



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56445

Title: Recurrent Chronic Bullous Disease of Childhood in a Male Child: A Case Report

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ **Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Study

- **Originality:** The case highlights recurrent episodes of CBDC, emphasizing early diagnosis and treatment, which adds valuable clinical insight into the management of this rare condition.
- **Relevance:** The report addresses a rare autoimmune blistering disease in children, an area of ongoing clinical interest.
- **Methodology:** The case presentation is detailed, including clinical, histopathological, and immunofluorescence findings, providing a comprehensive overview.
- **Data Quality:** The clinical findings are well-documented with photographic evidence and laboratory data.
- **Contribution to the Field:** Reinforces the role of dapsone as a first-line therapy and underscores the importance of diagnosing CBDC early to prevent complications.

Weaknesses of the Study

- **Sample Size:** As a single case report, the generalizability is limited.
- **Lack of Long-term Follow-up:** No information on long-term outcomes or relapse rates.
- **Statistical Analysis:** Not applicable to case reports but absence of detailed discussion on differential diagnosis.
- **Ethical Clearance:** The manuscript mentions ethical considerations but does not specify detail about approval or informed consent procedures.
- **Presentation:** The narrative could benefit from clearer organization for example, separating discussion points from case description for better clarity.
- **References:** Some references are recent and relevant; however, certain foundational references could be more comprehensive, and citation formats need consistency.

Reviewer Comments

- **Title and Abstract:** The title accurately reflects the case theme but could be more precise by indicating the recurrent nature of the disease. The abstract effectively summarizes the case, but clarity could be improved by explicitly stating the significance of early diagnosis.
- **Introduction and Objectives:** The introduction provides a broad overview but lacks explicit statement of the study's aims or hypotheses. Clearer framing of the case's unique aspects would strengthen the context.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Methodology:** The clinical methods, including testing for G6PD deficiency, are appropriate. However, more detail on the immunopathologic diagnostic criteria, including direct immunofluorescence specifics, would enhance scientific rigor.
- **Results and Discussion:** The clinical course and response to therapy are well documented, supported by figures. The discussion contextualizes findings well but could explore the possible pathophysiology and differential diagnoses more thoroughly.
- **Conclusions:** Appropriately emphasizes early recognition and therapy, consistent with the case and literature.
- **Ethical Considerations:** The manuscript mentions ethical adherence but does not specify whether institutional review board approval or informed consent was obtained.
- **Language and Grammar:** Generally clear; however, minor grammatical and typographical errors are present. A thorough language editing is recommended.
- **Tables, Figures, and References:** Figures are pertinent and well-placed. The table summarizes laboratory data effectively. References are relevant; however, formatting consistency should be ensured.