ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

ISSN NO. 2320-5407

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56533

Title: Implementing NEP 2020 Goals through Career Guidance Systems: A Framework for Improving Youth Employability

Recommendation:

Accept as it is ……YES……

Accept after minor revision……

Accept after major revision …… ……

Do not accept (*Reasons below*) ……

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality	✓			
Clarity	✓			
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper aims toexamine the influence of socio-economic background, educational exposure, and digital accessibility on career preparedness among 18 students. It proposes a Holistic Career Guidance Framework (HCGF) aligned with NEP 2020 to address the prevailing skill gap.In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things havebeen mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample results, etc., Also, the keywords aregiven properly. But the abstract is written in two paragraphs it should be in only one paragraph. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively**.** The citations arealsogiven properly.The Methodology is given in a proper way. Also, research questions or objectives aregiven properly. Results arealso given properly and they are given with statistical proofs.Also, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions aregiven in this manuscript properly. Thus, this paper is accepted as it is.

Reason for Accepting as it is

Abstract is written properly with all important things such as sample, method, findings, etc.The keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively**.**The citations are alsogiven properly**.**The Methodology is given in a proper way. The research questions or objectives arealsogiven properly. Results are also given properly and they are given withstatistical proofs.Thus, this paper can be accepted as it is.

Abstract

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. have been mentioned properly.Also,the keywords are given properly. But the researcher has written the abstract in two paragraphs it should be written only in one paragraph.

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively.The citations are alsogiven properly.

Methodology

Methodology isgiven in a proper wayin this manuscript. Also, the researcher hasmentioned the research questions or objectives properly such as 1. To develop a NEP-2020-aligned Holistic Career Guidance Framework (HCGF) for 89 improving student career outcomes and reducing the skill-employment gap. 2. To examine the influence of socio-economic background on career awareness and employability readiness among youth. 3. To assess the role of educational exposure and institutional support in shaping skill development and career decision-making. 4. To analyses the impact of technological access and digital learning participation on employability preparedness. 5. To identify barriers to career readiness and recommend targeted interventions for institutions, policymakers, and industry. 6. To propose recommendations for institutions, policymakers, and industry to reduce the skills gap and enhance workforce alignment.

Results

The result section isgiven in a proper way in this manuscript. The findings aregiven in detail with statistical proofs.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is given in a detail and the researcher hasmade comparisons of results with previous studies. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications hasbeen provided by the researcher by which the validity of research papers increases and becomes more effective.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and all important things have been mentioned properly sothis manuscript is accepted as it is.