

1 **Electrocardiographic manifestations of COVID-19 infection in India**

2 **Abstract**

3 **Background**

4 Patients admitted for COVID-19 have cardiac problems, require extended hospital stay, and
5 have higher rates of mortality. The current retrospective study aims to understand the burden
6 and pattern of ECG abnormalities at admission among patients with COVID-19 attending a
7 tertiary care centre in Eastern India.

8 **Methodology**

9 The current retrospective study included adult patients who tested positive for COVID-19 in
10 a tertiary care centre in East India. The demographic parameters included age, gender,
11 and history of co-morbidities. The patients were categorized into four groups and compared
12 according to the number of co-morbidities (Cb0 vs Cb1 vs Cb2 vs Cb \geq 3). $P < 0.05$ was
13 considered to be statistically significant.

14 **Results**

15 A total of 215 patients were enrolled in the study, with a mean age of 58.2 ± 9.3 years, and
16 56% were between 30-60 years of age. Two-thirds of the population had at least one co-
17 morbidity. One, two and more than two co-morbidities were observed in 40.5%, 20.0%, and
18 6.5% of the study population, respectively. Almost all (96%) had ECG anomalies. Sinus
19 tachycardia was the most common electrocardiographic anomaly (61.9%), followed by sinus
20 bradycardia (16.7%) and atrial fibrillation (14.4%). Compared to those with no co-
21 morbidities, the prevalence of STEMI was significantly higher in those with two or more co-
22 morbidities (1.4% vs 14%; $P < 0.0001$).

23 **Conclusion**

24 Our study shows the prevalence and trends of ECG abnormalities among East Indian patients
25 diagnosed with COVID-19. It underscores the need for broader pan-Indian investigations into
26 the relationship between ECG anomalies, patient prognosis, and outcomes related to COVID-
27 19.

28 **Keywords:** COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, ECG, Sinus tachycardia, Atrial fibrillation

29 **Introduction**

30 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), considered a “public health emergency of
31 international concern,” is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-
32 CoV-2) infection. It utilizes the Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a functional
33 receptor for cellular entry.¹

34 The ACE2 functional receptor in the cardiomyocytes, cardiac fibroblasts and coronary artery
35 endothelial cells of the heart acts as an important endogenous antagonist of the
36 renin-angiotensin system and provides cardiovascular protection.²SARS-CoV-2 may exploit
37 this pathway to invade and damage myocardial cells. COVID-19 also indirectly affects the
38 myocardium by increasing cytokine activity, further increasing cardiac demand.³Previous
39 studies have observed that up to 40% of patients admitted due to COVID-19 have cardiac
40 problems.⁴ The presence of cardiac problems in COVID-19 patients was associated with
41 significantly poor prognosis, extended duration of hospital stay and higher rates of mortality.⁵

42 Given the morbidity and mortality associated with the condition, it is important to assess and
43 monitor for cardiac abnormalities in patients with COVID-19 for early detection and timely
44 intervention. These cardiac abnormalities may potentiate cardiac injuries that might be
45 detected as various patterns in an electrocardiogram.⁶ Adding to this, the rapidity, widespread
46 availability, cheap cost, and remote interpretability, the conventional 12-lead
47 electrocardiogram (ECG) may play a significant role in screening and prognosis of cardiac
48 involvement in COVID-19 patients.⁷

49 Several studies have described the involvement of arrhythmia among COVID-19 patients in
50 India.^{6,8}The current retrospective study adds to the existing studies on the burden and pattern
51 of ECG abnormalities at admission among patients with COVID-19 attending a tertiary care
52 centre in Eastern India.

53

54 **Methodology**

55 The current retrospective study involved adult patients attending a tertiary care centre who
56 tested positive for COVID-19 (tested via real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain
57 reaction from nasal or pharyngeal swab specimens). Patients who had incomplete records
58 were excluded from the study. All participating patients provided informed consent.

59 **Echocardiogram assessment**

60 All patients had a 12-lead ECG performed⁸ at the time of admission. Clinicians blinded to the
61 study design and clinical status of the patients, reviewed and interpreted it.

62

63 **Data collection and analysis**

64 The data was collected and stored in an Excel sheet. The demographic parameters included
65 age, gender, and history of co-morbidities such as heart failure (HF), Diabetes Mellitus,
66 Hypertension, and Coronary Artery Disease (CAD). The recorded types of ECG anomalies
67 encompassed atrial fibrillation, Supraventricular Tachycardia, Ventricular Fibrillation, Non-
68 sustained Ventricular Tachycardia, Atrial Flutter, sinus Tachycardia, Ventricular Tachycardia,
69 sinus Bradycardia, Atrioventricular Block, STElevated Myocardial Infarction, and Non-
70 STElevated Myocardial Infarction. The patients were categorized into four groups according
71 to the number of co-morbidities (Cb0, Cb1, Cb2, Cb \geq 3) and compared for differences in the
72 prevalence of ECG anomalies.

73

74 **Statistical analysis**

75 Categorical variables were expressed as frequency (%), and continuous variables were
76 expressed as mean \pm SD. For categorical variables, test for proportions was used to compare
77 for statistical significance between the groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically
78 significant.

79

80 **Results**

81 A total of 215 patients were enrolled in the study, and the demographics and clinical
82 characteristics are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 58.2 ± 9.3 years,
83 with the majority being 30-60 years of age (55.8%) and 75.6% being men. In the current
84 study, two-thirds of the population had at least one co-morbidity (Table 1). One, two and
85 more than two co-morbidities were observed in 40.5%, 20.0%, and 6.5% of the study
86 population, respectively (Table 1). Diabetes (39.5%) and hypertension (37.2%) were the most
87 common co-morbidities. CAD and HF were present in 14.9% and 9.8%, respectively (Table
88 1).

89 With respect to ECG investigations, 96% of the study had one or more ECG anomalies (Table
90 1). Over half of the individuals (61.9%) had one anomaly, around a quarter (24%) had two
91 anomalies, and approximately 12% had more than two anomalies. Of all the anomalies, sinus
92 tachycardia was the most common electrocardiographic anomaly (61.9%), followed by sinus
93 bradycardia (16.7%) and atrial fibrillation (14.4%). Comparison of the distribution of
94 anomalies in individuals with no co-morbidity, one, two or more than two co-morbidities
95 revealed a significantly higher prevalence of STEMI among those with more than two co-
96 morbidities (Table 2).

97

98 **Discussion**

99 COVID-19 is associated with cardiovascular and ECG anomalies, which have been
100 associated with poor prognosis, extended duration of hospital stay and higher rates of
101 mortality. The current study observed ECG anomalies in almost all (~96%) of the population.
102 Sinus tachycardia was the most common electrocardiographic anomaly, followed by sinus
103 bradycardia and atrial fibrillation. Mortality was observed in only 1.3% of the population.

104 Among the study participants, the majority were within the age of 30-60 years of age (55.8%)
105 and about 75% were men (Table 1). Galidevara et al., in their study, aimed to understand the
106 associations between ECG findings at admission and patient prognosis in Indian COVID-19
107 patients, observed that the majority of patients were men (~70%) and in the age group of 31-
108 50 years (~40%).⁸ Another study by Kaliyaperumal et al., also observed the majority of
109 individuals to be between 30 and 60 years of age.⁹ This highlights the burden of COVID-19
110 on the middle-aged population in India and can be attributed to the fact that they are of
111 working age with high mobility and numerous interpersonal interactions.

112 Specific co-morbidities in COVID-19 patients have been associated with increased risk of
113 infection with worse lung injury and poor patient outcomes. In the current study, two-thirds
114 of the population had at least one co-morbidity. One, two and more than two co-morbidities
115 were observed in 40.5%, 20.0%, and 6.5% of the study population, respectively. Among those
116 with co-morbidities, diabetes mellitus was the most common co-morbidity (39.5%). Previous
117 studies on COVID-19 suggest that 20–37% of Indian COVID-19 patients have diabetes.^{7,8} In
118 patients with diabetes, a membrane-bound protease named Furin is elevated. Furin interacts
119 with ACE-2 receptors and pre-activates the viral spike protein, thus facilitating the entry of

120 SARS-CoV-2 into cells.¹⁰ Therefore, patients with diabetes are at an increased risk of
121 acquiring the infection, its progression and worsened outcomes.

122 Another important co-morbidity of COVID-19 in the current study was hypertension
123 (37.2%), similar to the prevalence observed in previous studies (28-31%).^{7,8} Angiotensin
124 receptor blockers and ACE-2 inhibitors are treatments commonly used to manage
125 hypertension. These drugs may also upregulate ACE2 receptor expression and increase
126 susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, uncontrolled blood pressure is
127 associated with an increased risk of case fatality. Therefore, achieving optimal blood pressure
128 levels may play a key role in reducing the risk of fatality among COVID-19 patients with
129 hypertension.¹⁰

130 With respect to ECG investigations, 96% of the study had one or more ECG
131 anomalies. Previous studies have observed the prevalence of ECG abnormalities in COVID-
132 19, ranging from 33% to 81% among Indian patients.^{7,8} The increased prevalence of ECG
133 abnormalities may be attributed to differences in the population characteristics included in the
134 study.

135 In the current study, more than half (61.9%) had one anomaly, about one-fourth (24%) had
136 two anomalies, and about 12% had more than two anomalies. Of all the anomalies, sinus
137 tachycardia was the most common electrocardiographic anomaly (61.9%), followed by sinus
138 bradycardia (16.7%) and atrial fibrillation (14.4%). The mortality rate of patients in the group
139 was 1.4%. Kaliyaperumal et al., in their study, also observed sinus tachycardia (23.8%), sinus
140 bradycardia (12.7%), and atrial arrhythmia (3.5%) to be the most common presentations of
141 ECG abnormalities.⁷ In their narrative systematic review, Brit Long also mentions sinus
142 tachycardia as the commonest ECG abnormality in COVID patients.¹¹ COVID-19 symptoms
143 such as fever, hypovolemia, hypoxia, pain, anxiety, and hypoperfusion lead to intrinsic sinus
144 node hyperactivity, autonomic dysfunction and a hyperadrenergic state.¹² This can affect
145 myocardial ion channel function and exacerbate tachycardia, leading to Sinus tachycardia.

146 Our study observed that the prevalence of STEMI was higher among those with two or more
147 co-morbidities (Table 1). A large-scale registry analysis observed that patients with COVID-
148 19 are at an increased risk for cardiovascular disease, including ischemic and non-ischemic,
149 cerebrovascular, dysrhythmias, heart failure and thromboembolic disease. A precise diagnosis
150 of STEMI is difficult since COVID-19 can cause myocarditis, coronary spasm, and stress

151 cardiomyopathy, all mimicking STEMI.¹³ Therefore, longitudinal studies may be needed to
152 shine more light on the incidence of STEMI among COVID-19 patients.¹⁴

153 **Limitations**

154 Small sample size and missing data on variables such as presenting symptoms are the
155 limitations of the study.

156

157 **Conclusion**

158 This study highlights the burden and patterns of ECG anomalies in East Indian patients with
159 COVID-19. This lends scope for pan-Indian studies on the associations between ECG
160 anomalies, prognosis and outcomes of patients with COVID-19.

161

162 **Acknowledgements:**Nil

163 **Funding:**Nil

164 **Conflict of interest:**Nil

165

166 **References**

- 167 1. World Health Organization (2020) Rolling Updates on Coronavirus Disease (COVID-
168 19). Events as They Happen. Available at:
169 [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen)
170 [happen](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/events-as-they-happen)
- 171 2. Zhu H, Zhang L, Ma Y, Zhai M, Xia L, Liu J, et al. The role of SARS-CoV-2 target
172 ACE2 in cardiovascular diseases. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2021;25(3):1342-1349.
- 173 3. Kwong JC, Schwartz KL, Campitelli MA, Chung H, Crowcroft NS, Karnauchow T, et
174 al. Acute myocardial infarction after laboratory-confirmed influenza infection. *N Engl*
175 *J Med.* 2018;378:345–353.
- 176 4. Madjid M, Safavi-Naeini P, Solomon SD, Vardeny O. Potential effects of
177 coronaviruses on the cardiovascular system: a review. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5:831-
178 840.

- 179 5. Jabbari L, Hayati S, Azizkhani L, Tavakol J. Association of electrocardiographic
180 abnormalities and COVID-19 clinical outcomes. *J Electrocardiol.* 2023;78:76-9.
- 181 6. Mehraeen E, Alinaghi SA, Nowroozi A, Dadras O, Alilou S, Shobeiri P, et al. A
182 systematic review of ECG findings in patients with COVID-19. *Indian Heart J.*
183 2020;72:500-7.
- 184 7. Kaliyaperumal D, Bhargavi K, Ramaraju K, Nair KS, Ramalingam S, Alagesan M.
185 Electrocardiographic changes in COVID-19 patients: A hospital-based descriptive
186 study. *Indian J Crit Care Med.* 2022;26:43.
- 187 8. Galidevara J, Veeramani Kartheek AS. Electrocardiographic findings in COVID-19
188 patients. *Int J Res Med Sci.* 2021;9:378-385.
- 189 9. Taberner E, Ruiz LA, España PP, Méndez R, Serrano L, Santos B, et al. COVID-19
190 in young and middle-aged adults: predictors of poor outcome and clinical differences.
191 *Infection.* 2022;50:179-89.
- 192 10. Ejaz H, Alsrhani A, Zafar A, Javed H, Junaid K, Abdalla AE, et al. COVID-19 and
193 comorbidities: Deleterious impact on infected patients. *Journal of infection and public
194 health.* 2020;13:1833-9.
- 195 11. Long B, Brady WJ, Bridwell RE, Ramzy M, Montrieff T, Singh M, et al.
196 Electrocardiographic manifestations of COVID-19. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2021;41:96-
197 103.
- 198 12. Angeli F, Reboldi G, Spanevello A, De Ponti R, Visca D, Marazzato J, et al.
199 Electrocardiographic features of patients with COVID-19: One year of unexpected
200 manifestations. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2022;95:7-12.
- 201 13. Xie Y, Xu E, Bowe B, Al-Aly Z. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes of COVID-19.
202 *Nat med.* 2022;28:583-90.
- 203 14. Terzic CM, Medina-Inojosa BJ. Cardiovascular complications of coronavirus disease-
204 2019. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2023;34:551-61.

205

206 **Table 1:** Demographics and clinical characteristics

Total Number of population (N)	n/N (%)
Age Distribution	215(58.2±9.3)
Young adult (<30)	0/215 (0.0%)
Mid Age adult (30-60)	120/215 (55.8%)
Old (≥60)	95/215 (44.2%)
Gender Distribution	
Male	164/215 (76.3%)
Female	51/215 (23.7%)
Co-morbidities	
Individuals with no co-morbidities	71/215 (33.0%)
Hypertension	80/215 (37.2%)
Diabetes Mellitus	85/215 (39.5%)
Coronary artery disease (CAD)	32/215 (14.9%)
Heart failure (HF)	21/215 (9.8%)
Individuals with only one co-morbidity	87/215 (40.5 %)
Individuals with two co-morbidities	43/215 (20.0%)
Individuals with three or more co-morbidities	14/215 (6.5 %)
ECG investigation	
One anomaly only	133/215 (61.9%)
Two anomalies	54/215 (25.1%)
Three anomalies	17/215 (7.9%)

Four anomalies	9/215 (4.2%)
Five anomalies	2/215 (0.9%)
Atrial fibrillation (AF)	31/215 (14.4%)
Atrial flutter (AFL)	19/215 (8.8%)
Supraventricular tachycardia (SVT)	22/215 (10.2%)
Non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT)	25/215 (11.6%)
Ventricular tachycardia (VT)	16/215 (7.4%)
Ventricular fibrillation (VF)	16/215 (7.4%)
Sinus tachycardia	133/215 (61.9%)
Sinus bradycardia	36/215 (16.7%)
Atrioventricular block	18/215 (8.4%)
STEMI	14/215 (6.5%)
NSTEMI	8/215 (3.7%)
Mortality	3/215 (1.4%)

207

208

209 **Table 2: Comparison of the distribution of anomalies in individuals with different co-**
 210 **morbidity profiles**

	Cb0	Cb1	Cb2	Cb≥3	P-value
Atrial fibrillation (AF)	10/71(14.1%)	11/87 (12.6%)	5/43 (11.6%)	5/14 (35.7%)	0.4382
Atrial flutter (AFL)	2/71 (2.8%)	10/87 (11.5%)	4/43 (9.3%)	3/14 (21.4%)	0.0813
Supraventricular tachycardia (SVT)	4/71 (5.6%)	11/87 (12.6%)	4/43(9.3%)	3/14 (21.4%)	0.3187
Non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT)	2/71 (2.8%)	16/87 (18.4%)	7/43 (16.3%)	0/14 (0.0%)	NA
Ventricular tachycardia (VT)	6/71 (8.5%)	5/87 (5.7%)	2/43 (4.7%)	3/14 (21.4%)	0.6802
Ventricular fibrillation (VF)	4/71 (5.6%)	6/87 (6.9%)	4/43 (9.3%)	2/14 (14.3%)	0.6725
Sinus tachycardia	46/71 (64.8%)	49/87 (56.3%)	30/43 (69.8%)	8/14 (57.1%)	0.4469
Sinus bradycardia	11/71 (15.5%)	18/87 (20.7%)	5/43 (11.6%)	2/14 (14.3%)	0.5893
Atrioventricular block	8/71 (11.3%)	5/87 (5.7%)	4/43 (9.3%)	1/14 (7.1%)	0.6518
ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction	1/71 (1.4%)	2/87 (2.3%)	6/43 (14.0%)	5/14 (35.7%)	<0.0001
Non – ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction	1/71 (1.4%)	3/87 (3.4%)	2/43 (4.7%)	2/14 (14.3%)	0.1361

211