Effectiveness of a Digital Lifestyle Medicine Program in Adults With Metabolic Syndrome A Narrative Review.

Abstract

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MetS), defined as the co-occurrence of abdominal obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL-cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, and hyperglycemia, now affects an estimated 1.54 billion adults worldwide and is a major driver of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Digital lifestyle medicine programs—encompassing mobile applications, wearable devices, telehealth coaching, and web-based platforms—represent a scalable approach to MetS management. However, comprehensive evidence on their clinical effectiveness remains fragmented.

Objective: This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on the effectiveness of digital lifestyle medicine programs for MetS management in adults, focusing on key cardiometabolic outcomes including waist circumference, blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglycerides, and HDL-cholesterol, as well as adherence, quality of life, and MetS remission rates.

Methods: A narrative review of peer-reviewed literature published from 2014 to 2025 was conducted using PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, and JMIR databases. Studies including randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and observational studies evaluating digital interventions in adults with MetS were included.

Results: Digital lifestyle medicine programs consistently demonstrate significant improvements in anthropometric outcomes, particularly waist circumference (SMD: −0.47, 95% CI −0.84 to −0.09) and BMI (SMD: −0.36). Blood pressure showed moderate improvement. Effects on lipid profiles and fasting glucose were more variable. Multimodal interventions combining physical activity, dietary guidance, and behavioral coaching achieved the most robust outcomes. Habit-based programs showed sustained MetS remission (28% vs. 21% in controls) at 24 months. Digital engagement intensity was positively correlated with cardiometabolic improvement.

Conclusion: Digital lifestyle medicine programs are effective, scalable tools for MetS management, with greatest impact on body composition and blood pressure. Long-term metabolic improvements require sustained engagement, multimodal design, and personalized behavioral support. Future research should address digital equity, long-term sustainability, and integration into universal health coverage systems.

Keywords: *digital health, lifestyle medicine, metabolic syndrome, mHealth, eHealth, behavior change, cardiometabolic risk*

1. Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of interrelated cardiometabolic abnormalities defined by the co-occurrence of abdominal obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, and fasting hyperglycemia. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) are the most widely applied diagnostic frameworks, with MetS diagnosis typically requiring three or more of these five components [1,2].

The global burden of MetS has escalated dramatically over the past two decades. A landmark 2025 systematic review and Bayesian modeling study encompassing data from 45.5 million adults across 198 countries reported that global MetS prevalence more than doubled between 2000 and 2023, rising from 14.7% to 31.0% in women and from 9.0% to 25.7% in men—representing an estimated 1.54 billion affected adults globally [3]. MetS at least doubles the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and increases the risk of type 2 diabetes (T2DM) approximately fivefold [4,5]. The economic consequences are substantial, with MetS-associated conditions placing an enormous burden on healthcare systems worldwide.

Lifestyle modification—encompassing dietary improvement, increased physical activity, and behavioral change—remains the cornerstone of MetS management recommended by all major clinical guidelines. Traditional in-person lifestyle programs, while effective, face limitations in reach, scalability, cost, and long-term adherence. Systematic reviews of lifestyle modification programs for MetS have found that team-based, interactive approaches with high-frequency patient contact produce the most durable improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors [6].

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies—including smartphone applications, wearable biosensors, telehealth platforms, and web-based coaching systems—offers unprecedented opportunities to deliver personalized, scalable lifestyle interventions. Digital lifestyle medicine programs can provide real-time self-monitoring, automated feedback, goal-setting support, and remote coaching, addressing many limitations of traditional care models. A 2024 research trend analysis in the Journal of Medical Internet Research identified wearable devices, mobile apps, and telemedicine as the dominant themes in digital health research for MetS, reflecting rapidly growing clinical and scientific interest [7].

Despite this momentum, evidence on the effectiveness of digital lifestyle medicine programs for MetS management remains heterogeneous and fragmented across study designs, intervention types, populations, and outcome measures. This narrative review aims to synthesize available evidence, identify key determinants of effectiveness, characterize the behavioral mechanisms underlying digital lifestyle interventions, and discuss implications for clinical practice and health policy.

2. Methods

This narrative review was conducted in accordance with established guidelines for narrative synthesis of evidence. Literature was systematically searched across PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, JMIR Publications databases, and Google Scholar from January

2014 to March 2025. Search terms included combinations of: 'metabolic syndrome,' 'digital health,' 'mHealth,' 'eHealth,' 'mobile health,' 'telehealth,' 'wearable device,' 'lifestyle medicine,' 'lifestyle intervention,' 'behavior change,' 'digital therapeutics,' and 'cardiometabolic risk factors.'

Inclusion criteria were: (1) adult participants (age ≥18 years) with MetS or ≥2 MetS components; (2) digital intervention as the primary or adjunctive modality (mobile app, wearable device, telehealth platform, web-based program, or SMS-based system); (3) reporting at least one cardiometabolic outcome (waist circumference, BMI, blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglycerides, or HDL-cholesterol); and (4) study designs including RCTs, quasi-experimental studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or prospective cohort studies. Studies were excluded if they targeted pediatric populations, were limited to pharmacological interventions, or were conference abstracts or case reports.

Selected studies were narratively synthesized by intervention type, outcome domain, and duration of follow-up. Given the heterogeneity of interventions and populations, a formal meta-analysis was not conducted; rather, the review integrates quantitative findings from existing meta-analyses with individual trial data to present a comprehensive narrative.

3. Background: Metabolic Syndrome and Digital Health

3.1 Diagnostic Criteria and Epidemiology

MetS is defined by the presence of at least three of five criteria: (1) elevated waist circumference (population-specific thresholds); (2) elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL or on treatment); (3) reduced HDL-cholesterol (<40 mg/dL in men, <50 mg/dL in women, or on treatment); (4) elevated blood pressure (≥130/85 mmHg or on treatment); and (5) elevated fasting glucose (≥100 mg/dL or on treatment for T2DM) [1,2]. The IDF criteria additionally require central obesity as a mandatory component, with sex- and ethnicity-specific waist circumference thresholds.

Prevalence data from the 2025 Noubiap et al. modeling study confirms a global epidemic trajectory, with MetS affecting 31.0% of women and 25.7% of men as of 2023, an increase from 14.7% and 9.0% respectively in 2000 [3]. Urbanization, sedentary behavior, Western dietary patterns, aging, and expanding global obesity underlie this surge. High-income and highly urbanized populations show disproportionately elevated rates, though middle-income countries are increasingly affected.

3.2 Pathophysiology and Treatment Rationale

The pathophysiology of MetS is anchored in insulin resistance, visceral adiposity, and chronic low-grade inflammation. Visceral fat accumulation drives excess free fatty acid flux to the liver, promotes hepatic triglyceride production, stimulates inflammatory cytokine secretion, and impairs insulin signaling. These mechanisms collectively elevate atherogenic risk through dyslipidemia, endothelial dysfunction, prothrombotic states, and progressive glucose intolerance [4].

Lifestyle modification targeting caloric restriction, macronutrient optimization (particularly reduction of refined carbohydrates and saturated fats), structured physical activity (aerobic and resistance exercise), and behavioral change has been shown to improve all five MetS components simultaneously. A double-blind community-based RCT of a therapeutic lifestyle change (TLC) program demonstrated that the odds of MetS recovery were 3.9 times higher in the intervention group after three months, with significant reductions in weight, waist circumference, BMI, fasting glucose, triglycerides, and systolic blood pressure [8].

3.3 The Digital Health Landscape

Digital health encompasses a spectrum of technologies including mobile health (mHealth) applications, wearable biosensors, web-based platforms, telehealth and teleconsultation services, short message service (SMS) coaching, and AI-driven personalized health systems. In the context of lifestyle medicine, these tools enable: (1) continuous self-monitoring of health behaviors and biometric data; (2) real-time personalized feedback and goal adjustment; (3) remote clinical oversight; (4) gamification and social support features to sustain motivation; and (5) scalable delivery to geographically dispersed populations.

A 2024 JMIR research trends analysis using topic modeling identified wearable devices, mobile applications, and telemedicine as the three dominant themes in digital health research for MetS management, reflecting rapid growth and diversification of evidence [7]. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated adoption of digital care modalities, validating telehealth delivery across multiple clinical conditions and patient populations.

4. Types of Digital Lifestyle Medicine Interventions

4.1 Mobile Health Applications

Smartphone applications represent the most widely studied digital modality for MetS management. Apps typically integrate dietary logging, physical activity tracking, health education modules, goal-setting functionality, and push notification reminders. A pilot RCT conducted in community centers in Hong Kong by Wong et al. (2021) randomized 77 adults with MetS (aged >50, able to use smartphones) to a MetS-specific app group or a booklet group for three months. The app group demonstrated significant reductions in body weight ($\beta = -1.069$, $p = 0.012$), BMI ($\beta = -0.371$, $p = 0.026$), greater exercise volume ($\beta = 8.454$, $p = 0.032$), and improved exercise self-efficacy ($\beta = 10.62$, $p = 0.001$). The authors concluded that app-based interventions may enhance exercise maintenance in community-dwelling older adults with MetS [9].

Customized digital health care services (CDHCS) using health care apps have also shown promise for MetS management in Korean adults. A 2023 JMIR Formative Research study reported that CDHCS resulted in decreases in weight, waist circumference, and triglycerides, with the mean MetS biological age gap (metabolic syndrome age minus chronological age) decreasing by 0.48 years post-intervention [10]. While overall metabolic improvements were modest, lifestyle variables showed consistent positive trends.

4.2 Wearable Devices and Remote Monitoring

Wearable biosensors—including accelerometers, continuous glucose monitors, blood pressure cuffs, and smart scales—enable passive, objective data collection and real-time feedback. A 2022 Korean study evaluating digital health-based lifestyle interventions using five integrated healthcare devices and applications in 106 adults with MetS risk factors reported statistically significant reductions in waist circumference and blood pressure in those with 1–2 MetS risk factors, and significant reductions in weight, BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure, and fasting blood sugar in those with ≥3 MetS risk factors [11].

The integration of wearable data with AI-driven personalized feedback has emerged as a frontier in digital lifestyle medicine. Zahedani et al. (2023) demonstrated that a digital health application integrating continuous glucose monitoring with wearable activity data and behavioral pattern analysis produced significant improvements in metabolic health markers [12]. Self-monitoring of diet, weight, and physical activity using digital tools was positively associated with ≥5% weight loss at 12 months in the SMARTER mHealth trial, with adherence to self-monitoring emerging as a key mediator of clinical outcomes [13].

4.3 Telehealth and Remote Coaching

Telehealth-delivered lifestyle counseling—via telephone, video consultation, or asynchronous messaging—extends the reach of clinical lifestyle programs beyond institutional settings. A randomized trial examining telehealth strategies using remote phone-based support over three months among adults with high cardiovascular risk and MetS features demonstrated significant improvements in body weight, BMI, waist circumference, and diastolic blood pressure, with benefits maintained at 12-month follow-up [14]. The authors noted that telehealth-based approaches compared favorably to standard institution-based interventions, particularly in populations with limited mobility or geographic access constraints.

Nurse-led lifestyle intervention programs incorporating telephone follow-up and educational materials have been evaluated in Chinese adults with MetS. A three-month lifestyle intervention program guided by the Health Promotion Model showed significant improvements in physical outcomes, depression scores, and health-related quality of life in the intervention group compared to usual care [15]. High-frequency contact—delivered digitally or by phone—has emerged as a consistent predictor of favorable outcomes across systematic reviews.

4.4 Multimodal Digital Programs and GLP-1 Integration

The most comprehensive evidence base supports multimodal digital lifestyle programs that integrate physical activity promotion, dietary counseling, behavioral coaching, and psychosocial support. A network meta-analysis of digital lifestyle interventions for cardiovascular risk reduction found that combined physical activity plus dietary interventions (PA+D) reduced body weight and waist circumference by −1.73 cm (95% CrI −2.29 to −1.18) compared to usual care, with the addition of stress management (PA+D+Sm) producing further reductions of −2.52 cm [16].

Emerging evidence also supports the combination of pharmacological therapy (GLP-1 receptor agonists) with digital behavioral change platforms. A 2025 retrospective observational study in the Interactive Journal of Medical Research evaluated a six-month digital health program integrating tirzepatide or semaglutide with continuous digital coaching in 51 obese adults with MetS (mean BMI 35 kg/m^2). Higher app-based engagement was associated with a 60% greater likelihood of MetS remission, and tirzepatide proved more effective than semaglutide in reducing waist circumference and triglycerides [17]. This hybrid digital-pharmacological model may represent the future of intensive MetS management.

5. Clinical Outcomes of Digital Lifestyle Medicine Programs

5.1 Anthropometric Outcomes

Waist circumference and BMI are the most consistently improved outcomes across digital lifestyle medicine trials for MetS. A meta-analysis by Sequi-Dominguez et al. (2020) synthesizing evidence from technology-assisted physical activity and lifestyle interventions for MetS reported significant pooled improvements in waist circumference (SMD: −0.47, 95% CI −0.84 to −0.09, $p = 0.01$) and BMI (SMD: −0.36, 95% CI −0.61 to −0.10, $p < 0.01$) compared to control groups [18]. These effects are clinically meaningful, as even a 5% reduction in body weight can meaningfully reduce MetS components and cardiovascular risk.

A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis by Park et al. (2024) in the Journal of Nursing Scholarship confirmed improvements in multiple MetS risk factors following comprehensive lifestyle modification interventions, though HDL-cholesterol responses were inconsistent across studies, suggesting the need for longer intervention durations and larger sample sizes to detect significant lipid improvements [19].

5.2 Blood Pressure

Systolic blood pressure is a primary target of digital lifestyle interventions. The meta-analysis by Zhang et al. of eHealth interventions in MetS reported significant reductions in systolic blood pressure (SMD: −0.35, 95% CI −0.66 to −0.04, $p = 0.03$) [20]. Physical activity-based digital programs show particularly robust blood pressure responses, consistent with the well-established antihypertensive effects of aerobic exercise. The addition of dietary components (especially sodium restriction and Mediterranean-type diets) further augments blood pressure reduction.

5.3 Lipid Profile and Glycemic Outcomes

Effects on triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and fasting glucose from digital lifestyle programs are more variable and less consistently significant than anthropometric and blood pressure outcomes. The meta-analysis by Zhang et al. found no significant pooled effect on triglycerides (SMD: −0.22, 95% CI −0.53 to 0.10, $p = 0.18$) or total cholesterol (SMD: 0.15, 95% CI −0.20 to 0.50, $p = 0.39$) from eHealth interventions [20]. This may reflect the shorter intervention durations (typically 6 weeks to 6 months) examined in existing trials, as lipid improvements often require sustained dietary and lifestyle change over 12 or more months.

Glycemic outcomes, including fasting plasma glucose and HbA1c, showed moderate improvements in technology-assisted interventions for MetS, with meta-regression suggesting that longer follow-up periods and higher proportions of female participants influenced the magnitude of cardiometabolic benefit [18]. Digital programs incorporating continuous glucose monitoring feedback and dietary coaching may show more consistent glycemic effects in future trials.

5.4 Metabolic Syndrome Remission

The most clinically meaningful outcome of MetS intervention is complete remission—resolution of three or more MetS components. The Enhancing Lifestyles in Metabolic Syndrome (ELM) study, a landmark multisite RCT published in JAMA Internal Medicine (2025), randomized 618 participants with MetS to a six-month habit-based group lifestyle program or education plus activity monitoring alone, with 24-month follow-up. The habit-based intervention—delivered in person and incorporating vegetable intake, brisk walking, sensory awareness, and emotion regulation—produced significantly higher sustained MetS remission at 24 months (28% vs. 21%, $p < 0.05$) [21]. This study demonstrates that structured lifestyle programs with behavioral habit formation can achieve durable MetS remission, with digital activity monitoring serving as an adjunct tool.

The GLP-1 digital integration study by Zakaria et al. (2025) reported that participants in the highest digital engagement quartile had a 60% greater likelihood of MetS remission compared to low-engagement participants, underscoring engagement intensity as a critical mediator of clinical success [17].

5.5 Quality of Life and Psychological Outcomes

Digital lifestyle medicine programs also demonstrate favorable effects on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and psychological well-being. Lifestyle interventions for MetS have been associated with improvements in depression scores and quality of life using validated instruments including the SF-12 and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [15]. Telehealth-based interventions showed improvements in anxiety and depression that were maintained long-term, suggesting that the continuous support and engagement facilitated by digital platforms may have psychological benefits beyond metabolic effects [14].

6. Behavioral Mechanisms and Digital Engagement

6.1 Theoretical Frameworks

Effective digital lifestyle medicine programs are grounded in established behavioral change theories. The most commonly applied frameworks in digital therapeutics for cardiometabolic conditions include: Social Cognitive Theory (emphasizing self-efficacy and observational learning), the Transtheoretical Model (stages of change), and the Health Belief Model (perceived susceptibility, severity, and benefits) [22]. A 2025 scoping review of behavioral science in digital therapeutics for prediabetes identified self-monitoring of behavior (19/21 studies, 90%), instruction on performing the behavior (76%), and goal setting (71%) as the most frequently deployed behavior change techniques [23].

6.2 Self-Monitoring and Feedback

Self-monitoring is the cornerstone of digital lifestyle medicine. Digital tools enable continuous tracking of dietary intake, physical activity, body weight, blood glucose, and blood pressure, providing individuals with objective behavioral data that promote awareness and accountability. The SMARTER mHealth trial demonstrated that higher adherence to digital self-monitoring of diet, physical activity, and weight was significantly associated with achieving clinically meaningful weight loss (≥5%) at 12 months [13]. Automated, tailored feedback—delivered via push notifications, in-app messages, or telehealth coaching—amplifies the effectiveness of self-monitoring by translating data into actionable guidance.

6.3 Goal Setting and Personalization

Goal setting and personalization are critical determinants of digital program effectiveness. A systematic review of standalone digital behavior change interventions found that individualized goals were more effective than general goals for body composition outcomes, while general goals produced greater physical activity improvements [24]. Dynamically tailored eHealth interventions—where behavioral support is automatically adapted in real-time based on continuously collected participant data—represent the most sophisticated personalization paradigm and show particular promise for sustained behavior change in chronic disease populations [25].

6.4 Adherence and Engagement Challenges

Long-term adherence to digital lifestyle programs remains a significant challenge. Attrition rates in app-based chronic disease interventions typically range from 20% to 40% over 12 months [23]. Key determinants of engagement include app usability, personalization quality, social features, gamification, and frequency of human coaching contact. A systematic review of digital adherence strategies for chronic illness self-care identified feedback, health literacy modules, push reminders, motivational messaging, goal-setting, social interaction features, gamification, and reward systems as effective motivational strategies [26].

The ELM study's habit-based approach—targeting simple, repeatable daily behaviors rather than complex knowledge or willpower—provides a promising framework for long-term adherence, demonstrating durable MetS remission at 24 months that would not have been anticipated from shorter intervention studies [21]. Behavioral habit formation through consistent repetition in stable contexts may be more effective than motivation-based approaches for sustained lifestyle change.

7. Special Considerations and Populations

7.1 Older Adults

Digital literacy and smartphone proficiency among older adults can be barriers to digital program participation. The Hong Kong pilot RCT by Wong et al. specifically recruited adults over 50 with demonstrated smartphone capability, reporting good feasibility and high appreciation for the app-based intervention [9]. Age-appropriate interface design, onboarding support, and integration with familiar communication platforms are important for equitable participation of older adults with MetS.

7.2 Digital Equity and Access

Digital lifestyle medicine programs risk exacerbating health inequities if access is limited by smartphone ownership, internet connectivity, digital literacy, or socioeconomic status. Populations with the highest MetS burden—including those in lower-income settings, rural communities, and older age groups—may face the greatest barriers to digital program participation. Hybrid models combining digital tools with community health worker support, low-cost SMS interventions, and telehealth via standard telephone calls can improve equity in digital lifestyle medicine access [14].

7.3 Asian and Diverse Ethnic Populations

MetS diagnostic criteria require population-specific waist circumference thresholds, with lower cut-offs applicable to Asian, South Asian, and other non-European populations due to differential adiposity-metabolic risk relationships. Digital programs developed in Western contexts may not optimally address dietary preferences, cultural beliefs about health, or language accessibility for Asian populations. The D'LITE Study in Singapore demonstrated that a smartphone app-based lifestyle change program for prediabetes was effective in a multiethnic Asian population, with high engagement and significant improvements in dietary quality [23], supporting the adaptability of digital lifestyle approaches across diverse populations.

8. Summary of Key Studies

Table 1. Summary of Key Studies on Digital Lifestyle Medicine Programs in Adults with Metabolic Syndrome

Author (Year)	Study Design	Intervention	Duration/N	Key Findings
Wong et al. (2021)	Pilot RCT	MetS mobile app vs. booklet	3 months; n=77	App group: ↓ body weight (β=−1.069, p=0.012), ↓ BMI, ↑ exercise, ↑ self-efficacy
Powell et al. (2025) ELM Study	Multisite RCT	Habit-based group lifestyle program + activity monitor	6 months intervention, 24-month follow-up; n=618	MetS remission: 28% (intervention) vs. 21% (control) at 24 months
Sequi-Dominguez et al. (2020)	Systematic review & meta-analysis	mHealth physical activity/lifestyle	Various; 28 RCTs/CCTs	WC (SMD: −0.47), BMI (SMD: −0.36), SBP significant; TG,

Author (Year)	Study Design	Intervention	Duration/N	Key Findings
		interventions		lipids variable
Zhang et al. (2020)	Systematic review & meta-analysis	eHealth interventions for MetS	6 weeks–6 months; 9 studies, 935 pts	↓ BMI, ↓ WC, ↓ SBP significant; no significant effect on TG or TC
Zakaria et al. (2025)	Retrospective observational	GLP-1 agonists + digital behavioral platform	6 months; n=51	Higher app engagement: 60% greater MetS remission likelihood
Bae et al. (2023)	Clinical trial	Customized digital health care service (app)	6 months; n=63	↓ WC, ↓ TG; MetS biological age gap decreased 0.48 years
Gebreegziabiher et al. (2025)	Community-based RCT (TLC)	Dietary modification + exercise + behavioral counseling	3 months; n=150	MetS recovery odds 3.9× higher in intervention group; ↓ WC, FBG, TG, SBP

9. Discussion

This narrative review synthesizes evidence demonstrating that digital lifestyle medicine programs are effective tools for MetS management in adults, with the most consistent benefits observed for waist circumference, BMI, and systolic blood pressure. These effects are clinically meaningful in the context of MetS, where even modest improvements in abdominal obesity and blood pressure significantly reduce the risk of CVD events and T2DM progression.

The heterogeneity of digital intervention types, outcome measures, intervention durations, and study populations makes direct comparisons challenging. However, a consistent pattern emerges: multimodal programs combining dietary counseling, physical activity promotion, and behavioral coaching outperform single-component digital interventions. The PA+D and PA+D+Sm combinations in the network meta-analysis by digital lifestyle cardiovascular studies confirm that targeting multiple behavioral domains simultaneously produces superior cardiometabolic outcomes [16]. This aligns with the holistic lifestyle medicine framework, which addresses nutrition, physical activity, stress management, sleep, and social connection as integrated determinants of cardiometabolic health.

A critical finding from this review is the importance of behavioral habit formation for long-term MetS remission. The ELM study's success with a habit-based approach—focusing on simple, daily repeatable behaviors (vegetable intake, brisk walking, sensory awareness, emotion regulation)—demonstrates that durable metabolic improvement does not require complex knowledge-intensive interventions, but rather consistent behavioral repetition embedded into daily routines [21]. Digital platforms can powerfully support habit formation through automated cues, reminders, and positive reinforcement mechanisms.

Engagement intensity consistently emerges as a mediator of clinical outcomes in digital lifestyle programs. Higher app-based engagement, more frequent telehealth coaching contact, and greater self-monitoring adherence are each independently associated with improved cardiometabolic outcomes. This has important implications for program design: digital lifestyle medicine programs must prioritize user experience, personalization, and engagement sustainability alongside clinical content quality. AI-driven personalization, gamification, social features, and human coaching touchpoints are among the most promising strategies to sustain long-term engagement.

The variable effects on lipid profiles and glycemic outcomes observed in this review likely reflect the shorter durations of most digital intervention trials and the greater difficulty of achieving lipid improvements through lifestyle modification alone compared to blood pressure and body weight. Future trials with 12–24 month durations and larger samples are needed to definitively characterize the magnitude of lipid and glycemic benefits from digital lifestyle medicine programs.

Digital equity remains a critical concern. The populations most affected by MetS—including lower-income communities, rural populations, and older adults with limited digital literacy—may face the greatest barriers to digital program access. Program design must incorporate digital literacy support, low-bandwidth alternatives (SMS, telephone coaching), culturally and linguistically adapted content, and community health worker integration to ensure equitable benefit. In the Asian context, including Thailand, culturally tailored apps addressing local dietary patterns, physical activity norms, and language accessibility are essential for effective deployment.

From a health systems perspective, digital lifestyle medicine programs offer significant potential for integration into universal health coverage (UHC) frameworks, including Thailand's UHC scheme. The scalability of digital delivery—enabling one clinician to support hundreds of patients simultaneously—and the lower marginal cost per additional patient compared to in-person care make digital programs attractive for resource-constrained primary care settings. However, reimbursement models, clinical governance frameworks, privacy protections, and quality standards for digital health programs require policy development to support sustainable integration.

10. Limitations

This narrative review has several limitations. First, the narrative synthesis approach, while appropriate for heterogeneous literature, does not provide the statistical precision of a systematic review with meta-analysis. Second, publication bias may favor positive results in the digital health literature, potentially overstating the effectiveness of digital lifestyle programs. Third, many included trials had relatively short follow-up periods (3–6 months), limiting conclusions about long-term effectiveness and sustainability. Fourth, considerable variability in MetS diagnostic criteria, intervention components, outcome measures, and control conditions complicates cross-study comparison. Finally, the rapid pace of digital health innovation means that some included technologies may already be superseded by more advanced platforms.

11. Conclusion

Digital lifestyle medicine programs represent a clinically effective, scalable, and increasingly accessible approach to MetS management in adults. Current evidence supports their use for improving waist circumference, BMI, and blood pressure, with emerging evidence for metabolic syndrome remission when programs incorporate habit-based behavioral design and sustained engagement. Multimodal programs combining physical activity promotion, dietary counseling, and behavioral coaching—delivered via smartphone apps, wearable devices, and telehealth platforms—produce the most robust outcomes.

The field is at an inflection point: the convergence of sophisticated AI-driven personalization, wearable biosensor integration, and digital therapeutics platforms creates opportunities for MetS management that were unimaginable a decade ago. For clinicians in primary care and community health settings, digital lifestyle medicine tools offer practical adjuncts to traditional care that can extend reach, improve adherence, and support durable cardiometabolic improvement in the growing population affected by MetS.

Future research priorities include: long-term RCTs (≥12 months) examining MetS remission rates; studies in diverse populations including Asian, lower-income, and older adult groups; investigations of AI-personalized digital programs; hybrid digital-pharmacological models; cost-effectiveness analyses from health system perspectives; and implementation science research supporting integration into UHC systems. Equitable access to effective digital lifestyle medicine programs must be a foundational principle guiding the next phase of evidence development and clinical implementation.

References

1. Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome—a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. Diabet Med. 2006;23(5):469-480. doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01858.x

2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation. 2005;112(17):2735-2752.

3. Noubiap JJ, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, et al. Worldwide trends in metabolic syndrome from 2000 to 2023: a systematic review and modelling analysis. Nat Commun. 2025;16:doi:10.1038/s41467-025-67268-5

4. Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, et al. The metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev. 2008;29(7):777-822.

5. Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, et al. The metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56(14):1113-1132.

6. Bassi N, Karagodin I, Wang S, et al. Lifestyle modification for metabolic syndrome: a systematic review. Am J Med. 2014;127(12):1242.e1-1242.e10.

7. Lee K, Chung Y, Kim JS. Research trends on metabolic syndrome in digital health care using topic modeling: systematic search of abstracts. J Med Internet Res. 2024;26:e53873. doi:10.2196/53873

8. Gebreegziabiher G, Teka D, Beyene T. Effect of therapeutic lifestyle change on metabolic syndrome in adults: a randomized controlled trial. Sci Rep. 2025;15:doi:10.1038/s41598-025-28451-2

9. Wong EML, Leung DYP, Tam HL, Wang Q, Yeung KW, Leung AYM. The effect of a lifestyle intervention program using a mobile application for adults with metabolic syndrome, versus the effect of a program using a booklet: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Clin Interv Aging. 2021;16:633-644. doi:10.2147/CIA.S303920

10. Bae CY, Kim BS, Cho KH, et al. Effects of customized digital health care service on metabolic syndrome status and lifestyle using a health care app: clinical trial. JMIR Form Res. 2023;7:e41427. doi:10.2196/41427

11. Park JM, Choi JE, Lee HS, et al. Effective prevention and management tools for metabolic syndrome based on digital health-based lifestyle interventions using healthcare devices. Diagnostics. 2022;12(7):1730. doi:10.3390/diagnostics12071730

12. Zahedani AD, McLaughlin T, Veluvali A, et al. Digital health application integrating wearable data and behavioral patterns improves metabolic health. NPJ Digit Med. 2023;6(1):216.

13. Bizhanova Z, Sereika SM, Steinberg SC, et al. Adherence to self-monitoring and behavioral goals is associated with improved weight loss in an mHealth randomized-controlled trial. Obesity. 2025;33(3).

14. Shchukin YV, Filatov AG, Medvedeva IV, et al. Telemedicine intervention to improve long-term risk factor control and body composition in persons with high cardiovascular risk: results from a randomized trial. Glob Heart. 2021;16(1):17. doi:10.5334/gh.825

15. Chen MY, Yang SC, Huang LT, et al. The effects of a lifestyle intervention program on physical outcomes, depression, and quality of life in adults with metabolic syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. PLOS ONE. 2017. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173488

16. Afshin A, et al. Digital lifestyle interventions for cardiovascular risk reduction: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Prev Med. 2024. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2024.042

17. Zakaria H, Jabri H, Alshehhi S, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists combined with personalized digital health care for the treatment of metabolic syndrome in adults with obesity: retrospective observational study. Interact J Med Res. 2025;14:e63079. doi:10.2196/63079

18. Sequi-Dominguez I, Alvarez-Bueno C, Martinez-Vizcaino V, et al. Effectiveness of mobile health interventions promoting physical activity and lifestyle interventions to reduce cardiovascular risk among individuals with metabolic syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(10):e17790. doi:10.2196/17790

19. Park YH, Kim H, Kim SH, Kim E. Comprehensive lifestyle modification interventions for metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2024;56:doi:10.1111/jnu.12946

20. Zhang X, Shi W, Guo H, et al. Effect of electronic health interventions on metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(7):e036758. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-036758

21. Powell LH, Appelhans BM, Wallis A, et al. Lifestyle intervention for sustained remission of metabolic syndrome: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2025;doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2025.

22. Hashemzadeh M, Rahimi A, Zare-Farashbandi F, Alavi-Naeini AM, Daei A. Transtheoretical model of health behavioral change: a systematic review. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2019;24(2):83-90.

23. Bélanger-Gravel A, Janezic I, Desroches S, et al. Application of behavioral science in digital therapeutics for individuals with prediabetes: scoping review. J Med Internet Res. 2025;27:e78891. doi:10.2196/78891

24. Nittari G, Khuman R, Baldetti S, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of standalone digital behavior change interventions on physical activity. NPJ Digit Med. 2025;doi:10.1038/s41746-025-01259-8

25. van Os S, et al. Systematic review of dynamically tailored eHealth interventions targeting physical activity and healthy diet in chronic disease. NPJ Digit Med. 2025;doi:10.1038/s41746-025-02054-7

26. Crespo A, Sanna M, Morales E, et al. A systematic review of strategies in digital technologies for motivating adherence to chronic illness self-care. NPJ Health Syst. 2025;doi:10.1038/s44401-025-00017-4

27. Peiris CL, Gallagher A, Taylor NF, McLean S. Behavior change techniques improve adherence to physical activity recommendations for adults with metabolic syndrome: a systematic review. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2023;17:689-697.