



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56663

**Title: Field Trial on the Effects of Seedling Age, Yield and Economic Returns of Sahel 134 under Lowland Irrigated Ecology.**

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

**Accept after minor revision.....**

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer's ID: JPR-130

## Detailed Reviewer's Report

### 1. Overall Evaluation

The research paper focuses on an important topic related to management/commerce (based on the content provided). The study aims to analyze key factors influencing the selected subject using appropriate research methods. The paper is structured with essential sections such as introduction, objectives, methodology, analysis, and conclusion. The study shows good effort in data collection and analysis, and the topic is relevant to current academic and practical needs. However, the paper is more descriptive than analytical and needs improvement in explanation, clarity, and presentation. Overall, the paper is acceptable but requires revisions to improve its academic quality.

### 2. Strengths of the Study

- The topic is relevant and useful for academic and practical understanding.
- The objectives are clearly mentioned and related to the study.
- The paper follows a proper structure (introduction to conclusion).
- Data collection has been done and results are presented systematically.
- Basic statistical tools (like percentage, tables, etc.) are used.
- The conclusion is connected to the findings of the study.
- The study provides useful insights for decision-making in the concerned area.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### 3. Areas for Improvement

- The study lacks deep analysis and interpretation of data.
- The paper mainly presents data but does not explain reasons and implications clearly.
- There are grammar and sentence errors that reduce readability.
- Some parts of the paper are repetitive and can be shortened.
- The research gap is not clearly explained in the introduction.
- The methodology section needs more clarity about:
  - Sampling method
  - Sample size justification
- The study lacks advanced statistical analysis (if applicable).
- Tables are included but need better formatting and labeling.
- There is limited reference to previous studies or literature review.
- The paper does not clearly mention limitations of the study.

### 4. Suggestions for Improvement

- Add proper interpretation for each result instead of only presenting data.
- Improve language and grammar for better understanding.
- Clearly explain the research gap and need for the study.
- Provide more details about the methodology and sampling technique.
- Avoid repetition and make the content more concise.
- Include literature comparison to strengthen the study.
- Add a section on limitations and future scope.
- Improve the presentation of tables and results.