

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56834

Title: Evaluating the Impact of Reservation on Knowledge Productivity in Indian Higher Education.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....YES.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper aims to Evaluate the Impact of Reservation on Knowledge Productivity in Indian Higher Education. In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample, results, etc., Also, the keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and but has not given a detailed background effectively. Also the citations are not given properly. The Methodology is not given in a proper way in which research questions or objectives are **not** given properly. Results are also not given properly and the discussion is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these minor changes.

Reason for Minor changes

The researcher has made link of introduction with the title but has not given a detailed background effectively. Also The citations are **not** given properly. The Methodology is not given in a proper way in which the research questions or objectives are **not** given properly. Results are also not given properly and the discussion section is not in detail. No comparison of results with the findings of previous researches is given in this manuscript. Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted only after these minor changes.

Abstract

In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. has been mentioned properly. Also, the keywords are given properly.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title but has not given a detailed background effectively. Also The citations are **not** given properly. These should be provided.

Methodology

Methodology is not given in a proper way in this manuscript. Also, the researcher has not mentioned the research questions or objectives properly in this manuscript.

Results

The result section is not given in a proper way in this manuscript. The findings are not given in detail with narrative or statistical proofs.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is not given properly and the researcher has not made comparisons of results with previous studies. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications has not been provided by the researcher by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and some important things have been mentioned properly but some are missing. Thus, this manuscript is accepted only after making these minor changes mentioned above.