

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56717

Title: Illiteracy and Propaganda: Mechanisms of Manipulation and Indoctrination in George Orwell's Animal Farm

Recommendation:

Accept as it is
 Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	...			
Techn. Quality	...			
Clarity		...		
Significance	...			

Reviewer's ID: JPR-180

Detailed Reviewer's Report

The paper titled "Illiteracy and Propaganda: Mechanisms of Manipulation and Indoctrination in Animal Farm" presents a compelling literary and historical analysis by employing a New Historicist framework. The study sets out to explore how illiteracy and propaganda function as tools of control within the novel while drawing parallels to real historical events, particularly the Russian Revolution. The abstract clearly establishes the central argument and research focus, emphasizing the cyclical nature of power and oppression. It is concise and effective, though it could be enhanced by briefly indicating the key findings or conclusions to give readers a clearer sense of the paper's contribution. The introduction is engaging and intellectually grounded, beginning with the significance of education as a transformative force and gradually moving toward its misuse as a tool of indoctrination. By referencing historical examples such as Nazi Germany and Stalinist Russia, the paper successfully situates the literary text within a broader socio-political context. The transition to George Orwell and his critique of authoritarian regimes is smooth and purposeful. However, at times the introduction becomes slightly descriptive, and a more direct articulation of the research gap and objectives would strengthen its academic focus.

The literature review demonstrates a solid engagement with existing scholarship, incorporating perspectives from historians, political theorists, and philosophers. The discussion of Marxist ideology, Soviet education policies, and indoctrination theories provides a strong theoretical grounding for the study. The integration of thinkers like Marx, Engels, and Freire enriches the argument and shows interdisciplinary awareness. However, the review occasionally leans toward summarizing sources rather than critically evaluating them. A clearer synthesis of how these works collectively support the paper's thesis would improve coherence and analytical depth. The theoretical framework is one of the strongest sections of the paper. The use of Althusser's concept of Ideological State Apparatuses and Freire's notion of dehumanization provides a robust lens through which to analyze the mechanisms of control in the novel. The paper effectively connects these theories to the events in Animal Farm, illustrating how education, media, and culture can be manipulated to maintain dominance. This section successfully bridges theory and text, although it could benefit from more explicit connections to the New Historicist approach mentioned earlier. The discussion section is detailed and insightful, offering a close reading of the novel alongside historical parallels. The analysis of characters such as Napoleon and Snowball, and their correspondence to historical figures like Stalin and Trotsky, is well-articulated. The paper convincingly demonstrates how propaganda, fear, and manipulation are used to consolidate power. The inclusion of references to another of Orwell's works, Nineteen Eighty-Four, adds depth to the argument and highlights recurring themes in Orwell's writing. The discussion of concepts like Newspeak and Doublethink is particularly effective in illustrating how language can shape and distort reality. However, the section is quite extensive and occasionally repetitive, which slightly affects the overall clarity and focus.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

The conclusion effectively summarizes the main arguments and reiterates the importance of education and critical thinking in resisting manipulation and indoctrination. It underscores the dangers of illiteracy and blind obedience, aligning well with the overall thesis of the paper. However, like many descriptive conclusions, it primarily restates earlier points rather than offering new insights or suggesting directions for further research. Including practical implications or contemporary relevance would enhance its impact.

In terms of style and presentation, the paper is generally well-structured and logically organized. The language is formal and appropriate for academic writing, though there are occasional grammatical inconsistencies and minor typographical errors that should be addressed. Some sentences are overly long, which can affect readability. Additionally, while the referencing is extensive and relevant, there are slight inconsistencies in formatting that need correction.

Overall, this paper is a thoughtful and well-researched analysis of Animal Farm through a historical and theoretical lens. Its strengths lie in its interdisciplinary approach, strong theoretical foundation, and detailed textual analysis. With improvements in critical engagement, conciseness, and language clarity, the paper has the potential to make a significant contribution to literary and cultural studies, particularly in understanding the relationship between literature, power, and ideology.