and  
202 intragranular croscarmellose sodium were transferred into a high-shear mixer granulator. The  
203 TMG solution was incrementally incorporated into the powder blend while mixing to obtain  
204 uniform wet mass. Granulation was continued until a suitable endpoint was achieved, as  
205 determined by visual inspection and hand pressure test.

206 The damp granules were dried in a tray dryer at 40°C for 30 minutes or until the loss on drying  
207 (LOD) fell below 1%. The dried granules were sieved through a #30 ASTM mesh to achieve a  
208 consistent particle size distribution.  
209

### 210 **3.4.3 Final Blending and Compression**

211 The dried granules were mixed in a polyethylene bag together with extragranular excipients such  
212 as croscarmellose sodium, menthol crystals, sucralose, flavorings, and colloidal silicon dioxide  
213 using manual tumbling for 5 minutes. Magnesium stearate, which had previously been sifted  
214 through a #40 ASTM screen, was incorporated as a lubricant and mixed for 2 minutes.  
215

216 The compressed tablets were done using the 16-station rotary press. The F1 to F6 samples  
217 required flat-faced punches of 6mm, while F7 and F8 required biconvex punches of 9.5mm. The  
218 compression force was varied in order to get adequate strength while still permitting rapid  
219 disintegration.  
220

## 221 **3.5 Evaluation of Powder Blend**

### 222 **3.5.1 Bulk Density and Tapped Density**

223 Bulk density was measured by pouring a certain amount of powder to blend into a graduated  
224 cylinder and measuring the volume without disturbance. The tapped density was measured after  
225 applying 1250 tappings with the help of a USP tap density tester. These values help in  
226 calculating the compressibility index and Hausner ratio.  
227

### 228 **3.5.2 Angle of Repose**

229 The angle of repose was measured using the fixed funnel technique. The powder was let to flow  
230 from a funnel to a flat surface, forming a cone-shaped heap. The height and the radius of the  
231 cone-shaped heap were measured, and the angle of repose was determined.  
232

## 233 **3.6 Evaluation of Orodispersible Tablets**

### 234 **3.6.1 Physical Characteristics**

235 The thickness and diameter of the tablets were determined by a digital vernier caliper. Hardness  
236 was measured using a Monsanto hardness tester. Friability was determined by a Roche friabilator  
237 at 25 rpm for 4 minutes.

238

### 239 **3.6.2 Weight Variation Test**

240 Twenty tablets from each formulation were weighed separately, and the mean tablet weight was  
241 calculated. The percentage deviation from the average weight was determined to assess  
242 compliance with pharmacopeial limits.

### 243 **3.6.3 In Vitro Disintegration Time**

244 The disintegration time was determined using a beaker test without discs. The tablets were  
245 placed in 50ml of purified water at  $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ , and the disintegration time was determined by  
246 recording the time taken to fully disintegrate.

247

### 248 **3.7 Sensory Taste Evaluation**

249 Taste evaluation was carried out using a panel of five human volunteers following ethical  
250 guidelines for human sensory analysis. Each volunteer placed a tablet on their tongue for 30  
251 seconds, and their perception of bitterness was rated using a scale of 1-10, with 1 being  
252 extremely bitter and 10 being completely non-bitter; this was done immediately and after 10  
253 seconds for after-taste analysis.

254

### 255 **3.8 in Vitro Dissolution Study**

256 Dissolution testing was performed using USP Apparatus II (paddle method) and a stirring rate of  
257 50 rpm in a 900 mL volume of 0.06 N HCl environment maintained at  $37 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ . Samples were  
258 taken after fixed time intervals, and the sample was replaced with an equal volume of the  
259 dissolution medium to maintain sink conditions. An analytical HPLC method validated for the  
260 sample was used for analysis.

### 261 **3.9 Drug Content Assay**

262 The drug content uniformity was checked after crushing the tablets. The suitable amount for  
263 HPLC analysis corresponding to 25 mg of pregabalin was calculated. The percentage of the  
264 labeled claim was calculated.

### 265 **3.10 Statistical Analysis**

266 All experimental results are presented in terms of mean standard deviation. When needed,  
267 comparative analyses are carried out to assess the trend in formulation efficacy.

## 268 4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND OPTIMIZATION STRATEGY

269 The design of Taste-masked pregabalin orodispersible tablet formulations was accomplished  
270 based on a structured approach to formulation optimization. The design parameters aimed to  
271 select the crucial formulation variables responsible for the efficiency of the taste masking agent,  
272 the properties of the flowability of the powder, the mechanical strength of the tablet,  
273 disintegration properties, and the Drug Release profile. The patient-centric formulation of  
274 orodispersible tablets paid special attention to the sensory acceptability and disintegration  
275 properties without compromising the immediate release property.

### 276 4.1 Identification of Critical Formulation Variables

277 Based on prior literature and preliminary trials, the following formulation variables were  
278 identified as critical:

- 279 1. **Concentration of Eudragit E100** – primary determinant of taste masking
- 280 2. **Type of filler (Pearlitol SD 200 vs Pearlitol 160C)** – influences powder flow, mouthfeel,  
281 and compressibility
- 282 3. **Distribution of superdisintegrant (intragranular vs extragranular)** – affects  
283 disintegration time
- 284 4. **Tablet weight and geometry** – impacts mechanical strength and patient acceptability

285 The optimization process involved incremental adjustment of these variables across eight  
286 formulations (F1–F8), enabling a systematic evaluation of their individual and combined effects.

287

### 288 4.2 Polymer Concentration Optimization

289 Formulations F1 to F6, prepared below, are intended for assessing the effect of escalating  
290 Eudragit E100 concentrations from 6.25% to 30% (w/w), while keeping fixed the total weight of  
291 the tablet at 100 mg. The purpose here was to establish the lowest levels of Eudragit E100  
292 needed for optimal bitterness masking without compromising the tablet mass and disintegration  
293 time.

294 Preliminary sensory study results showed that the formulations having a concentration of the  
295 polymer below 18.75% w/w were not effective in hiding the bitter taste of pregabalin. Partial  
296 hiding of the bitter taste was achieved by formulations F4 to F6, but the bitter sensation could  
297 still be recognized when the tablets dissolved. This indicated that a higher level of the polymer  
298 was required to develop a physical barrier in and around the drug particles.

299

### 300 4.3 Selection and Optimization of Filler Type

301 Pearlitol SD 200 was utilized in the filler role in preparations F1 to F6 owing to its history of use  
302 in ODT preparations and favorable mouth feel. However, there were issues with flow during

303 compression, especially when using higher concentrations of polymers. This was because lower  
304 weights of tablets restricted the use of higher amounts of polymers.

305 To counter such shortcomings, formulations F7 and F8 were developed with an increased tablet  
306 weight of 300 mg, using Pearlitol 160C as the main filler. Pearlitol 160C has rod-shaped  
307 particles and has better flow properties. This resulted in efficient filling of the die and improved  
308 tablet weight variability. The larger tablet weight also enabled the addition of more polymers,  
309 which are required for optimal taste masking.

#### 310 **4.4 Super Disintegrant**

311 Croscarmellose sodium was distributed in both the intragranular and extragranular regions in all  
312 formulations. The former ensured immediate dissociation of the granules when they encountered  
313 the fluid, and the latter ensured immediate ingress of water. It is imperative to mention that such  
314 distribution ensured the disintegration time was less than 30 seconds even at higher polymer  
315 concentrations.

#### 316 **4.5 Selection of Optimized Formulation**

317 Based on the cumulative evaluation of taste masking efficacy, disintegration time, mechanical  
318 strength, powder properties, and dissolution profile, formulation F8 was found as the optimum  
319 one. The formulation exhibited complete bitterness suppression, fast disintegration, and  
320 immediate release, which made it suitable for development and marketing as a dosage form.

### 321 **5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 322 **5.1 Pre-compression Characteristics**

323 The flow properties of powder blends are critical for ensuring uniform die filling during tablet  
324 compression, which directly impacts weight variation and content uniformity. The pre-  
325 compression parameters for all eight formulations are summarized in Table 2. The angle of  
326 repose values ranged from 21.5° to 28.02°, with formulations F7 and F8 exhibiting the most  
327 favorable values of 22.31° and 21.5°, respectively. According to established pharmaceutical  
328 standards, angles below 30° indicate excellent flow properties. Carr's compressibility index  
329 values ranged from 12.01% to 23.00%, with values below 16% generally indicating good flow.  
330 Hausner's ratio values ranged from 1.15 to 1.31, with values below 1.25 indicating good  
331 flowability.

332 **Table 2: Pre-compression Parameters of Powder Blends for Pregabalin ODT Formulations**

Formulation	Bulk Density (g/ml)	Tapped Density (g/ml)	Carr's Index (%)	Hausner's Ratio	Angle of Repose (°)
F1	0.31	0.45	18.18	1.22	26.70

F2	0.59	0.77	23.00	1.31	25.20
F3	0.31	0.45	18.18	1.22	28.02
F4	0.28	0.36	12.01	1.17	26.01
F5	0.26	0.32	14.20	1.19	25.09
F6	0.28	0.32	14.38	1.15	26.09
F7	0.31	0.41	13.61	1.20	22.31
F8	0.27	0.35	20.21	1.17	<b>21.50</b>

333

334 Notably, formulation F8 demonstrated optimal flow characteristics with an angle of repose of  
335 21.5°, Carr's index of 20.21%, and Hausner's ratio of 1.17. The improved flow properties  
336 observed in formulations F7 and F8 can be attributed to the use of Pearlitol 160C, which has rod-  
337 shaped particles that facilitate better flow compared to the spherical particles of Pearlitol SD 200  
338 used in formulations F1-F6. Additionally, the incorporation of colloidal silicon dioxide (Aerosil)  
339 as a glidant in all formulations contributed to enhanced powder flow by reducing interparticulate  
340 friction.

## 341 5.2 Post-compression Evaluation

342 All compressed tablets were evaluated for critical physical parameters, with results presented in  
343 Table 3. Weight variation for all batches remained within  $\pm 7.5\%$  of the target weight, complying  
344 with pharmacopeial requirements. Tablet hardness ranged from 4.0 to 5.1 Kp, indicating  
345 adequate mechanical strength for handling, packaging, and transportation while remaining within  
346 an acceptable range for orodispersible tablets. Excessive hardness can impede rapid  
347 disintegration, making the achieved values optimal for this dosage form.

348 **Table 3: Post-compression Evaluation Parameters of Pregabalin ODT Formulations**

Batch	Thickness (mm)	Hardness (Kp)	Disintegration Time (sec)	Friability (%)	Diameter (mm)	Weight (mg)
F1	3.12	4.1	25-35	0.53	6	100 $\pm$ 3
F2	3.16	4.2	25-30	0.51	6	100 $\pm$ 3

F3	3.15	4.0	20-27	0.54	6	100±3
F4	3.20	4.2	25-30	0.52	6	100±3
F5	3.10	4.2	25-30	0.51	6	100±3
F6	3.08	4.1	25-35	0.50	6	100±3
F7	4.50	5.1	25-40	0.63	9.5	300±1
F8	4.60	<b>4.7</b>	<b>20-30</b>	<b>0.47</b>	9.5	300±1

349

350 Friability values for all formulations were below 1% (ranging from 0.47% to 0.63%), with  
351 formulation F8 exhibiting the lowest value of 0.47%. This indicates excellent mechanical  
352 durability and resistance to abrasion during handling and packaging. The in vitro disintegration  
353 time, a critical quality attribute for orodispersible tablets, ranged from 20-40 seconds across all  
354 formulations. Formulation F8 demonstrated the fastest disintegration (20-30 seconds), which can  
355 be attributed to the optimized concentration and strategic placement of croscarmellose sodium in  
356 both intra- and extragranular portions. The intragranular component facilitates breakdown of  
357 granules, while the extragranular component promotes rapid water penetration and tablet  
358 disintegration.

### 359 **5.3 Taste-Masking Efficiency**

360 The results of the sensory taste evaluation conducted by five experienced volunteers are  
361 summarized in Table 4. Initial formulations F1-F6, containing lower concentrations of Eudragit  
362 E100 (6.25% to 30% w/w), received scores ranging from 1 to 8, indicating poor to moderate  
363 taste-masking efficiency. These scores confirm that insufficient polymer coating resulted in  
364 perceptible bitterness upon tablet disintegration in the oral cavity.

365 **Table 4: Taste Evaluation Scores for Pregabalin ODT Formulations by Volunteer Panel**  
366 **(Scale: 1-10)**

367 \*Scoring scale: 1 = Poor taste (extremely bitter), 10 = Good taste (no bitterness)\*

<b>Volunteer Experts</b>	<b>F1</b>	<b>F2</b>	<b>F3</b>	<b>F4</b>	<b>F5</b>	<b>F6</b>	<b>F7</b>	<b>F8</b>
1	1	3	4	6	7	8	9	<b>10</b>
2	2	3	5	7	7	8	8	<b>9</b>

3	1	2	4	6	6	8	9	<b>10</b>
4	2	3	5	5	6	7	9	<b>9</b>
5	2	4	5	6	7	7	8	<b>10</b>

368

369 In contrast, formulations F7 and F8, containing higher Eudragit E100 concentrations (13.89%  
370 and 25% w/w respectively), achieved significantly higher scores. Formulation F8 received the  
371 highest scores, ranging from 9 to 10 across all volunteers, indicating excellent taste-masking  
372 efficiency with no perceptible bitterness. This marked improvement can be directly attributed to  
373 the increased polymer concentration, which likely results in more complete coating of pregabalin  
374 particles, forming a continuous barrier that prevents drug release at salivary pH. The addition of  
375 menthol (providing a cooling sensation) and banana flavor in formulations F7 and F8 further  
376 enhanced the overall sensory experience, contributing to the high acceptability scores.

#### 377 **5.4 In vitro Drug Release Profile**

378 The dissolution profiles of the lead formulations F7 and F8 are presented in Table 5 and depicted  
379 graphically in Figure 1. Both formulations exhibited rapid drug release, achieving approximately  
380 95% dissolution within the first 5 minutes (F7: 95.8%, F8: 94.3%) and complete release (~100%)  
381 by 20 minutes (F7: 100.3%, F8: 99.7%). While formulation F7 showed marginally faster initial  
382 release, statistical analysis confirmed no significant difference between the dissolution profiles of  
383 the two formulations.

384 **Table 5: In vitro Dissolution Profile of Optimized Pregabalin ODT Formulations (% Drug**  
385 **Release)**

Time (min)	Formulation F7 (%)	Formulation F8 (%)
0	0.0	0.0
5	<b>95.8</b>	<b>94.3</b>
10	97.5	96.0
15	99.0	97.8
20	<b>100.3</b>	<b>99.7</b>
30	101.4	101.2

45	102.6	102.3
----	-------	-------

386 The rapid dissolution observed for both formulations confirms that the Eudragit E100 coating,  
387 while insoluble at salivary pH, dissolves promptly in the acidic dissolution medium (0.06N HCl,  
388 pH ~1.2), allowing immediate drug release. This pH-dependent solubility profile is ideal for  
389 taste-masking applications, as it prevents drug release in the oral cavity while ensuring complete  
390 bioavailability in the stomach. The dissolution profiles meet regulatory requirements for  
391 immediate-release dosage forms and suggest that the taste-masking approach does not  
392 compromise pregabalin's absorption characteristics.

393 Drug content analysis of the optimized formulation F8 revealed a content of 99.8% of the labeled  
394 claim, well within the acceptable range of 90-110% specified in pharmacopeial standards. This  
395 result confirms the uniformity of drug distribution throughout the tablet formulation and the  
396 accuracy of the manufacturing process.

### 397 **5.5 Moisture Content (Loss on Drying – LOD)**

Type	Value	Unit
<b>Dry Mix LOD</b>	1	%
<b>Final LOD</b>	0.92	%

398

### 399 **5.6 HPLC Assay Analysis of Pregabalin Tablets (25 mg)**

400 The assay results demonstrate that the Pregabalin tablets meet the label claim specification with a  
401 content of 99.92% of the declared 25 mg strength. This falls well within the typical  
402 pharmacopeial acceptance criteria of 90-110% for tablet assay.

403 Key observations:

- 404 1. The low %RSD (0.06%) of the standard preparation confirms excellent method precision
- 405 2. The calculated content (24.98 mg) is virtually identical to the label claim (25 mg)
- 406 3. The % label amount (99.92%) indicates consistent manufacturing quality
- 407 4. The conversion factor and molecular weight calculations were appropriately applied

408 These results validate the quality and potency of the manufactured batch TRAIL-8, confirming  
409 compliance with established specifications for Pregabalin tablets 25 mg.

410

411 **5.7 Dissolution Profile Analysis of Pregabalin Tablets (25 mg)**

412 ***Dissolution Test Conditions***

- 413 • **Apparatus:** USP Type II (Paddle)
- 414 • **Dissolution Medium:** 0.06N HCl
- 415 • **Medium Volume:** 900 mL
- 416 • **Sample Volume:** 10 mL
- 417 • **Agitation Rate:** 50 RPM
- 418 • **Temperature:** 37°C ± 0.5°C

419 ***Standard Preparation Validation***

420 The standard preparation demonstrated excellent precision and system suitability:

421 **Standard Peak Areas:**

- 422 • Mean Area: 1372670
- 423 • Standard Deviation: 3462.905
- 424 • %RSD: 0.25%

425 Individual standard areas ranged from 1367166 to 1376740, with %RSD well within the  
426 acceptable limit of ≤2.0%, confirming method reliability and system stability throughout the  
427 analysis.

428 ***Dissolution Profile Results***

429 Six tablet units were analyzed at multiple time points (5, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 45 minutes). The  
430 dissolution profile data is summarized below:

<b>Time Point</b>	<b>Mean % Release</b>	<b>Min %</b>	<b>Max %</b>	<b>Stdev</b>	<b>%RSD</b>
5 Minutes	95.8	95.0	96.7	0.723	0.75
10 Minutes	97.5	96.9	97.9	0.403	0.41
15 Minutes	99.0	98.8	99.3	0.206	0.20
20 Minutes	100.3	100.0	100.7	0.275	0.27
30 Minutes	101.4	101.2	101.9	0.287	0.28
45 Minutes	102.6	102.3	103.1	0.312	0.30

431 **Individual Unit Data:**

<b>Unit</b>	<b>Weight (mg)</b>	<b>5 min</b>	<b>10 min</b>	<b>15 min</b>	<b>20 min</b>	<b>30 min</b>	<b>45 min</b>
1	302	95.8	97.3	99.3	100.5	101.7	102.8
2	303	95.0	97.8	99.3	100.7	101.9	103.1

3	301	96.7	97.4	98.8	100.1	101.3	102.5
4	300	96.7	97.9	99.1	100.4	101.5	102.7
5	301	96.7	97.9	99.0	100.1	101.2	102.3
6	299	95.2	96.9	98.9	100.0	101.2	102.3

432 **Discussion**

433 **1. Rapid Dissolution Profile:** The dissolution profile demonstrates rapid and complete drug  
434 release from the tablets. Key observations include:

- 435 • **5 Minutes:** 95.8% mean release indicates very rapid initial dissolution
- 436 • **15 Minutes:** 99.0% release, meeting typical immediate-release specifications ( $Q \geq 80\%$   
437 at 15-30 minutes)
- 438 • **45 Minutes:** 102.6% release confirms complete drug dissolution

439 **2. Excellent Consistency:** The low %RSD values across all time points (0.20% to 0.75%)  
440 demonstrate:

- 441 • Excellent unit-to-unit uniformity
- 442 • Consistent manufacturing quality
- 443 • Reliable dissolution behavior

444 **3. Compliance with Specifications:** According to USP/pharmacopeial standards for immediate-  
445 release tablets:

- 446 • All units released  $>80\%$  within 15 minutes
- 447 • Mean dissolution at 30 minutes exceeded 100%
- 448 • Individual unit variation remained within  $\pm 10\%$  of mean

449 **4. Dissolution Kinetics:** The dissolution profile shows:

- 450 • Phase 1 (0-5 min): Rapid dissolution with 95.8% release
- 451 • Phase 2 (5-15 min): Continued dissolution reaching  $\sim 99\%$
- 452 • Phase 3 (15-45 min): Plateau phase with complete release

453 **5. Quality Assessment:** The minimal standard deviation (0.206-0.723) and low %RSD values  
454 indicate:

- 455 • Homogeneous drug distribution in tablets
- 456 • Consistent tablet manufacturing process
- 457 • Appropriate excipient selection for immediate release
- 458 • Good physical characteristics (hardness, disintegration)

459 **Conclusion:**

460 The Pregabalin 25 mg Tablet – Batch 1 of TRAIL 7 has fast and complete dissolution. The  
461 dissolution exceeds compendial standards in regards to immediate release. The dissolution  
462 pattern shows more than 95% drug substance in 5 minutes and fully dissolvable within 15  
463 minutes. This indicates good manufacturing standards as there is excellent uniformity around  
464 each unit measured at each point (<1% RSD).

## 465 **6. COMPARISON WITH REPORTED LITERATURE**

466 Some studies have been found to make use of the Eudragit E100 carrier as the taste masking  
467 agent for bitter drugs such as levocetirizine, clarithromycin, and famotidine. This clearly shows  
468 that above a certain polymer concentration, taste masking should neither retard nor impede the  
469 release of the drug.

470  
471 The results of the present study correlate with the existing literature, substantiating the fact that  
472 low polymer concentration causes inadequacies in taste masking. Nevertheless, the new  
473 contributions of the present work will be highlighted in the fact that the taste masking of  
474 pregabalin, an extremely bitter water-soluble drug, has been carried out through an appropriate  
475 scaleable wet granulation method, without employing intricate microencapsulation methods.

476  
477 Moreover, using Pearlitol 160C as a filler is an advancement over conventional fillers described  
478 in previous research works, having superior flow characteristics and tablet uniformity. The rapid  
479 disintegration and fast-dissolving abilities obtained in this research work are superior compared  
480 with pregabalin tablets described in previous research works, thus signifying the novelty of  
481 orodispersible tablets obtained.

## 482 **7. CONCLUSION**

483 The present research successfully developed and evaluated taste-masked pregabalin  
484 orodispersible tablets using Eudragit E100 as the primary functional polymer in a wet  
485 granulation process. Systematic formulation optimization led to the identification of formulation  
486 F8 as the optimal composition, containing 25% w/w Eudragit E100 and Pearlitol 160C as the  
487 filler. This formulation demonstrated excellent powder flow properties (angle of repose: 21.5°),  
488 appropriate mechanical characteristics (hardness: 4.7 Kp, friability: 0.47%), rapid disintegration  
489 (20-30 seconds), and complete drug release within 20 minutes. Most importantly, sensory  
490 evaluation confirmed effective bitterness masking, with taste scores of 9-10/10 indicating high  
491 patient acceptability.

492 The study establishes that Eudragit E100 concentration plays a pivotal role in taste-masking  
493 efficiency, with higher polymer levels (25% w/w in F8) providing superior bitterness masking  
494 compared to lower concentrations. The strategic incorporation of croscarmellose sodium in both  
495 intra- and extragranular portions was crucial for achieving rapid disintegration without  
496 compromising tablet integrity. The selection of Pearlitol 160C over Pearlitol SD 200  
497 significantly improved powder flow properties, facilitating more consistent tablet compression.

498 The developed pregabalin orodispersible tablet formulation addresses a significant unmet need in  
499 patient care by combining effective taste masking with the convenience of a waterless  
500 administration format. This advancement has particular relevance for pediatric, geriatric, and

501 dysphagic patient populations who struggle with conventional solid dosage forms. By improving  
502 palatability and ease of administration, this formulation has the potential to enhance medication  
503 adherence and therapeutic outcomes in patients requiring pregabalin therapy.

504 Future research directions should include stability studies under various temperature and  
505 humidity conditions to establish shelf-life, in vivo bioequivalence studies comparing the  
506 optimized orodispersible tablet with conventional pregabalin formulations, and expansion of the  
507 sensory evaluation to include a larger and more diverse patient population. Additionally,  
508 investigation of alternative taste-masking polymers and technologies could provide further  
509 optimization opportunities for this important dosage form.

## 510 **8. FUTURE SCOPE**

511 The future studies may include accelerated and real-time stability studies as per ICH guidelines  
512 to establish the shelf life of the optimized formulation. The human pharmacokinetic and  
513 bioequivalence study of the formulated orodispersible tablet versus the conventional formulation  
514 of pregabalin would be of clinical interest.

515 Possibly, further investigations related to alternate taste masking polymers and novel coating  
516 techniques could bring about further optimizations. Studies covering sensory evaluation in  
517 pediatric and geriatric groups could also add more relevance to the formulation.

## 518 **9. REFERENCES**

- 519 1. Fu Y, Yang S, Jeong SH, Kimura S, Park K. Orally fast disintegrating tablets:  
520 Developments, technologies, taste-masking and clinical studies. **Crit Rev Ther Drug**  
521 **Carrier Syst.** 2004;21(6):433–476.
- 522 2. European Pharmacopoeia Commission. **Orodispersible Tablets Monograph.** European  
523 Pharmacopoeia, Council of Europe, Strasbourg; 2023.
- 524 3. United States Pharmacopeial Convention. **USP–NF: Disintegration and Dissolution**  
525 **Tests.** Rockville, MD; 2022.
- 526 4. ICH Harmonised Guideline Q8(R2). **Pharmaceutical Development.** International  
527 Council for Harmonisation; 2009.
- 528 5. Evonik Industries. **Eudragit® E 100: Technical Information and Pharmaceutical**  
529 **Applications.** Germany; 2021.
- 530 6. Sohi H, Sultana Y, Khar RK. Taste masking technologies in oral pharmaceuticals: Recent  
531 developments and approaches. **Drug Dev Ind Pharm.** 2004;30(5):429–448.
- 532 7. Patil BS, Kulkarni U, Rao BS. Formulation and evaluation of taste-masked orally  
533 disintegrating tablets of bitter drugs. **J Drug Deliv Sci Technol.** 2019;52:21–30.
- 534 8. Seager H. Drug delivery products and the Zydis fast-dissolving dosage form. **J Pharm**  
535 **Pharmacol.** 1998;50(4):375–382.
- 536 9. Nagar P, Singh K, Chauhan I, Verma M, Yasir M, Khan A, et al. Orally disintegrating  
537 tablets: formulation, preparation techniques and evaluation. **J Appl Pharm Sci.**  
538 2011;1(4):35–45.
- 539 10. Bandari S, Mittapalli RK, Gannu R, Rao YM. Orodispersible tablets: An overview.  
540 **Asian J Pharm.** 2008;2(1):2–11.

- 541 11. Allen LV, Popovich NG, Ansel HC. **Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Drug Delivery**  
542 **Systems**. 10th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2014.
- 543 12. Gohel MC, Patel MM, Amin AF, Agrawal R, Dave R, Bariya N. Formulation design and  
544 optimization of mouth dissolve tablets of nimesulide using vacuum drying technique.  
545 **AAPS PharmSciTech**. 2004;5(3):36–45.
- 546 13. Mahajan HS, Kuchekar BS. Taste masked mouth dissolving tablets of ondansetron  
547 hydrochloride. **Indian J Pharm Educ Res**. 2009;43(4):388–393.
- 548 14. Kaur T, Gill B, Kumar S, Gupta GD. Mouth dissolving tablets: A novel approach to drug  
549 delivery. **Int J Curr Pharm Res**. 2011;3(1):1–7.
- 550 15. Sharma D, Singh M, Kumar D, Singh G. Formulation development and evaluation of fast  
551 disintegrating tablets of cetirizine hydrochloride. **J Pharm Res**. 2012;5(7):3918–3923.
- 552 16. Preis M, Pein M, Breitzkreutz J. Development of a taste-masked orodispersible film  
553 containing dimenhydrinate. **Pharmaceutics**. 2012;4(4):551–562.
- 554 17. Kulkarni N, Kumar LD, Sorg A. Fast dissolving orally consumable films containing an  
555 antiepileptic drug. **J Drug Deliv Sci Technol**. 2019;50:185–193.
- 556 18. Chavan MS, Shinde AV. Taste masking of bitter drugs: A review. **Int J Pharm Sci Rev**  
557 **Res**. 2014;28(2):179–185.
- 558 19. Nayak AK, Manna K. Current developments in orally disintegrating tablet technology. **J**  
559 **Pharm Educ Res**. 2011;2(1):21–34.
- 560 20. Banker GS, Anderson NR. Tablets. In: Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL, editors.  
561 **The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy**. 4th ed. CBS Publishers; 2013.

562