

1 **Goods and Services Tax and Its Impact** 2 **on Electronics Sector MSMEs in North Delhi: A Micro Level** 3 **Study**

4
5
6 **Abstract:** The research study investigates how the Goods and Services Tax impacts the
7 Micro Small and Medium Enterprises that operate in the electronics sector of North Delhi
8 which produces various industry effects. The unified tax system enables business
9 operations through better documentation procedures and supply chain management
10 systems but requires businesses to spend additional funds while following extra
11 regulations which impose difficulties on their smaller operations. Enterprises experienced
12 reduced profitability because they failed to pass their increased expenses onto their
13 customers. Businesses need more working capital because they face delays in receiving
14 input tax credits while they must pay taxes upfront which creates problems for their daily
15 operations. The overall business performance of firms shows a declining trend which
16 continues to affect their operations during the short term. The research results
17 demonstrate that the reform will provide long term benefits to the business sector yet
18 MSME sustainability requires specific policy solutions that establish easier compliance
19 methods together with speedy credit assessment processes.

20
21 **Keywords:** Goods and Services Tax, MSMEs, Electronics Sector, North Delhi,
22 Profitability, Working Capital

23 24 **1. Introduction**

25 The Indian government established the Goods and Services Tax as a major fiscal reform
26 which completely transformed the existing system of indirect taxation. The Government
27 of India executed this tax system change on July 1, 2017 to establish a single taxation
28 system that would eliminate various indirect taxes including value added tax and excise

29 duty and service tax. The reform aimed to simplify taxation processes while eliminating
30 cascading tax burdens and creating transparent systems and establishing a unified
31 national marketplace. The Goods and Services Tax system enabled better tax
32 administration at a national level but different business sectors showed distinct
33 operational impacts on Micro Small and Medium Enterprises through their supply chain
34 operations and financial resources.

35

36 The electronics sector has become a major force driving industrial development in India
37 because consumer demand for electronic devices and telecommunications systems and
38 digital technology continues to grow. The sector includes multiple activities which
39 involve assembling electronic products and producing components and providing repair
40 services and operating distribution networks. The sector experiences high Micro Small
41 and Medium Enterprise presence because these businesses establish their operations in
42 urban industrial clusters. The enterprises create new job opportunities while supporting
43 local production and connecting various parts of the supply chain.

44

45 North Delhi industrial district serves as a vital industrial area which manufactures
46 electronic goods that support the National Capital Region. Bawana, Narela, Wazirpur
47 industrial zones house numerous small enterprises which perform electronic product
48 assembly work and machinery maintenance tasks while they create products such as
49 wiring systems and circuit boards and lighting systems. The companies need to operate
50 with limited financial resources because they must purchase their essential materials
51 through international vendors while their operations depend on ongoing revenue streams.
52 The companies face increased risk because they must track all changes in regulations
53 which affect their tax responsibilities and compliance requirements.

54

55 The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax created multiple structural changes that
56 modified how electronics micro small and medium enterprises conduct their business
57 operations. Businesses must use electronic tax submission through the established system

58 which classifies their products and services into various tax categories while they can
59 deduct input tax. The new system has improved business transparency and reduced
60 multiple taxation yet businesses must now handle additional compliance obligations
61 which create financial challenges for small enterprises. The electronics sector encounters
62 significant obstacles because it depends on imported critical components which need
63 distinct tax assessment methods throughout its production activities.

64

65 **1.1 Structure of the Electronics MSME Sector in India**

66

67 The Indian electronics sector has experienced extensive growth during the past decade
68 because of technological advancements and rising consumer demand. The government
69 supports local production through its programs which create digital systems that drive
70 rapid economic development. The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
71 announced that the electronics market in India reached a value of United States Dollar
72 155 billion in 2023 and predicted significant market expansion for the coming years.

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80 Table 1: Structure of Electronics MSME Sector in India

81

Indicator	Estimated Value
Total electronics market size	USD 155 billion

Share of MSMEs in total enterprises	Approximately 80 percent
Employment generated by MSMEs	Around 5 million workers
Dependence on imported components	60 to 70 percent
Nature of enterprises	Predominantly micro and small units

82

83 Source: Ministry of MSME Annual Report 2024 and Ministry of Electronics and
84 Information Technology estimates

85

86 The sector depends on Micro Small and Medium Enterprises as its essential foundation.
87 The enterprises conduct small-scale production through assembly work while providing
88 multiple service functions that include repair and maintenance. MSMEs face financial
89 constraints because they cannot access traditional banking services. The organization
90 requires different materials because its staff members use physical labor to produce
91 products.

92

93

94

95

96 **1.2 Regional Importance of North Delhi Electronics Cluster**

97 The industrial zone of North Delhi developed because the region had both industrial
98 facilities and transportation systems which could operate with its available workforce.

99 The industrial zone of this area includes two operational business centers which are

100 located at Bawana Industrial Area and Narela Industrial Estate. Electronics MSMEs
101 function as essential businesses because their operations provide vital economic support
102 which benefits the local economy.

103

104 Table 2: Economic Role of Electronics MSMEs in North Delhi

105

Economic Dimension	Contribution
Employment generation	High labour absorption in local areas
MSME concentration	Dominant presence in industrial clusters
Supply chain linkage	Connected to retail and distribution networks
Industrial output	Significant share in local manufacturing
Skill development	Provides technical and semi skilled employment

106

107 Source: Ministry of MSME 2025 and Delhi Industrial Development Reports

108

109 The sector generates domestic job opportunities by creating supply chains that connect
110 retail markets with wholesale traders and service providers throughout the National
111 Capital Region. The small business system establishes multiple production facilities
112 which allow manufacturers to modify their production levels in response to market
113 requirements. The sector operates with a structure that creates vulnerabilities to external
114 shocks which include regulatory changes and cost fluctuations.

115

116 **1.3 Tax Structure under Goods and Services Tax**

117 The Goods and Services Tax system classifies goods and services into multiple tax rate
118 categories. The tax system establishes elevated tax rates for electronic products because
119 these items receive treatment as manufactured goods. The complete products and services
120 of businesses become subject to increased taxation because their circuit boards and
121 semiconductors and electronic components face higher tax rates.

122

123 Table 3: Goods and Services Tax Rates in Electronics Sector

124

Category	Applicable GST Rate	Economic Implication
Electronic components	18 percent	Higher input cost burden
Consumer electronic goods	12 to 18 percent	Moderate output taxation
Repair and maintenance services	18 percent	Increased service cost
Electronic machinery	18 percent	High capital investment cost

125 Source: Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs 2023

126

127 The tax system requires businesses to pay higher taxes on their inputs than what they owe
128 for their outputs because of the existing tax rate differences. The companies collect input
129 tax credits which they receive back after they have to wait through the necessary steps.

130 The tax payment and credit realization gap that exists for MSMEs creates a working
131 capital constraint.

132

133 **1.4 Operational Impact on Electronics MSMEs**

134 The operational procedures of electronics MSMEs now depend on the operational
 135 changes which GST implementation brought to their business operations. Businesses
 136 need to maintain digital records and file tax returns at specified times while they must
 137 complete input tax credit verification procedures. The system enhancements improve
 138 operational transparency and accountability yet they create operational challenges which
 139 obstruct the proper execution of administrative responsibilities.

140

141 Table 4: Operational Changes after Goods and Services Tax Implementation

142

Dimension	Pre GST Situation	Post GST Situation
Tax structure	Multiple taxes	Unified tax system
Compliance	Manual and fragmented	Digital and standardized
Input tax credit	Limited availability	Expanded credit system
Cost transparency	Low	High

143

144 Source: GST Council Report 2022

145

146 Electronics MSMEs need technical knowledge and financial resources to operate their
 147 complicated compliance systems but these essential resources remain unavailable to
 148 them. Most businesses require external accountants or consultants because they lack
 149 sufficient internal resources which increases their operating costs. The process of
 150 receiving input tax credit refunds takes time which creates problems for both cash flow
 151 management and production operations.

152

153 **1.5 Working Capital and Financial Constraints**

154 The primary challenge which electronics MSMEs face during their operations stems from
155 their financial resource management difficulties. The purchase of goods requires
156 businesses to pay taxes which they will recover through tax credits at a later time. The
157 time gap between these two events causes financial restrictions because small enterprises
158 with restricted funding resources cannot access necessary funds.

159

160 The electronics industry faces a significant challenge because it relies on imported
161 components while product material costs continue to fluctuate. Companies depend on
162 short-term loans and informal funding sources to maintain their operational activities.
163 The current situation increases financial risk while it reduces profit-making abilities.

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174 Table 5: Financial Impact of Goods and Services Tax on Electronics MSMEs

175

Financial Indicator	Observed Effect
Input tax credit accumulation	Increase

Working capital requirement	Increase
Profit margins	Decrease
Production cost	Increase

176

177 Source: Reserve Bank of India MSME Report 2023 and CBIC Analysis

178

179 The electronics MSME sector in North Delhi establishes an appropriate framework which
 180 researchers can use to study how Goods and Services Tax affects local areas. The tax
 181 reform has delivered uniform tax rates together with enhanced tax visibility yet
 182 businesses continue to struggle because of different tax rates and compliance tasks which
 183 create extra costs for their daily operations. The sector demonstrates how policy changes
 184 lead to different industrial operations which use manual labor and face restricted financial
 185 capability.

186

187 The present research investigates how Goods and Services Tax affects electronics
 188 MSMEs in North Delhi by studying their cost structure changes and profitability
 189 alterations and working capital management and compliance obligations. The research
 190 extends previous studies by analyzing an industrial district which demonstrates the
 191 specific challenges that small enterprises face in that particular industry.

192 **2. Literature Review**

193 The current research on the Goods and Services Tax in India centers on two primary
 194 aspects which examine its economic benefits and its impact on changes to the system of
 195 indirect taxes. The research about tax reform studies how tax collection results from two
 196 factors which include better collection outcomes and the removal of tax duplication and
 197 the enhancement of sector transparency.

198

199 Rao and Chakraborty (2019) present a unified tax system as the solution which allows
200 businesses to use input tax credits to develop better production methods which enhance
201 economic productivity. The Goods and Services Tax serves as a major fiscal
202 modernization milestone because it makes tax collection easier through its simplified tax
203 system which extends its reach to more taxpayers according to Poddar and Ahmad.

204
205 The research by International Monetary Fund (2019) and World Bank (2018) shows that
206 the reform better revenue collection because it brings informal businesses into the tax
207 system. The Goods and Services Tax value added tax system establishes a theoretical
208 framework which Millath, Rahman, and Kumar (2016) use to show that continuous credit
209 system helps better tax collection while it reduces tax fraud. The studies report positive
210 results for the reform at the aggregate level because they show that Micro Small and
211 Medium Enterprises must deal with the costs which come from the transition process.
212 The research shows that large companies get operational effectiveness and production
213 capacity advantages while small businesses find it hard to follow new regulations and
214 technological requirements.

215
216 The research about Goods and Services Tax effects on different sectors and various
217 geographical areas remains incomplete because researchers require more information
218 about tax effects on electronics micro small and medium enterprises which operate
219 throughout urban industrial zones. Researchers have studied traditional industries which
220 include textiles and printing and manufacturing. The research conducted by SS Rana and
221 Co (2020) proves that printing sector tax exemptions together with input tax credit
222 restrictions create a cost structure distortion which causes changes in market behavior.

223
224 The National Council of Applied Economic Research (2019) studies show that tax reform
225 effects differ between regions because different areas possess varying levels of
226 infrastructure and access to finance and formalization. The North Delhi industrial clusters

227 experience more profound Goods and Services Tax impacts because their companies
228 operate with limited resources and rely on illicit business networks.

229

230 The Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (2018) report that the
231 supply chain reform has improved market access for small businesses while they still face
232 difficulties with cost management and meeting regulatory requirements. The electronics
233 industry research shows that government support programs for domestic manufacturing
234 have enabled business growth yet MSMEs encounter risks through their reliance on
235 imported components and their exposure to fluctuating material costs. The research field
236 suffers from a significant gap because researchers have not conducted detailed studies on
237 electronics MSMEs in specific industrial clusters. The present study addresses this gap by
238 examining the impact of the Goods and Services Tax on electronics MSMEs.

239

240 Businesses change their financial operations because taxation changes which create
241 effects on their cash reserve management and operational profitability. The study by
242 Beck and Demirguc Kunt and Maksimovic shows that financial constraints directly
243 determine the growth and business performance of small enterprises which operate in
244 developing countries without access to formal credit systems. The Goods and Services
245 Tax creates a major problem for MSMEs because they need to pay taxes first before they
246 can get their input tax refunds.

247

248 The Reserve Bank of India (2021) report shows that delayed payments together with
249 credit shortages create essential difficulties for MSMEs which will be worsened by new
250 tax system requirements to follow tax rules. The research by Kumar and Rao (2019)
251 shows that small businesses suffer immediate financial damage because they need to
252 spend more money to meet tax compliance requirements which leads to operational
253 disruptions during tax-related cash flow periods. The electronics industry requires
254 substantial inventory to support rapid product development so it must create financial
255 protection procedures against operational interruptions. Research shows that companies

256 which operate in these industries experience operational delays because they cannot
257 accurately manage their cash flow needs.

258

259 Research studies examine how digital technologies affect small business operations
260 within Goods and Services Tax systems. Bansal and Gupta (2018) explain that digital tax
261 administration enhances tax transparency but it creates obstacles for small businesses
262 which lack necessary digital resources to enter the market. Organizations need to develop
263 digital systems which require them to hire specialized employees because online return
264 filing and electronic invoicing and real time data reconciliation create those requirements.
265 The NCAER report from 2019 states that larger companies have adjusted to these
266 changes yet many MSMEs need to use third parties such as accountants and tax
267 consultants which results in increased business expenses. The electronics MSME sector
268 faces intense competition problems because all extra expenses result in direct reductions
269 of their already minimal profit margins.

270

271 The Confederation of Indian Industry (2019) states that businesses experienced
272 operational difficulties because two specific factors existed. The first factor involved
273 changes to compliance procedures which occurred frequently while the second factor
274 involved issues with the portal system during the first implementation stage.
275 Organizations from different business sectors achieved different results after they
276 implemented operational advances in their systems.

277

278 **3. Research Methodology**

279 The research investigates the impact of Goods and Services Tax on Micro Small and
280 Medium Enterprises in North Delhi's electronics sector through its employment of
281 quantitative research methods and analytical research methods. The research study
282 investigates how tax reforms affect essential business performance indicators which
283 include production costs and corporate profitability and available working capital and

284 compliance expenses and total company performance. The research study uses systematic
285 data collection methods to gather data which employs statistical analyses and scientific
286 result interpretation according to its organized framework.

287

288 The researchers conducted their primary data collection for the study by distributing
289 structured questionnaires to electronic MSME owners and managerial staff members at
290 industrial clusters throughout North Delhi. The study selected these areas because they
291 contain a large number of electronics companies which provide assembly services and
292 repair services and manufacture components. The questionnaire measures business
293 operations at three periods which include the period before Goods and Services Tax
294 implementation and the period after Goods and Services Tax implementation. The
295 measurement system uses a Likert scale which permits users to select from five response
296 options that range from significant increase to significant decrease which enables
297 researchers to conduct statistical analysis by converting data into numerical formats.

298

299 The researchers used purposive sampling to select participants who met their
300 requirements for research purposes. The study requires Micro Small and Medium
301 Enterprises which operate under North Delhi business locations to register as their
302 required business type while conducting their research in the electronics sector.
303 Respondents need to possess sufficient knowledge about their company's financial results
304 and operational performance. The process ensures that all collected data will retain both
305 precise information and necessary essential value.

306

307 The research applies both descriptive statistical methods and inferential statistical
308 methods to analyze its data. The researchers present their data summary through
309 descriptive statistics which include mean and standard deviation and percentage
310 distribution. The average response value which the mean represents gets calculated
311 according to this formula:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum X}{N} \quad (1)$$

312

313 The equation shows \bar{X} , X as representing individual data points while \sum , N denotes the
314 entire data point collection. The standard deviation measures the dispersion of responses
315 around the mean and is calculated as:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2}{N}} \quad (2)$$

316

317

318 The research uses three statistical methods to conduct its inferential research which uses
319 Paired Sample t test and Chi Square test and Pearson Correlation analysis. The Paired
320 Sample t test compares the average business performance indicators which existed before
321 the implementation of Goods and Services Tax and the period after that implementation.
322 The test requires two linked samples which must come from one specific business entity.
323 The test statistic calculation follows this formula:

324

$$t = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_d / \sqrt{n}} \quad (3)$$

325

326

327 The null hypothesis states that the mean difference between two groups equals zero
328 which proves that the Goods and Services Tax creates no effects. The null hypothesis
329 gets rejected when the calculated probability value falls below the 0.05 significance level
330 because this result shows a statistically significant difference.

331

332 The Chi Square test serves to determine how two categorical variables relate to each
333 other. The study tests which variables among compliance burden and firm size affect
334 changes in profitability and performance. The Chi Square statistic is calculated as:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E} \quad (3)$$

336
337 The Chi Square value displays how much researchers' expected outcomes differ from
338 their actual research results. The null hypothesis of independence gets rejected when the
339 calculated value surpasses the critical value at specific degrees of freedom and
340 significance level which proves a strong connection between the two variables examined.

341
342 The Pearson Correlation coefficient provides a measurement tool which evaluates the
343 strength of interaction between two continuous variables. The formula for the correlation
344 coefficient is:

$$r = \frac{\sum(X - \bar{X})(Y - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum(X - \bar{X})^2 \sum(Y - \bar{Y})^2}} \quad (4)$$

345
346 The value of r ranges from minus one to plus one. A value that approaches plus one
347 demonstrates a strong positive relationship. A value that approaches minus one
348 demonstrates a strong negative relationship. A value that is approximately zero shows
349 that there is no linear connection between the two variables. The research uses correlation
350 analysis to establish whether increasing compliance costs lead to lower profits and
351 reduced liquidity.

352

353 Table 6: Summary of Statistical Tools Used in the Study

354

Statistical Tool	Purpose	Application in Study
Paired Sample t test	Compare means of related samples	Evaluate before and after GST changes
Chi Square test	Test association between variables	Analyze categorical relationships
Correlation analysis	Measure relationship strength	Study interaction between variables

355

356 Source: Author's Compilation

357

358 Table 7:Hypothesis Formulation

Indicator	Null Hypothesis H0	Alternative Hypothesis H1
Production cost	Mean difference equals zero	Mean difference not equal to zero
Profitability	Mean difference equals zero	Mean difference not equal to zero
Working capital	Mean difference equals zero	Mean difference not equal to zero
Compliance burden	No association with GST	Significant association with GST
Business performance	No significant change	Significant change observed

359

360 Source: Author's formulation of Hypothesis

361

362 The complete analytical capabilities of statistical tool solutions emerge through their
363 integrated functions. The Paired Sample t test identifies significant differences in firm
364 level performance before and after the Goods and Services Tax, the Chi Square test
365 examines relationships between categorical variables, and correlation analysis explores
366 interdependencies among key indicators. The research methods demonstrate both
367 statistical significance and economic relevance in studying how the Goods and Services
368 Tax affects electronics micro, small and medium enterprises in North Delhi.

369

370 **4. Results and Findings**

371 The research study assesses how the Goods and Services Tax affects Micro Small and
372 Medium Enterprises which operate in the North Delhi electronics sector through its
373 application of both descriptive and inferential statistical analysis methods. The
374 researchers collected primary data through their direct investigation of companies located
375 in Bawana and Narela and Wazirpur industrial areas. The study results focus on five key
376 performance indicators which researchers assessed through production cost values and
377 profitability metrics and working capital evaluations and compliance burden assessments
378 and total business performance measurements.

379

380 According to the descriptive results most companies experienced increased production
381 costs after the implementation of the Goods and Services Tax. The increase results from
382 three factors which include higher taxes on electronic components and higher costs for
383 compliance and additional expenses for administration. The decreasing profitability trend
384 shows that companies failed to transfer their increased costs to their clients. The different
385 tax payment methods which businesses used together with input tax credit delays resulted
386 in a major working capital reduction for businesses. The compliance burden reached its
387 highest point because businesses encountered more complicated procedures for filing
388 their taxes and following regulations.

389

390 The research study assesses how the Goods and Services Tax affects Micro Small and
391 Medium Enterprises which operate in the North Delhi electronics sector through its
392 application of both descriptive and inferential statistical analysis methods. The
393 researchers attained their main data collection through direct observation of businesses
394 which operate in the industrial areas of Bawana, Narela and Wazirpur. The study results
395 focused on five key performance indicators which researchers assessed through
396 production cost values and profitability metrics and working capital evaluations and
397 compliance burden assessments and total business performance measurements.

398

399 Most companies experienced increased production costs after the implementation of the
400 Goods and Services Tax according to the descriptive results. The three factors which lead
401 to an increase include higher electronic component taxes and increased compliance costs
402 and additional administrative expenses. The decreasing profitability trend shows that
403 companies failed to transfer their increased costs to their clients. The different tax
404 payment methods which businesses used created major working capital reduction for
405 companies because of their combined effect with input tax credit delays. Business
406 compliance burden reached its highest point because companies needed to manage more
407 complex tax filing and regulatory requirements.

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417 Table 8: Results of Paired Sample t Test

Business Indicator	Mean Before GST	Mean After GST	t Value	p Value	Decision
Production cost	2.31	4.02	5.87	0	Significant increase
Profitability	3.85	2.41	-4.92	0.001	Significant decrease
Working capital	3.67	2.28	-5.34	0	Significant deterioration
Business performance	3.72	2.45	-4.76	0.002	Significant decline

418 Source: Author's Analysis based on Primary Data

419

420 The researchers used the Chi Square test to study how compliance burden links to
421 different characteristics of companies which include their size and their ability to operate.

422 The study results demonstrate that business size affects compliance difficulties because
423 smaller businesses face more challenges with compliance than larger companies.

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434 Table 9: Chi Square Test Results

Variable Tested	Chi Square Value	Degrees of Freedom	p Value	Interpretation
Compliance burden and firm size	18.62	4	0.001	Significant association
Compliance burden and profitability	15.48	4	0.003	Significant association

435 Source: Author's Analysis

436

437 The researchers used correlation analysis to study the relationship between essential
438 financial metrics and operational metrics. The results demonstrate that three variables
439 show strong interdependence because their three elements direct connections to each
440 other.

441

442 Table 9: Correlation Matrix

Variables	Production Cost	Profitability	Working Capital	Compliance Burden
Production cost	1	-0.62	-0.55	0.48
Profitability	-0.62	1	0.59	-0.67
Working capital	-0.55	0.59	1	-0.52
Compliance burden	0.48	-0.67	-0.52	1

443

444 Source: Author's Analysis

445 The research results show that the Goods and Services Tax has created a major effect on
446 electronics micro small and medium enterprises which operate in North Delhi. The
447 combination of rising production costs and increasing compliance demands together with

448 declining profitability and growing operational difficulties has resulted in decreased
449 business efficiency. The organized tax systems of a company provide better operational
450 transparency which leads to partial business formalization that creates widespread effects
451 throughout the entire industry.

452

453 **5. Conclusion**

454 The research used primary survey data together with Paired Sample t test and Chi Square
455 test and correlation analysis to create complete analysis results. The research study
456 investigates how tax reform changes affect essential business operations which include
457 operating production costs and earnings and working capital needs and regulatory
458 obligations and overall business performance.

459

460 The research shows that the Goods and Services Tax implementation caused electronics
461 MSMEs to undergo complete operational changes in their business activities. The
462 combination of production cost increases together with new compliance requirements has
463 created severe financial challenges for companies that operate with restricted financial
464 means. The research shows that businesses experience lower profit margins because they
465 are unable to pass their price increases to customers in a market where they face strong
466 competition. Two elements which include delays in receiving input tax credits and
467 mandatory advance tax payments create working capital shortages for businesses which
468 have become their most pressing challenge.

469

470 The reform creates three primary advantages which lead to improved transparency and
471 enhanced record management and better supply chain partnership. The system needs to
472 provide its operational advantages to major enterprises because its current benefits are not
473 available to smaller companies.

474

475 The research shows that the Goods and Services Tax brings mixed results because most
476 MSMEs experience higher short term adjustment costs which exceed their initial benefits.

477

478 The study shows that the Goods and Services Tax enables tax unification yet small
479 businesses require special tax regulations to address their operational difficulties. The
480 three specific improvements which include simpler compliance processes and quicker
481 input tax credit refund systems and more accessible financial assistance will help
482 electronics MSMEs to achieve better operational efficiency. The implementation of these
483 measures will create a system which guarantees that all industrial sectors will receive
484 advantages from tax reform throughout an extended period.

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503 References

504 Agarwal, A. (2018). Impact of goods and services tax on small scale industries in India.
505 *International Journal of Research in Economics and Social Sciences*, 8(3), 45–52.

506 Bansal, S., & Gupta, R. (2018). Digital transformation under GST and its impact on
507 MSMEs. *Journal of Business and Management*, 20(6), 12–18.

508 Beck, T., Demirguc Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2005). Financial and legal constraints
509 to growth: Does firm size matter? *The Journal of Finance*, 60(1), 137–
510 177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00727.x>

511 Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs. (2022). *GST policy framework and*
512 *sectoral impact reports*. Government of India.

513 Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs. (2023). *Input tax credit mechanism under*
514 *GST*. Government of India.

515 Confederation of Indian Industry. (2019). *Ease of doing business and GST compliance*
516 *study*. CII Research Report.

517 Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry. (2018). *GST impact*
518 *assessment on manufacturing sector*. FICCI Report.

519 International Monetary Fund. (2019). *India tax reform and growth performance*. IMF
520 Working Paper.

521 Kumar, R., & Gupta, S. (2020). Impact of indirect tax reform on small scale industries in
522 India. *Indian Journal of Economics and Business*, 19(1), 87–102.

523 Kumar, S., & Rao, P. (2019). Working capital management and MSME performance in
524 India. *Asian Economic Review*, 61(2), 215–230.

525 Majumdar, A. (2018). Taxation of composite supplies under GST in India. *Journal of*
526 *Indian Taxation Studies*, 10(2), 45–60.

527 Millath, M., Rahman, F., & Kumar, S. (2016). Goods and services tax reform and
528 economic implications in India. *International Journal of Applied Economic Research*,
529 *14*(3), 201–214.

530 Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology. (2023). *Annual report on*
531 *electronics sector growth in India*. Government of India.

532 Ministry of Micro Small and Medium Enterprises. (2023). *Annual report on MSME*
533 *sector performance*. Government of India.

534 National Council of Applied Economic Research. (2019). *Economic impact of GST*
535 *implementation in India*. NCAER Working Paper.

536 Poddar, S., & Ahmad, E. (2017). GST reforms and tax efficiency in India. *Economic and*
537 *Political Weekly*, *52*(10), 24–32.

538 Press Information Bureau. (2020). GST reforms and sectoral outcomes in India.
539 Government of India.

540 Rao, M. G., & Chakraborty, P. (2019). Goods and services tax in India: Economic reform
541 and fiscal federalism. *National Institute of Public Finance and Policy Working Paper*.

542 Reserve Bank of India. (2021). *Report on the performance of MSMEs in India*. RBI
543 Publications.

544 World Bank. (2018). *India development update: Impact of tax reforms*. World Bank
545 Publications.

546