



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56490

Title: A STUDY TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF VIDEO ASSISTED TEACHING REGARDING KNOWLEDGE ABOUT WARM CHAIN PRACTICES ON PREVENTION OF HYPOTHERMIA IN NEWBORN AMONG STAFF NURSES IN SELECTED HOSPITAL AT COIMBATORE.

Recommendation:

- Accept as it isYES.....
- Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity	✓			
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper aims to assess the effectiveness of video assisted teaching regarding knowledge about warm chain practices on prevention of hypothermia in newborn among staff nurses in selected hospital at coimbatore. In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned properly such as title, methods, sample results, etc., but the writing style is not correct. The abstract must be written in only one paragraph. However, the keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively but the citations are not given properly. It is very important for every research paper that it must contain all citations. The Methodology is given in a proper way. Also, research questions or objectives are given properly. Results are also given properly and they are given with statistical proofs. However, the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript properly they should be added. Thus, this paper is accepted as it is.

Reason for Accepting as it is

Abstract is written properly with all important things such as sample, method, findings, etc. **but the writing style is not correct. The abstract must be written in only one paragraph.** The keywords are given properly. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

given a detailed background effectively **but** the citations are **not** given properly. The Methodology is given in a proper way. Also, research questions or objectives are given properly. Results are also given properly and they are given with **statistical** proofs. Thus, this paper can be accepted as it is.

Abstract

In this manuscript the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things such as sample, method, findings etc. have been mentioned properly **but** the writing style is not correct. The abstract must be written in only one paragraph. However, the keywords are given properly.

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively **but** the citations are **not** given properly. It is very important for every research paper that it must contain all citations.

Methodology

Methodology is given in a proper way in this manuscript also the researcher has mentioned the research questions or objectives properly such as 1. To assess the existing knowledge regarding warm chain practices on prevention of hypothermia in newborns among staff nurses. 2. To evaluate the effectiveness of video assisted teaching on knowledge regarding warm chain practices by comparing pre-test and post-test scores. 3. To determine the association between pre-test knowledge scores and selected demographic variables of staff nurses.

Results

The result section is given in a proper way in this manuscript. The findings are given in detail with statistical proofs.

Discussion

The discussion section in this study is given in a detail and but the researcher has not made comparisons of results with previous studies it should be added. Also, further suggestions, limitations and implications has not been provided by the researcher by which the validity of research papers decreases and becomes less effective. They should be provided.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, and all important things have been mentioned properly so this manuscript is accepted as it is.