



### REVIEWER'S REPORT

**Manuscript No.:** IJAR-56606

**Title:** Digital Transformation for Reshaping Teaching Methodologies and 2 Students' Engagement in Primary Education.

**Recommendation:**

- Accept as it is .....
- Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision .....
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

**Reviewer's Id :** JPR-223

### *Reviewer's Comment for Publication*

The manuscript addresses an important and contemporary issue in primary education by examining the role of digital transformation in reshaping teaching methodologies and enhancing student engagement. The topic is relevant, especially in the context of increasing adoption of digital learning tools after the COVID-19 pandemic.

The paper demonstrates a broad understanding of digital transformation in school education and presents useful discussion on digital tools, learner-centred pedagogy, and classroom engagement. The objectives are clearly stated, and the study is organized into logical sections including literature review, methodology, discussion, and conclusion.

However, several improvements are necessary before publication:

1. **The manuscript is largely descriptive and based entirely on secondary data.** It lacks deeper analytical interpretation or original empirical evidence.
2. **The literature review requires stronger synthesis.** Many studies are listed sequentially without critical comparison or thematic integration.
3. **There is repetition in several sections,** especially in the explanation of digital transformation concepts.
4. **Methodology section is too brief** and should specify criteria for selecting secondary sources and analytical framework more clearly.

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

5. **Language editing is required**, as some grammatical inconsistencies and formatting issues are present.
6. **References need uniform formatting** according to journal style. Some citations in text and reference list are inconsistent.
7. **The conclusion should include stronger academic contribution** and clearer linkage with study objectives.

Overall, the manuscript has publication potential because of its relevance and educational significance, but **minor revision is necessary to improve scholarly quality, remove repetition, and strengthen analytical depth.**